



General Assembly

Distr.: General
30 December 2022

Original: English

Seventy-seventh session

Agenda items 138 and 47

Proposed programme budget for 2023

Israeli practices and settlement activities affecting the
rights of the Palestinian people and other Arabs of the
occupied territories

Israeli practices affecting the human rights of the Palestinian people in the Occupied Palestinian Territory, including East Jerusalem

Programme budget implications of draft resolution [A/C.4/77/L.12/Rev.1](#)

Report of the Fifth Committee

Rapporteur: Mr. Marinko **Avramović** (Bosnia and Herzegovina)

1. At its 23rd and resumed 25th meetings, on 14 and 30 December 2022, the Fifth Committee, pursuant to rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, considered the statement submitted by the Secretary-General on the programme budget implications of draft resolution [A/C.4/77/L.12/Rev.1](#) ([A/C.5/77/18](#)) and the related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions ([A/77/7/Add.31](#)). The statements and comments made in the course of the Fifth Committee's consideration of this question are reflected in the relevant summary records.¹
2. At its resumed 25th meeting, on 30 December, the Committee had before it a draft decision ([A/C.5/77/L.19](#), sect. D), submitted by the Chair of the Committee on the basis of informal consultations coordinated by the representative of Liberia.
3. At the same meeting, the representative of Israel made a statement and requested a separate recorded vote on the draft decision contained in section D of document [A/C.5/77/L.19](#).
4. Before the vote, the representative of Pakistan (on behalf of the Group of 77 and China) made a statement in explanation of vote.

¹ [A/C.5/77/SR.23](#) and [A/C.5/77/SR.25/Add.1](#).



5. Also at its resumed 25th meeting, the Committee adopted the draft decision contained in section D of document [A/C.5/77/L.19](#) by a recorded vote of 105 to 13, with 37 abstentions (see para. 8). The voting was as follows:

In favour:

Algeria, Andorra, Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Barbados, Belgium, Bolivia (Plurinational State of), Botswana, Brazil, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo, Cuba, Cyprus, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Denmark, Djibouti, Egypt, El Salvador, Finland, France, Gabon, Ghana, Greece, Grenada, Guyana, Haiti, Iceland, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Ireland, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kuwait, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lebanon, Lesotho, Libya, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Maldives, Mali, Malta, Mauritania, Mauritius, Mexico, Monaco, Mongolia, Montenegro, Morocco, Namibia, Netherlands, New Zealand, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, North Macedonia, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, San Marino, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Slovenia, Somalia, South Africa, Spain, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Sweden, Switzerland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tajikistan, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Türkiye, United Arab Emirates, Uzbekistan, Viet Nam, Yemen, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

Against:

Albania, Czechia, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Guatemala, Hungary, Israel, Liberia, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Palau, Papua New Guinea, Republic of Korea² and Togo.

Abstaining:

Australia, Austria, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Burundi, Canada, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Eritrea, Estonia, Ethiopia, Fiji, Georgia, Germany, Honduras, India, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Madagascar,³ Panama, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Rwanda, Serbia, Singapore, Slovakia, Solomon Islands, Thailand, Timor-Leste, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America and Uruguay.

6. Also at the same meeting, after the vote, statements were made by the representatives of Australia and the Netherlands in explanation of vote. A statement was also made by the representative of the Observer State of Palestine.

7. After the adoption of the draft decision, the representative of Israel made a statement, indicating it wished to disassociate itself from the draft decision.

² The delegation of the Republic of Korea subsequently indicated that it had intended to vote in favour.

³ The delegation of Madagascar subsequently indicated that it had intended not to vote.

Decision of the Fifth Committee

8. The Fifth Committee, having considered the statement of programme budget implications submitted by the Secretary-General¹ and the related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,² decides to inform the General Assembly that, should it adopt draft resolution [A/C.4/77/L.12/Rev.1](#), additional resources amounting to 226,600 United States dollars would be required for 2023 under section 7, International Court of Justice, of the proposed programme budget for 2023, representing a charge against the contingency fund, together with an amount of 21,200 dollars under section 36, Staff assessment, to be offset by an equivalent amount under income section 1, Income from staff assessment.

¹ [A/C.5/77/18](#).

² [A/77/7/Add.31](#).