

Regular process for global reporting and assessment of the state of the marine environment, including socioeconomic aspects

The Second Global Integrated Marine Assessment

IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

By the Joint Coordinators of the Group of Experts of the Regular Process

JULY 2019



Issues for Reporting

- Communications and Website
- Second round of Regional Workshops
- Pool of Experts
- Group of Experts of the Regular Process
- Writing teams for the chapters of the Outline
- Progress on drafting chapters
- Peer Reviewers



Communications and website

- Effective IT communications are essential to the work of the Regular Process
- We have now had a workable website for the Regular Process for the past year – thanks to the efforts of DOALOS and their colleagues.
- The UNITE system for storing documents on which the Group of Experts can work is still not without problems – we shall have a meeting next week with the UN IT experts to try to resolve these..
- We have not been able to establish a single system for storing documents on which Writing Teams are working – each Writing Team has had to develop its own approach.



Second Round of Regional Workshops

- North Pacific Koror, Palau (8-9 Aug, 2018)
- North Atlantic Valetta, Malta (27-28 Aug, 2018)
- North Atlantic Odessa, Ukraine (17-18 Oct, 2018)
- Indian Ocean Bali, Indonesia (8-9 Nov, 2018)
- Indian Ocean Doha, Qatar (28-29 Nov, 2018)
- South Atlantic Accra, Ghana (3-4 Dec, 2018)
- South Pacific Guayaquil, Ecuador (17-18 Dec, 2018)

A separate report will be given later



Populating the Pool of Experts(1)

- Real success has been achieved in populating the Pool of Experts.
- We now have more than 700 members in the Pool.
- Less success in drawing them into the work of the Regular Process.
- Many have not properly filled out their entries on the Regular Process website list.
- Many are not responding to requests to join Writing Teams.



Populating the Pool of Experts (2)

At the end of June 2019, the Pool of Experts had been nominated by the following States (percentages in brackets):

Total	700 (100%)
Western European and Other States	366 (51%)
Latin American & Caribbean States	125 (18%)
Eastern European States	15 (2%)
Asia/Pacific States	114 (17%)
African States	80 (12%)



Group of Experts (1)

- The Group of Experts still has 5 vacancies 2 from the Eastern European Group and 3 from the Group of Latin American and Caribbean States.
- Dr Michelle McClure (USA) has resigned and been replaced by Dr Jörn Schmidt (Germany)
- Members of the Group of Experts, as Lead and Co-Lead Members, have been organizing Writing Teams.
- Most have also attended one or more of the Second Round of Regional Workshops.



Group of Experts (2)

- The Group of Experts has not met face-to-face since January 2018, but some members met in the margins of AHWGW 11 in August 2018 and of the Capacity Building Event in January 2019.
- We shall meet again face-to-face next week.
- There have been a substantial number of meetings over the internet.
- Not the easiest arrangement, since we are spread over 17 of the world's 24 hours.
- Practice to have two virtual meetings, at 10:00 am and 5:00 pm (New York time), with the Joint Coordinators trying to join both.
 We are managing, but it is not always easy.
- Also a large amount of e-mail traffic and use of the UNITE site.



Writing teams (1)

- The Guidance for Contributors sets out the role of writing teams and their convenors
- For some chapters, the Lead Member will have the expertise also to be convenor of the writing team
- The writing teams provide the expertise on their chapters or sections
- The Group of Experts collectively act as overall editors



Writing Teams (2)

- The Bureau has decided that it will not approve Writing Teams which do not have a convenor and at least 3 other members.
- Proposed lists of Writing Teams have been being submitted to the Bureau progressively since January 2019.



Writing Teams (3)

- The Group of Experts collectively is responsible for Chapter 1 (Summary) and Chapter 2 (Mandate and procedure).
- There are 52 other Chapters and Sub-Chapters that require separate Writing Teams.



Writing Teams (4)

- 43 Writing Teams have been set up and approved by the Bureau.
- Further members have been identified for some of these, but await the approval of the Bureau.
- 4 Writing Teams are lacking one or more members
- 5 Writing Teams are in the process of construction.



Writing Teams (5)

- The 43 approved Writing Teams currently have 381 members.
- This number includes duplicates where an expert is a member of more than one Writing Team.
- Problems exist where some experts who have agreed to be a member of a Writing Team have not participated in drafting exchanges.



Writing Teams (6)

The 381 seats in the approved Writing Team are divided as follows:

Total	381 (100%)
Western European and Other States	240 (63%)
Latin American & Caribbean States	59 (15%)
Eastern European States	8 (2%)
Asia/Pacific States	48 (13%)
African States	26 (7%)



Writing Teams (7)

The proportions from the different Regional Groups among the 381 seats in the approved Writing Teams and the proportions in the Pool of Experts compare as follows:

	PoE	WTs
African States	12%	7%
Asia/Pacific States	17%	13%
Eastern European States	2%	2%
Latin American & Caribbean States	18%	15%
Western European and Other States	s 51%	63%



Progress in drafting chapters

- So far drafts of 10 of the 52 substantive Chapters and Sub-Chapters have been up-loaded to UNITE in preparation for review at the meeting of the Group of Experts on 5 – 9 August.
- Drafts of a substantial number of other Chapters and Sub-Chapters are well on the way to completion.
- The Group of Experts will review the situation at its meeting and develop plans to ensure that all draft chapters are in a form suitable for peer review at an early date.
- The peer review and consequent revisions by the Writing Teams need to be finished by early December.



Peer Reviewers

- All chapters and sub-chapters will be subject to peer review before being presented to Member States for comment.
- A list of proposed peer reviewers is being developed.
 Some of these have already agreed to act, if their designation is approved.
- The aim is to complete the proposed list at next week's meeting of the Group of Experts.
- The aim is also to have at least two peer reviewers for each chapter and sub-chapter, with more where the breadth of the subjects or regional differences make this desirable.