UNITED NATIONS
Department of Public Information
Office of Press & Publications
Lake Success, Nassau County, New York

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting

(PM) SUMMARY Press Release GA/PAL/81 22 November 1947

#### PALESTINE COMMITTEE HEARS 15 SPEAKERS

#### ON SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS

The Ad Hoc Committee on the Palestinian Question continued general debate this afternoon on the reports of its two Sub-Committees, one on partition and the other on a unitary, independent Palestine.

The Committee heard 15 speakers, representing the U.S., Canada, the U.K., Denmark, Iraq, Yemen, China, Syria, Poland, Pakistan, El Salvador, France, the Jewish Agency, New Zealand and Bolivia.

The Committee will meet again at 8:00 p.m.

(A chronological account of this meeting follows in Takes #1 through #8.)

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting

(PM) TAKE #1 Press Release GA/PAL/81 22 November 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (FM) TAKE #1

Reconvening this afternoon, the Ad Hoc Committee on the Palestinian Question first heard Sir Carl Berendsen (New Zealand) declare that his country was for the partition of Palestine. He added that responsibility would have to be assumed not only for elaborating such a solution, but also for carrying it out.

Sir Carl. said that he had submitted the plan of partition prepared by Subcommittee I to his Government, which had instructed him to support it but only on condition that the United Nations also assumed responsibility for the implementation of this Plan.

Sir Carl asked that all necessary time be devoted to a thorough examination of all aspects of the Plan, and he suggested to this end the General Assembly prolong its present session, or the Ad Hoc Committee on the Palestinian Question set itself up as the General Assembly.

Loster B. Pearson (Canada) said he wanted to address some questions to Subcommittee II (on a unitary, independent Palestine), so that the members could have adequate information on which to decide this difficult problem.

His first question was if the Subcommittee thought its recommendations would bring about a peaceful and orderly transfer of power from the Mandatory Power, Great Britain, to the people of Palestine.

His second question was what legal basis existed in the Charter for the establishment of a unitary, independent Palestine by the  $G_{\rm e}$ neral Assembly. (End of Take #1)

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Moeting QPM) TAKE #2 Press Release GA/PAL/81 22 November 1947

#### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (PM) TAKE #2

DR. HECTOR DAVID CASTRO (El Salvador) said that while the majority and minority plans of the UNSCOP Report differed in many respects, they both agreed in recommending the termination of the Mandate. He added that Articles 1 and 2 of the Charter provided a basis for United Nations action on the question of to Palestine, as they laid down that the sims of the United Nations were/maintain peace, and to develop friendly relations between its members.

Only a solution acceptable to the majority of the population of Palestine could ensure peace, Dr. Eastro said. Adding that the people of Palestine had not really been consulted by the United Nations when considering a solution.

He regretted that representatives of the Arab Higher Committee and of the Jewish Agency had not been brought together as he had Proposed with the purpose of finding a common basis for the future of Palestine.

Dr. Castro remarked that although he had offered to serve on a conciliation subcommittee together with a few other delegates, the task of conciliation had finally been assumed by the Chairman of the Committee alone. Dr. Castro also remarked that the Minority Plan for a single federal Palestinian State had not heen given enough attention.

For these reasons, Dr. Castro said, he would abstain from voting on the Palestinden question, except in the case of the protection of Holy Places.

(End of Take #2)

The state of the problem to the

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27TH Meeting

()M) TAKE #3 Pross Release GA/PAL/81 22 Novembor 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (PM) TAKE #3

The property of the second material deficient the

The Chairman, Dr. Herbert V. Evatt (Australia), replying to the Representative of El Salvador said that conciliation of the views of Arabs and Jews appeared to be impossible at present. The question had been studied as thoroughly, he said.

Moshe Shertok, head of the political department of the Jewish Agency for Palostine, then made a brief statement.

He said the Jewish Agency would be willing, in order to meet objections, to agree to exclude from the proposed Jewish national home the town of Beersheba and an larea to the north and northeast of the town, amounting to 300,000 dunims in also a portion of the South Negeb, along the Egyptian frontier, amounting to 2,000,000 dunims. 14 to 12 to 1 31

These would be direct continuations of the Arab state, and not enclaves en Mr. Shertok said the Jewish Agency did not consider itself obligated to make those concessions, and felt, rathor, that the whole of Palestine should be open to Jewish immigration. 化抗性乳腺 化氯化二甲二甲酚 化甲酚二甲酚

Palostine had already bean partitioned once, in 1922, when Transjordan was cut away, he said, and now the Jewish national home would be even smaller.

The Chairman said that the Committee could deal with suggestions like this only in the form of amendments.

Herschel Johnson (US) said his delegation would support and vote for the partition plan recommended by Subcommittee I, and he thought the Assembly could best discharge its responsibility in this case by approving that plan, with Control of the work of the William Control ocanomic union an by elly

He recognized that unanimity could not be achieved, but he hoped that the plan would be approved by as large a majority as possible, and given loyal cooperation by all concerned, he continued.

Attaches the second

The STATE OF THE WAR COME TO MAKE A MORE AND REPORT OF STATE OF THE STATE OF the could have a and the appropriate formation thereof has an if

Mr. Johnson said the U.S. considered the partition plan legal under the Charter, and regarded such legal objections as had been raised as formal in bheracter.

He did not think there would be a gap in which there would be no effective governmental authority. That gap, he said, would be avoided by immediate.

resumption of authority by provisional machinery as soon as the tasks were given up by the Mandatory Power.

Mr. Johnson said the partition plan was not perfect, but was a "humanly just and workable" solution to one of the thorniest problems in the world today. He hored all member states would give the plan their full cooperation, if it wore approved, and not attempt to defy it. This, said Mr. Johnson, was "the greatest test over presented of the integrity of the United Nations as a whole."

One of the Subcommittee's greatest difficulties, Mr. Johnson said, had been the declarations of the Mandatory Power (The UK) that it would take no part in carrying out a plan not acceptable to both Arabs and Jews.

Taken literally, he said, this condition was impossible to fulfill, for no plan could possibly meet that requirment.

Mr. Johnson disagreed with the inference of the Representative of New Zealand that the Mandatory Power had been given sole responsibility for carrying out the plan. He said the Subcommittee had tried to avoid such a situation, and in his view had succeeded.

Mr. Johnson agreed that this plan, like any other, contained the possibility of failure, but he said it had to assume the cooperation of the Mandatory Power -- which had agreed not to hinder or tryto prevent implementation -- and of the.

Member States.

This situation had not been contemplated in the Charter, Mr. Johnson, continued. The responsibility had fallen on to the United Nations because of the unilateral decision of Britain to give up the mandate, without suggesting any future government to take its place in Palestine.

Mr. Johnson said he welcomed the declaration of Mr. Shortok regarding Beershel and the Negeb, and would present an amendment to cover these suggested boundary changes.

( $\mathbb{E}_{n}d$  of Take #3)

UNITED NATIONS
Department of Public Information
Office of Press & Publications
Lake Success, Nassau County, New York

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting (PM) TAKE #4 Press Release GA/PAL/81 22 November 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE - (PM) TAKE #4

Mr. J. M. Martin (United Kingdom) said that it was difficult for the British Government to indicate in advance every detail of the time-table for the withdrawal from Palestine. Nevertheless, he said, on main principles the British Government was clear in its own mind.

On implementation, he said, they had made five statements, none of which deviated from the others.

Mr. Martin asserted that in the transitional period there was a gap, and that clearly a risk was being taken. As long as the Mandate is in force, Mr. Martin declared, the Mandatory insists on retaining undivided control throughout Palestine.

Mr. Martin added that if partition is approved by the Assembly and a U.N. Commission goes to Palestine, the Mandatory would hand over to the Commission "when the time came." He explained that this expression meant "when and as the territory of Palestine is evacuated."

Progressive transfer, he said, would begin after termination of the Mandate.

Referring to the passage in the Partition Plan concerning the early evacuation of a sea port with its hinterland in the Jewish State, Mr. Martin said that evacuation plans had not yet been worked out but that his Government was taking note of this passage.

With regard to the assistance the Mandatory was expected by the Partition Planto give to the United Nations Commission, Mr. Martin repeated that his Government insisted on undivided control. Assistance, he said, would be subject to the over-riding considerations of preserving law and order. If fighting occurs in Palestine said Mr. Martin, it is the lives of British that will be lost.

(End of Take #4.)

Description :

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting

(PM) TAKE #5 Press Rolease GA/PAL/2 22 November 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (PM) TAKE #5

The Chairman said the list of speakers still included the Representatives of Denmark, Iraq, Yemen, China, Sweden, Netherlands, Poland, Lebanon, Syria, Canada, Egypt, Chile, Pakistan, Dominican Republic, U.S., Yugoslavia, Uruguay, Union of South Africa, USSR, France, Argentina, Colombia, Saudi Arabia, Mexico, Guatemala, Peru and Belgium.

That closed the list at least temporarily, he said.

era er jaggister og ar g

In reply to a question from Finn T.B. Friis (Denmark), the Chairman said that any proposal to continue this Committee past the end of the General Assembly session would have to be made formally, and decided by the Assembly.

Dr. Mohamed Fadhil Jamali (Iraq) contended that the General Assembly was going beyond the authority given to it under the Charter in this matter.

Dr. Uamali said the Arab states had respect for the United Nations but had to appeal against such an "unjust and unworkable" plan as that presented by the Subcommittee.

Britain, he noted, had regarded partition as impracticable in a White Paper 10 years ago. Wasn't this even more true now? he asked.

Dr. Jamali said that anything going beyond the League of Nations mandate was "an imposition" end that "we would wish to go to court about that."

Recalling that the U.S. Representative, Mr. Johnson, had said that notedy wanted to think the United Nations would be unable to find a solution to the Palestine problem, Dr. Jamali said the solution was simple -- apply the principles of democracy and self-determination. He urged the Committee to take time, and not adopt a plan which would result in immediate bloodshed and racial hatred and which could not be earried out without force.

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting (PM) TAKE #6
Press Relesse GA/PAL/81
22 November 1947

all Wado

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (PM) TAKE #6

DR. V.K. WELLINGTON KOO (China) said that if his delegation had all along abstained from supporting any of the concrete solutions, put forward for the Palestindan problem this abstantion was due to its belief that an ultimate solution of the Palestine problem depended on a conciliatory spirit on the part of both parties involved.

Dr. Wellington Koo stressed the unique character of the Palestine problem and the need to take into consideration the elements of this problem if a solution acceptable to both parties were to be found.

Nevertherless, he said, time is now short and a decision is imporative. If, he said, a 100% gatisfactory solution cannot be found, then a solution should be adopted that is the least objectionable to the parties.

Dr. Wollington Kee doclared that in his opinion, the partition plan as proposed by Sucommittee I represented the nearest approach to such a solution and he hoped that means would be found to improve this plan so as to further raduce the gap between the Arab and Jewish claims.

KSAWERY PRUSZYNSKI (Poland) said that Subcommittee I's work with the U.K. had been "a little difficult." After all, he said, the U.K. had brought the matter to the United Nations, and so should cooperate. But sometimes, he said, it seemed that the U.K. was operating "on the other side of the fence."

He found it "disagreeable" to speak about this matter, for the Subcommittee had done everything possible to bring the U.K. into its work, he said. But the U.K.'s attitude, in his view, was making the solution of this problem very much harder, with consequences that would be felt by the whole organization.

FARIS EL-KHOURY (SYRIA) called El Salvador's suggestion of a plobiscite in Palestine "a very sound idea." He said the U.S. should agree to this procedure, as well, for the U.S. had advocated it strongly in the case of Korea.

MORE

The U.S., he added, had not wanted partition in Korea or Greece. That there were Jews involved in this case did not seem to Mr. El-Khoury to be an obstacle. Judaism was only a religion, he said, and should not be favored over any other religion.

The Representative of Syria again stated his delegation's opposition to partition, and asked for a ruling from the International Court of Justice on the legal issues involved.

Mr. El-Khoury outlined the history of the Palestine situation, and contended that the Arabs had been treated unjustly throughout the years. It was the duty of the United Nations now to undo this wrong, hot to add to it, he said.

There was no power in the Charter for the Assembly to partition a mandated territory, said Mr. El-Khoury, and to do this would be a dangerous precedent," all the more so because partition would benefit only "foreign intruders."

He felt that the ruling of the Court on the legal issues should be obtained before any other decisions were taken.

Mr. El-Khoury said the Arabs were ready for conciliation at any time, provided that the Zionists would give up their idea of "dominating" and displacing other peoples.

(End of Take #6)

\* es 1

# UNITED NATIONS Department of Public Information Office of Press & Publications Lake Success, Nassau County, New York

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting

(PM) TAKE #7 Press Release GA/PAL/81 22 November 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE - (PM) TAKE #7

Dr. Humberto Palza (Bolivia) declared that the U.N. Charter established the right for nations to determine their own future. It would be therefore desirable, he said, to find a solution for Palestine that would not diminish the rights of one or the other of the two parties involved.

The UNSCOP had been unable to surmount all the contradictions of the Palestine problem because these contradictions were inherent to the problem, he said.

The United Nations, Dr. Palza continued, is a political organization, and its solutions should also be of a political nature. The best political solution, he added, would be one that would maintain continuity with the first solution given to Palestine, namely the Balfour Declaration. This solution, he said would be partition, which is supported by the countries most directly interested in the problem.

Sir Mohamed Zafrullah Khan (Pakistan) replied to the questions asked earlier by the Representative of Canada.

The first question, whether Sub-Committee II thought its plan would provide for a peaceful and orderly transfer of power? was very difficult to answer, said Sir Zafrullah, and could be answered only in practice by the people of Palestine.

The second question was, what was the legal basis for Sub-Committee II's plan for a unitary, independent Palestine? Sir Zafrullah said it was his personal view that the terms of the original League of Nations Mandate gave this authority. The Sub-Committee's report did not provide for United Nations interference in setting up such a government. There would be some "practical difficulties," he said, but the Ad Hoc Committee was now engaged in appraising them.

(End of Take #7.)

AD HOC COMMITTEE ON PALESTINE 27th Meeting

(PM) TAKE #8
Press Release GA/PAL/81
22 November 1947

### PALESTINE COMMITTEE (PM) TAKE #8

Declaring that he wished to abstain from any political statement at this stage, Mr. Alexandre Parodi (France) submitted two amendments to the Report of Subcommittee I.

The first amendment would safeguard free access to the Holy Places, which involved freedom of transit.

The second amendment would strengthen the protection of foreign schools and charitable institutions.

Mr. Parodi expressed the opinion that the guard of the Holy Places should be entrusted to a specially recruited guard rather than to the police force provided for in the Report. He also hoped that the case of French would be given full consideration when the question of additional working languages in the City of Jerusalem came up.

The Committee adjourned at 6:15 p.m. to 8 p.m. (End of Take #8 and of Press Release GA/PAL/81)