16. The situation in Mali

Overview

During the period under review, the Security Council included the item entitled “The situation in Mali” in its agenda. The Council held 12 meetings and adopted four resolutions and two presidential statements in connection with the situation in Mali, previously considered under the item entitled “Peace and security in Africa”.

The Council followed closely the unfolding of the crisis in Mali from its outbreak at the beginning of 2012. Its decisions and actions culminated, first, in the authorization of the deployment of an African-led International Support Mission in Mali and then the establishment of the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali on 25 April 2013 and the transfer of responsibilities from the African-led mission to the United Nations mission on 1 July 2013. The Council also followed closely the developments of the political process, including the holding of presidential and legislative elections in the country and the implementation of the agreement between the Government of Mali and the armed groups in the northern regions signed in Ouagadougou on 18 June 2013.

First stages of the crisis in Mali

Against the backdrop of the Tuareg-led rebellion in the northern part of the country and the forcible seizure of power from the democratically elected Government of Mali by some elements of the Malian armed forces, the Council held a meeting on 26 March 2012. At that meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement in which it expressed serious concern about the insecurity and rapidly deteriorating humanitarian situation in the Sahel region. The Council strongly condemned the forcible seizure of power by elements of the Malian armed forces, and called for the restoration of constitutional order and the holding of elections as previously scheduled. The Council also condemned the attacks carried out by rebel groups against forces of the Government of Mali, and called upon the rebels to cease all violence and to seek a peaceful solution.

On 4 April 2012, the Council held a meeting in the context of which it issued another presidential statement, in which it called upon the mutineers to ensure the safety and security of all Malian officials and demanded the immediate release of those detained. At the meeting, the representative of Mali recounted that northern Mali was occupied by Tuareg rebels and Salafists with hundreds of thousands of refugees and internally displaced persons living in unimaginable conditions. He commended the Council for the adoption of the presidential statement and asked for help to find a way out of the crisis. He further questioned the decision of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) to impose sanctions on Mali at a time when the country required help to find a way forward. He informed the Council that the military officers who had undertaken the coup had asked to engage in discussions in order to seek a national consensus to emerge from the crisis.

On 5 July 2012, by resolution 2056 (2012), the Council determined that the situation in Mali constituted a threat to international peace and security; it expressed its full support for the efforts of ECOWAS and the African Union and encouraged them to coordinate with the transitional authorities of Mali for the restoration of constitutional order. Acting under Chapter VII of the Charter, the Council demanded the cessation of hostilities by rebel groups in the north of Mali and requested the Secretary-General to provide support to ongoing mediation efforts, including through the good offices of his Special Representative for West Africa.

Speaking after the adoption of the resolution, the representative of ECOWAS stated that resolution 2056 (2012) represented an important first phase in the political involvement of the international community in support of the efforts of ECOWAS. He also reiterated
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the request from ECOWAS to the Security Council to accelerate the process of adopting a resolution by which it would support the deployment of an operational force of ECOWAS in Mali in order to secure the transition, restore the country’s unity and fight terrorism in the region.\textsuperscript{476}

**Deterioration of the situation in Mali**

On 8 August 2012, in his briefing to the Council, the Secretary-General stated that the situation posed a growing threat to international peace and security and confirmed the limited progress with respect to restoring constitutional order. While noting that the military junta was having a harmful effect owing to its strong influence over the transitional process, he highlighted, as a positive development, the return of the President of Mali to Bamako and commended the steps that he was taking to ensure the formation of a government of national unity.

Regarding the situation in the north, the Secretary-General confirmed that the situation remained volatile and unpredictable. He said that Ansar Eddine and the Movement for Unity and Jihad in West Africa, with reported links to Al-Qaida in the Islamic Maghreb, had taken control over the north after pushing out the Mouvement national de libération de l’Azawad and had imposed sharia law on the residents. He reported on the mediation efforts of ECOWAS, the establishment of a national commission for negotiations by the President of Mali, the good offices of his Special Representative for West Africa and the consultations between the Department of Political Affairs and the States members of ECOWAS. He also spoke of the dire humanitarian situation and the reports of serious human rights violations by armed groups in the north. He encouraged the Council to give serious consideration to the imposition of targeted sanctions against individuals engaged in terrorist and other criminal activities. In closing, the Secretary-General affirmed that the resolution of the crisis in Mali required a holistic and comprehensive approach given its complexity and multidimensional nature, and encouraged the Government of Mali to develop an overarching political strategy to return the country to constitutional order and re-establish State authority in the north.\textsuperscript{477}

All speakers emphasized that, while the humanitarian and security situation remained dire, there were encouraging signs of normalization such as the return of the President to Bamako. The representative of ECOWAS recalled that the strategy of ECOWAS in Mali was aimed at intensifying mediation efforts with all stakeholders while undertaking a phased deployment process of an ECOWAS stabilization force.\textsuperscript{478} The representative of the African Union underlined the need to encourage Malians to speed up the process of forming a government of national unity in order to lift the suspension of Mali’s participation in African Union activities, imposed by the Peace and Security Council of the African Union further to the coup in March.\textsuperscript{479} The representative of Mali reported on transitional measures and on the security and humanitarian situation in the north. He emphasized that improving the living conditions of those affected by the crisis in the north and addressing the food insecurity were priorities of the Government and appealed to the international community for support.\textsuperscript{480}

On 12 October 2012, the Council unanimously adopted resolution 2071 (2012), in which it welcomed the appointment of a Government of National Unity and urged the transitional authorities of Mali to present a detailed road map for transition. Acting under Chapter VII of the Charter, the Council also took note of the adoption by ECOWAS of targeted sanctions and expressed its readiness to consider appropriate measures as necessary. It called upon the Malian rebel groups to cut off all ties to terrorist organizations. The Council declared its readiness, upon receiving a report of the Secretary-General with recommendations regarding an international military force, to respond to the request of Mali for such a force to assist the Malian armed forces in recovering the occupied regions in the north. The Council welcomed the appointment of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel.

At the meeting, the representative of Mali underlined the importance and urgency of deploying an international military force under Chapter VII of the Charter to support the defence and security forces of Mali in restoring territorial integrity, fighting terrorism and transnational organized crime, and restoring
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human rights in the northern regions. The representative of Côte d’Ivoire, on behalf of ECOWAS and his own Government, noted that, while pressing for the deployment of troops, ECOWAS and the African Union believed that priority should be devoted to negotiations and political solutions.

On 5 December 2012, the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs explained to the Council that, since the adoption of resolution 2071 (2012), the United Nations system had intensified and accelerated its efforts in Mali along two main tracks: the first to give impetus to the Malian political process and the second to support ECOWAS and the African Union in planning for an international force to support the Malian authorities in restoring the territorial integrity of the country. He also said that the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel had been active on the broader Sahel issues, emphasizing that it was vital to address Sahel issues as part of the solution to the crisis in Mali. With regard to the deployment of an international force, he confirmed that the United Nations had worked with ECOWAS and the African Union, in close consultation with the Malian authorities, in developing a strategy for the proposed African-led international support mission in Mali. He noted that the very existence of the military option could provide useful and complementary leverage to the political process but it should be well planned, coordinated and implemented. He added that both the international support mission in Mali and the Malian forces would need the requisite capacities for carrying out operations against terrorist and affiliated groups in northern Mali.

The representative of Mali reiterated that the situation in her country required an international force in support of the Government forces. She said that Mali had not asked for such a force to fight ethnic minorities, nor did it aim at destabilizing neighbouring countries but at ensuring security in the country, and reaffirmed her Government’s commitment to dialogue.

The representative of ECOWAS expressed the view of his organization that the political dialogue had to be combined with the military option in order to help Mali to regain its territorial integrity, and asked the Council for a robust resolution authorizing the deployment of the African-led international support mission.

The representative of the African Union expressed the hope that the Security Council would authorize the deployment of the African-led international support mission in Mali without delay. He reiterated the call of the African Union to establish a United Nations support package financed from assessed contributions to ensure the sustainability of the mission. He called also on the Council to establish a trust fund to support the Malian defence and security forces.

**Authorization of the deployment of an African-led mission in Mali**

On 20 December 2012, the Council unanimously adopted resolution 2085 (2012), in which it decided to authorize the deployment of an African-led International Support Mission in Mali (AFISMA) for an initial period of one year. The Council called upon Member States, including States of the Sahel region, to contribute troops to AFISMA, and called upon the transitional authorities of Mali and all other parties in Mali to cooperate fully with the deployment and operations of the Mission. The Council requested the Secretary-General to establish a multidisciplinary United Nations presence in Mali in order to provide support to the political process and the security process.

The representative of Mali welcomed resolution 2085 (2012) as a reflection of the commitment of the international community to stand by Mali in combating terrorism and transnational organized crime. The representative of Côte d’Ivoire, on behalf of ECOWAS, pointed out that the resolution was a message of hope and solidarity and set out a political strategy that provided every opportunity for peace. The representative of the African Union also commended the wisdom and political will shown by the members of the Security Council in support of the requests of
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ECOWAS and the African Union to authorize the deployment of AFISMA.  

**Deployment of French forces in Mali**

Against the backdrop of the deployment of French forces in January 2013 and further to the request of the transitional authorities of Mali to support military operations to repel the advance of extremist groups in the northern part of the country, the Council was briefed, on 22 January 2013, by the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs, who said that the crisis was at a potential turning point. The military struggle over northern Mali had been engaged and the Secretary-General had applauded the decision of France to halt the push of extremist groups southward. He reported that AFISMA was facing critical gaps in various logistical areas, questioning the Mission’s capacity for self-sustainment. This notwithstanding, he noted that the Secretary-General was firmly committed to using the tools of the United Nations to help to address the crisis in Mali and to promote the implementation of resolution 2085 (2012). He said that the Government of Mali agreed on the need for the military and the political processes to evolve jointly and stated that reliance on an exclusively military option would not allow for the building of a lasting solution for Mali.  

The representative of Mali commended the Council on its support for the action of France in Mali. He appealed, however, to the international community to help to mobilize the financial resources necessary for the prompt deployment of AFISMA. He expressed certainty that military intervention in Mali to combat terrorist and rebel groups would promote the political process leading to normalization. All the other speakers commended the French decision to intervene in Mali. The representative of Senegal noted that, while the French intervention had already allowed the retaking of certain towns, the deployment of African troops would decidedly contribute to stepping up the pace of the operation for retaking northern Mali.  

Each of the speakers briefed the Council on their countries’ respective actions taken for the implementation of resolution 2085 (2012). Most speakers also made reference to the donors’ conference of 29 January 2013 for the mobilization of financial, logistical and material resources for the deployment of AFISMA. The representative of the European Union expressed hope that the Security Council would be able to quickly decide on authorizing a solid system for the financing of AFISMA.  

**Options for the deployment of a United Nations mission in Mali**

On 3 April 2013, the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs updated the Council on the most important political and security developments in the country. He underlined the adoption in January of a roadmap for the transition, including the restoration of the territorial integrity and the organization of free and fair elections. He said that, while the humanitarian access had improved in some parts of the country, the situation remained highly volatile. He added that the United Nations was monitoring the human rights situation and noted that the Human Rights Council had appointed a special rapporteur on Mali. He also advised that in the interplay between political and security challenges, he said that a key question was the extent to which the United Nations could or should assume responsibility for security and stabilization, bearing in mind the concerns of the humanitarian actors regarding the need to retain a clear distinction between the humanitarian and political/security agendas. Against this background, he described options for United Nations engagement in Mali.  

The representative of Mali reported that outbreaks of violence constituted obstacles to the re-establishment of State authority and to the return of internally displaced persons and refugees living in neighbouring countries, as well as to the organization of free, transparent and credible elections throughout the territory. He expressed his Government’s preference for the deployment of a multidimensional, integrated United Nations stabilization mission under Chapter VII of the Charter.  

The representative of Côte d’Ivoire, on behalf of ECOWAS, confirmed that the situation in Mali had
enjoyed positive developments since the launching of the French Operation Serval. He noted, however, the continuation of the military activity in the north and the efforts to launch offensives against the jihadist havens. He said that ECOWAS recommended the transformation of AFISMA into a United Nations stabilization operation equipped with a robust mandate, together with the presence of a parallel force equipped with the capacity necessary to rapidly dislodge the groups of terrorists and insurgents.497

Establishment of the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali

On 25 April 2013, the Council unanimously adopted resolution 2100 (2013), by which it established the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali (MINUSMA).498 It also requested the Secretary-General to subsume the United Nations Office in Mali into MINUSMA. The Council authorized MINUSMA to use all necessary means, within the limits of its capacities and areas of deployment, to carry out its mandate. The Council authorized French troops, within the limits of their capacities and areas of deployment, to use all necessary means to intervene in support of elements of MINUSMA when under imminent and serious threat upon request of the Secretary-General.

Speaking after the adoption of the resolution, the representative of the Russian Federation expressed his Government’s concern about the growing shift towards the military aspects of United Nations peacekeeping, as well as his Government’s belief that the mandate of MINUSMA excluded offensive or counter-terrorism operations. He stated that the activity of MINUSMA should be backed by tangible and palpable political processes, which would include political dialogue between the main Malian sides.499

The representative of Mali stated that his delegation was particularly pleased by the adoption of the resolution and recalled that, despite significant progress in the security area, certain terrorist cells remained, and he therefore welcomed the commitment of the international community to deter and prevent the return of armed rebel groups.500 The representative of the African Union advocated in favour of ECOWAS and the African Union maintaining a strong presence in Bamako to pursue their political commitment in Mali, the continuation of consultations on all joint action on Mali, and strong coordination between MINUSMA, the French force and the Malian army.501

On 25 June 2013, the Council held a meeting to consider the report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali.502 The Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Mali and Head of MINUSMA addressed the Council via videoconference from Bamako. He gave an overview of the situation on the ground, stressing that the security situation had gradually improved, but remained complex and fragile. On the political process, he said that on 18 June the transitional Government of Mali and the armed groups in the northern regions had signed in Ouagadougou a preliminary agreement on the presidential elections and inclusive negotiations. Concerning the preparations for the elections, he informed the Council that presidential polls had been scheduled for 28 July 2013, with MINUSMA having a supporting role. On the humanitarian front he expressed concern and noted that humanitarian activities were being carried out in the northern regions in spite of the security challenges and the upcoming rainy season. He confirmed that the human rights situation remained precarious, with violations committed by all parties.503

The Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations reported that, since its establishment on 25 April, MINUSMA had begun to implement its mandate of political good offices, electoral support, human rights and support for the restoration of State authority. He explained that the majority of the staff would be in the north, maintaining a military presence in key population centres. In spite of the progress, he said that significant challenges remained, including the harsh climate and the vast size of the geographic area of responsibility.504 The Under-Secretary-General for Field Support said that MINUSMA was engaged in two complex endeavours, namely, the preparations for the presidential elections and the follow-up to the interim agreement signed in Ouagadougou on 18 June, and
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explained the major challenges posed to MINUSMA because of the security, climatic and logistical conditions in the country. She highlighted the use of technology as a means of reducing the environmental footprint of the Mission and speeding up the process for the Mission to become operational.\textsuperscript{505}

The representative of Mali informed the Council that the security conditions were favourable to a transfer of competence from AFISMA to MINUSMA. On the agreement signed in Ouagadougou, he added that it represented a decisive step towards the re-establishment of the territorial integrity of Mali and that it created conditions conducive to the holding of the presidential elections throughout the national territory.\textsuperscript{506}

On 16 October 2013, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General presented the second report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali.\textsuperscript{507} and offered an overview of the political and security situation in Mali to the Council. He underscored two important achievements, the successful holding of presidential elections and the establishment, even if incomplete, of MINUSMA on 1 July 2013. Looking ahead, he said that legislative elections would be held in November and December 2013. On the security front, he warned that the recent security incidents registered in Mali were an important wake-up call. However, he assured the Council that the overall improvement of the security situation in Mali had also opened up new prospects for the country’s recovery and longer-term perspectives. He referred to the shortfalls affecting MINUSMA and called on the Council and troop-contributing countries to promote the rapid deployment of additional enablers that would allow MINUSMA to discharge its mandate speedily and effectively.\textsuperscript{508}

The representative of Mali informed the Council of the presidential elections in which a new president had been elected. He also enumerated a series of actions by the Government in furtherance of the agreement of 18 June 2013, including negotiations with the armed groups, the establishment of sensitization forums, and the establishment of the National Commission for Dialogue, Truth and Reconciliation, as well as upcoming activities concerning decentralization and the accelerated development of the northern regions. He asserted that, while the deployment of the Malian defence and security forces, MINUSMA and Operation Serval had helped significantly in improving the security situation in the major cities of northern Mali, the situation remained volatile and the sustained attention of the international community was necessary.\textsuperscript{509}
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### Meetings: the situation in Mali

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting record and date</th>
<th>Sub-item</th>
<th>Other documents</th>
<th>Rule 32 invitations</th>
<th>Rule 39 and other invitations</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6741 26 March 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>S/PRST/2012/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6745 4 April 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>S/PRST/2012/9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6798 5 July 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft resolution submitted by France, Germany, Morocco, South Africa, Togo, United Kingdom, United States (S/2012/512)</td>
<td>President of the Commission of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS)</td>
<td>President of the Commission of ECOWAS</td>
<td>Resolution 2056 (2012) 15-0-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6820 8 August 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioner for Political Affairs, Peace and Security of ECOWAS, Permanent Observer of the African Union to the United Nations</td>
<td>Secretary-General, all invitees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6846 12 October 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft resolution submitted by France, Germany, India, Morocco, South Africa, Togo, United Kingdom</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (also on behalf of ECOWAS), Mali</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td>Resolution 2071 (2012) 15-0-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6879 5 December 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali (S/2012/894)</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs, President of the Commission of ECOWAS, Permanent Observer of the African Union</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* The letter was delivered in the Security Council only for information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting record and date</th>
<th>Sub-item</th>
<th>Other documents</th>
<th>Rule 37 invitations</th>
<th>Rule 39 and other invitations</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6905 22 January 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>Benin, Burkina Faso, Chad, Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS), Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs, Permanent Observer of the African Union, Head of the Delegation of the European Union to the United Nations</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6944 3 April 2013</td>
<td>Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali (S/2013/189)</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS), Mali</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6985 25 June 2013</td>
<td>Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali (S/2013/338)</td>
<td>Mali (Minister for Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation)</td>
<td>Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Mali and Head of the United Nations Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali, Under-Secretary-General for Peacekeeping Operations, Under-Secretary-General for Field Support</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting record and date</td>
<td>Sub-item</td>
<td>Other documents</td>
<td>Rule 37 invitations</td>
<td>Rule 39 and other invitations</td>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.7043 16 October 2013</td>
<td>Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in Mali (S/2013/582)</td>
<td>Mali (Minister for Reconciliation and Development of the North of the Republic of Mali)</td>
<td>Special Representative of the Secretary-General</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Colombia, France, Germany, Luxembourg, Morocco, Portugal, South Africa, Togo, United Kingdom and United States.
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17. The question concerning Haiti

Overview

During the period 2012-2013, the Security Council held eight meetings in connection with the question concerning Haiti, including two closed meetings with countries contributing troops and police to the United Nations Stabilization Mission in Haiti (MINUSTAH), and adopted two resolutions under Chapter VII of the Charter. The Council heard semi-annual briefings by senior officials of the Organization on the situation on the ground, addressing political and security conditions, activities of MINUSTAH and humanitarian, recovery and development matters. The Council twice renewed the mandate of MINUSTAH for periods of one year, while at the same time reducing the force level. In addition, the Security Council mission visited Haiti from 13 to 16 February 2012.

The situation concerning Haiti and activities of MINUSTAH

On 8 March 2012, the Council heard a briefing by the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Haiti and Head of MINUSTAH, who introduced the report of the Secretary-General of 29 February 2012. He reported on the political situation, including the resignation of the Prime Minister, Garry Conille, after four months in office, and the continuing conflicts between the executive and legislative branches; the rule of law and security, including the establishment of the Supreme Court and efforts by MINUSTAH for strengthening the Haitian National Police; the socio-economic, humanitarian and human rights situation; and the tackling of the cholera epidemic. He also reported that the reduction of the military and police components of MINUSTAH in accordance with resolution 2012 (2011) was to be completed by June.

Speakers were generally concerned about the political stalemate and urged the Government to engage in political dialogue and to hold the next partial legislative, municipal and local elections as soon as possible. While acknowledging the relatively stable security situation and the progress in the areas of the rule of law and recovery and reconstruction since the earthquake of January 2010, many speakers also stressed the need for more progress, particularly in the strengthening and capacity-building of the national police — to which MINUSTAH had been contributing — and in resettling the displaced population and protecting vulnerable groups. Several speakers expressed concerns over the resurfacing of armed former members of the Haitian armed forces, which had been dissolved in 1995. The representative of Haiti hoped that his country would no longer figure in United Nations reports and resolutions as a “threat to international peace and security” as that phrase struck fear in potential investors.

A number of speakers opined that the size and the mandate of the future MINUSTAH should be considered on the basis of conditions on the ground, particularly the ability of the national police to take on greater responsibility. The representative of the Russian Federation was pleased that the reconfiguration of the Mission was going according to plan and said that, once the reform process was concluded, the Council could assess the effectiveness of the implementation of its mandate under Chapter VII of the Charter and possibly consider additional measures. In view of the allegations of sexual misconduct by the personnel of the Mission, speakers underscored the need for the United Nations to ensure compliance with its zero-tolerance policy on sexual exploitation and abuse.

On 3 October 2012, introducing the report of the Secretary-General of 31 August 2012, the Special Representative of the Secretary-General briefed the Council on a wide range of issues, including the positive developments in the political stabilization
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