

30 April 2019

Excellency,

I have the honour to refer to my letter dated 25 April and provide the draft concept note of the High-level meeting on "International Cooperation to Combat Illicit Financial Flows and Strengthen Good Practices on Assets Return", mandated by the General Assembly in its resolution A/RES/73/222, that will be held on Wednesday, 16 May 2019 in the Trusteeship Council Chamber.

The list of speakers of the plenary segment will be stablished in accordance with the practice of the General Assembly, subject to availability of time. The list of speakers will be open on 3 May 2019. For inscriptions on the list of speakers, please contact the General Assembly Affairs Branch, Mr. Carlos Galindo (email: galindo@un.org; + (212) 963-5063), with copy to gaspeakerlist@un.org. The time limit for these statements will be three minutes for individual delegations and five minutes for statements on behalf of a group of States.

Please accept, Excellency, assurances of my highest consideration.

María Fernanda Espinosa Garcés

All Permanent Representatives and Permanent Observers to the United Nations New York



HIGH-LEVEL MEETING ON "INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION TO COMBAT ILLICIT FINANCIAL FLOWS AND STRENGTHEN GOOD PRACTICES ON ASSET RETURN"

Draft Concept Note

United Nations Headquarters, New York 16 May 2019

Background

The United Nations General Assembly, in its resolution A/RES/72/207 entitled "Promotion of international cooperation to combat illicit financial flows and strengthen good practices on asset returns to foster sustainable development", invited the President of the General Assembly to convene, in coordination with all stakeholders, a high-level meeting on international cooperation to combat illicit financial flows and strengthen good practices on asset return to foster sustainable development. The General Assembly reiterated this call on the President of the Assembly in its resolution A/RES/73/222.

Illicit financial flows and sustainable development

There is international recognition that current public and private initiatives to finance the 2030 Agenda are insufficient. While estimates of the current financing gap vary, almost all cite trillions rather than billions per year. UNCTAD, for example, suggests that, at current levels of investment in core SDG-related sectors, developing countries face an annual financing gap of \$2.5 trillion.¹

Domestic resource mobilization is one of the core pillars identified in the Addis Ababa Action Agenda and the 2030 Agenda to help close this gap, with particular emphasis given to improving domestic capacities for tax and other revenue collection². Illicit financial flows (IFFs), pose a significant threat to this objective, as well as to related wider security, rule

¹ UNCTAD (WIR). Investing in the SDGs: An Action Plan: xxvi, 154.

² Sustainable Development Goal 17.1 (Strengthen domestic resource mobilization, including through international support to developing countries, to improve domestic capacity for tax and other revenue collection); AAAA § 22.

of law, and developmental concerns, such as building strong and resilient institutions to support structural transformation.

Smaller and poorer developing countries are disproportionally affected by the negative developmental consequences of IFFs; compounded by already weak governance structures that are necessary to prevent and counter IFFs, as well as low tax revenues that can be particularly severe in developing countries dependent on natural resource extraction. Strengthening intervention to combat IFFs arguably is one of the most cost-effective strategies to be pursued by the international community to facilitate the timely implementation of the 2030 Agenda and support vulnerable developing countries in this regard.

In recognition of the threat posed by IFFs, the 2030 Agenda includes the reduction of IFFs as one of the targets of Sustainable Development Goal 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions). The AAAA similarly states that "measures to combat corruption and curb illicit financial flows will be integral to our efforts" (§18), calls upon member States to "redouble efforts to substantially reduce illicit financial flows by 2030" (§23), and commits to "work to strengthen regulatory frameworks at all levels to further increase transparency and accountability of financial institutions and the corporate sector, as well as public administrations" (§25).

Main achievements and remaining challenges

Since the drain on development emanating from IFFs first caught the attention of wider audiences in governments, civil society and multilateral fora, considerable progress has been made in tackling such flows through a multitude of initiatives at national and international levels.

This includes the United Nations Convention against Corruption³ and the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime⁴ that provide the most comprehensive and universal instruments to-date to reduce IFFs originating from corruption and organized crime. Important steps forward are being taken to advance the recovery and return of 'stolen assets' (or the proceeds of corruption that have been transferred abroad) including through the joint World Bank/UNODC Stolen Asset Recovery (StAR) Initiative, its Asset Recovery Watch database, and the Global Forum on Asset Recovery (GFAR).

Similarly, the United Nations Tax Committee, the Financial Action Task Force, the OECD's Global Forum for Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes, its Multilateral Convention on Mutual Cooperation in Tax Matters and its Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project (in cooperation with the Group of 20) have all contributed to debates on and the implementation of measures to increase tax administration, tax transparency and cross-border information exchange mechanism, alongside numerous national initiatives. The World Bank's IFFs Risk Assessment Framework will also help countries to

³ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, Vol. 2349, no. 42146.

⁴ United Nations, *Treaty Series*, Vol. 2225, no. 39574.

enhance their capacities to develop economic and other policies to address IFFs more effectively.

Yet, important challenges remain:

- <u>Definitional challenge:</u> There remains no universally agreed-on definition of what constitutes IFFs, though there are some parameters for identifying IFFs. IFFs are often defined as constituting money that is illegally earned, transferred or used and that crosses borders. Second, there are generally three categories of IFFs, although these are not mutually exclusive or comprehensive: IFFs originating from transnational criminal activity; corruption-related IFFs; and tax-related IFFs.
- Measurement: The deliberately hidden nature of IFFs poses formidable problems for the reliable measurement of these flows. Existing estimates provide a partial picture, by regions and types of flows, and underlying statistical methods to estimate the volume of IFFs as well as conceptual frameworks for a comprehensive definition of the components of IFFs remain contentious. UNODC and UNCTAD, as co-custodians of SDG indicator 16.4. to reduce IFFs, are engaged, in cooperation with UNECLAC and UNECA, with testing and developing common statistical methods to measure IFFs and to provide data for the aggregate scale indicator under SDG target 16.4. Similarly, while certain mutual accountability frameworks do exist at the global level for preventing and countering IFFs such as the UNCAC Implementation Review Mechanism and the FATF monitoring process few quantitative tools and standards exist to objectively measure IFFs and international efforts to combat IFFs and ensure returns.
- Technology: The fast-growing role of information and communication technologies also requires close attention in the context of IFFs. Criminals including transnational organized crime groups can increasingly use digital avenues to facilitate cross-border trade and associated financial flows, for example through the criminal misuse of so-called 'cryptocurrencies'. At the same time, big data analytics, machine learning and neural network programming also provide an array of tools and methods to better predict illicit behavior and measure IFFs more accurately.
- Participation: For a variety of reasons, the participation of developing countries in multilateral initiatives to enhance tax transparency, such as the Automatic Exchange of Information initiative of the OECD, the G2O and the G7, remains limited. While there is a range of regional initiatives in developing countries, such as the Asset Recovery Inter-Agency Network of Southern Africa, the African Legal Support Facility and similar organizations in Latin America and Asia, these require closer interaction and integration with advanced-country led initiatives. There also is a need, more generally, for capacity-building support to combat IFFs in developing countries.

The United Nations, international cooperation on financial transparency and best practice on asset recovery and repatriation

The underlying problems of financial secrecy, without which IFFs would be on a much lower scale, are global and systemic. Countries can and should take powerful domestic steps, such as implementing requirements of publication of country-by-country reporting under the new standard of the OECD and taking forward country-level capacities to ensure best practice in asset recovery and repatriation, consistent with international agreements and established norms and taking into account multilateral initiatives to promote global regulatory frameworks in this regard. Yet there is an inherent need for global and inclusive debate, that takes on board the interests of all countries, to achieve meaningful progress in combating IFFs of all kinds.

The United Nations System is a natural forum for such debate. As mentioned above, it has already played a pivotal role in promoting inclusive debate as well as global regulatory frameworks to combat IFFs, for example through the United Nations Convention against Corruption, the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crimeongoing efforts to provide a transparent and comprehensive statistical framework to measure IFFs and substantive progress in promoting best practices on asset recovery and repatriation. But further progress is urgently required to further advance the promotion and comprehensive implementation of existing initiatives and frameworks, as well as to address remaining challenges and consider new and additional initiatives to support these efforts. Collaboration between the United Nations System and other international organizations and frameworks is also essential in supporting this action, including the area of anti-money laundering and countering terrorist financing.

<u>Objective</u>

This high-level meeting is being organized to take stock of progress made and ways to address remaining challenges to reduce IFFs and promote best practices in asset recovery and repatriation, as an important means to support the timely implementation of the 2030 Agenda, in cooperation with all relevant stakeholders. The main objectives of the meeting are to:

- facilitate ongoing exchange of experiences with the implementation of existing multilateral, regional and national initiatives in this regard, as well as views on how best to achieve further progress to address remaining challenges
- explore and highlight the focused efforts, including through improved coordination, that the United Nations Development System, the international financial institutions, regional organizations and other stakeholders can engage to promote global action to strengthen financial transparency and best practices,
- ensure comprehensive participation by all relevant countries, in existing and future initiatives, and to enhance technical and capacity-building support to developing countries in these areas.
- develop solid and concrete proposals and recommendations for future action.

<u>Format</u>

The high-level meeting, which will take place from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and 3 to 6 p.m., consists of an opening segment, two interactive panel discussions, a plenary segment for general discussion, and a closing segment. Draft Programme:

10:00 - 10:40	OPENING SEGMENT
10.00 - 10.40	
	Ms. Maria Fernanda Espinosa Garcés President of the Congral Assembly of the United Nations
	President of the General Assembly of the United Nations
	Secretary General of UNCTAD (tbc)
	Secretary General of UNODC (tbc)
10:40 - 12:00	INTERACTIVE PANEL 1
	Taking stock on achievements of contemporary efforts to combat IFF
	and moving on
	The significantly negative impacts of IFFs on development outcomes have been
	known for some time, if only by order of magnitudes and general trends. The
	panel, representing a mix of academic, country and multilateral expertise and
	experiences with the design and promotion of existing initiatives to reduce IFFs
	and implement asset recovery and repatriation, will generate discussion on
	lessons learnt and core challenges yet to address. Taking stock on achievements
	of contemporary efforts to combat illicit financial flows.
12:00 - 13:00	PLENARY SEGMENT
15:00 - 16:20	INTERACTIVE PANEL 2
	A global architecture to combat IFFs and strengthen recovery and
	return of illicit assets
	The United Nations' role in facilitating multilateral cooperation to combat IFFs
	is an important stepping stone to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda. This
	panel is expected to generate discussion on how best the United Nations System,
	in cooperation with all relevant stakeholders, can accelerate efforts to ensure an
	inclusive and effective global architecture to stem IFFs effectively and thereby
	help to meet commitments to the 2030 Agenda.
16:20 - 17:30	PLENARY SEGMENT
17:30 - 18:00	CLOSING REMARKS
	Ms. Maria Fernanda Espinosa Garcés
	President of the General Assembly of the United Nations

Participants

The meeting is open to Member States, Observers of the General Assembly and the United Nations system.

Outcome

The President of the General Assembly will prepare a summary of the discussion, which will inform the forthcoming Secretary-General's report on the implementation of resolutions A/RES/72/207 and A/RES/73/222, respectively.

Key Questions

Participants may wish to consider the following questions to guide their interactions in the panels:

- What are the major gaps and challenges facing effective global action on stemming increasing IFFs and on promoting timely and effective recovery and repatriation of stolen assets?
- What are the most important components of IFFs? How can statistical expertise capture the magnitude and impact of IFFs, as well as governmental efforts to prevent and counter them? How can data analysis and collection on IFFs help improve developmental outcomes, at national, regional and international levels?
- What do you consider the most appropriate/efficient measure to prevent and combat IFF and strengthen recovery and return of stolen assets? How have countries worked together to return confiscated stolen assets in an effective way?
- How does the rise of digital services affect the use of financial secrecy to facilitate IFFs as well as the ability of governments to monitor IFFs and enforce adequate administrative and legal action?
- How can we promote more effective global implementation of existing instruments, like the United Nations Convention against Corruption and the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, and address the need of new instruments, including the promotion of financial transparency.
- How can we harness the richness and multiplicity of current national, regional and multilateral initiatives to combat IFFs by enhancing the voice and participation of developing countries?

Illicit Financial Flows: Key Estimates

- One study estimates that 10 per cent of world gross product was held as private
 offshore financial wealth in October 2017.⁵ Existing data does not allow for a
 reliable estimate to determine what part of this wealth has been fully disclosed
 to tax authorities.
- UNODC has estimated that, in 2009, illicit flows stemming from criminal proceeds amounted to some 3.6 per cent of global GDP, equivalent to about US\$ 2.1 trillion, with illicit drugs generating the largest share of income from transnational organized crime. As a share of GDP, all crime proceeds tend to be higher in developing countries and to be laundered more frequently abroad.⁶
- The 2015 Report of the AU/UNECA High-Level Panel on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa suggests that, at a conservative estimate, IFFs from the continent amount to between US\$ 30 and 60 billion per year and have increased rapidly over the past decade. Related studies suggest that IFFs from Africa exceeded amounts required to cover the continent's external debt in 2008 and may have been equivalent to all of official development assistance received by Africa between 1970-2008.
- The Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) estimates that IFFs reached a total of \$765 billion for the period from 2004 to 2013, equivalent to 1.8 per cent of regional GDP on average throughout this period.⁸
- The most recent (2017) estimates of global losses due to corporate profit-shifting and tax avoidance range from US\$ 150-500 billion annually.9
- One estimate suggests that, if the profits of multinational enterprises were accounted for in the areas where their economic activity occurs, these businesses would pay a combined US\$ 500-650 billions more in annual corporate taxes. Approximately US\$ 200 billion of this amount would go to developing countries, significantly more than current official development aid estimated at just above US\$ 140 billions.¹⁰

⁵ Alstadsæter, A., N. Johannesen, and G. Zucman (2017) Who Owns the Wealth in Tax Havens? Macro Evidence and Implications for Global Inequality. *NBER Working Paper* No. 23805 (September).

⁶ UNODC (2014). Estimating illicit financial flows resulting from drug trafficking and other transnational organized crimes. Research Report. Vienna, October.

⁷AU/UNECA Conference of Ministers of Finance, Planning and Economic Development (2015). Report of the High-Level Panels on Illicit Financial Flows from Africa, pp. 33 and 91.

⁸ ECLAC. (2017). Flujos financieros ilícitos en América Latina y el Caribe. *ECLAC Publication*, Santiago de Chile, p. 25. ⁹ Report of the Inter-agency Task Force on Financing for Development (2018). *Financing for Development: Progress and Prospects*. United Nations publication. New York, p.44.

¹⁰Garcia-Bernando, J., E. Heemskerk, F. Takes and J. Fichtner. 2017. These five countries are conduits for the world's biggest tax havens. *Businesslive articles*, 26 July.