

PBF Performance Framework

Last updated: September 2025

 Target
 Achieved
 Disaggregated

1	Outcome	Indicators	Means of Verification	Baseline (as of Dec 2019)	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
	Strategic peacebuilding & prevention effects: PBF investments lead to more and better nationally led peacebuilding & prevention interventions, including in cross-border and transition contexts, and in support of more inclusion of women and youth	1.0.1 % of PBF active projects considered “on track with evidence of peacebuilding results”	Project score tracked in PBF Reporting Dashboard	36.5%	 30%  19.2%	 30%  23.6%	 30%  19%	 20%  21%	 20%  61%	 60% 	 60% 
		1.0.2 Percentage of eligible countries that adopted country-level strategic frameworks to guide PBF investment strategy	SDCFs, ISFs, PBF Strategic Frameworks	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	 50%  12%	 60%  40%	 50%  34.6%	 50%  50%	 50% 	 50% 
Outputs											
	1.1: PBF meets annual approval targets set for 2020-26	1.1.1 Total PBF annual approvals in USD	Annual PBF approval table	\$191m	 \$175m  \$173.7m	 \$210m  \$195m	 \$295m  \$231m	 \$350m  \$202m	 \$150m  \$116m	 \$250m 	 \$350m 
		1.2: PBF approves projects in line with priority windows, and in support of gender-responsive peacebuilding	1.2.1 % of PBF approvals that support gender-responsive peacebuilding	End of year review of project budgets dedicated to GEWE	 30%  40%	 30%  40%	 30%  47%	 30%  47%	 30%  47%	 30%  43%	 30% 
1.2.2 % of annual PBF approvals to transition settings	PBF Annual approval table		30%	 35%  20.4%	 35%  38.15%	 35%  33%	 within 5% of 2022 figure  19%	 within 5% of 2023 figure  25.5%	 30% 	 30% 	
1.2.3 % of annual PBF approvals to women's and youth empowerment	PBF Annual approval table		21%	 25%  34.4%  G-13.5%; Y-20.9%	 25%  26%  G- 13% ; Y- 13%	 25%  37%  G- 20% ; Y- 17%	 25%  28%  G- 13%; Y- 15%	 25%  27.4%  G- 9.9%; Y- 17.5%	 25% 	 25% 	
1.2.4 % of PBF approvals to cross-border initiatives	PBF Annual approval table		8%	 20%  16.5%	 20%  14%	 20%  12%	 10%  12%	 10%  14%	 15% 	 15% 	
	1.3: PBF distributes project approvals along priority areas as outlined in Terms of Reference	1.3.1 % of PBF approvals approved towards Implementing and Sustaining Peace Agreements	PBF annual approval table	16%	 19.3%	 15%	 17%	 12.9%	 16.3%		
		1.3.2 % of PBF approvals approved towards Dialogue and Peaceful Coexistence	PBF annual approval table	65%	 65.5%	 72%	 67%	 65.5%	 64.3%		
		1.3.3 % of PBF approvals approved towards Peace Dividends	PBF annual approval table	8%	 8.5%	 4%	 5%	 3.3%	 4.3%		
		1.3.4 % of PBF approvals dedicated approved towards Re-establishing Basic Services	PBF annual approval table	11%	 6.6%	 9%	 11%	 15.3%	 15.1%		

*Targets revised based on findings from the Midterm Review of the PBF Strategy and recommendations from the Board of Auditors.

1.4: PBF supports national ownership through establishment of Joint Steering Committees (or equivalent)	1.4.1 % of PRF-recipient countries that have Joint Steering Committee or equivalent in place that help ensure national ownership and facilitate strategic partnership and oversight between national, UN and other stakeholders	PRF country tracker	50%	 N/A  45	 60%  84%	 70%  59%	 70%  73%	 70%  88%	 80% 	 80% 
1.5: The PBF ensures a robust Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning system	1.5.1 % of PRF countries with strategic frameworks where outcome-level data is collected	PRF country tracker	N/A	N/A	 80%  33%	 80%  36%	 50%  100%	 50%  67%	 50% 	 50% 
	1.5.2 % of projects requiring project evaluations for which a final evaluation has been completed	Project evaluation tracking table	30%	 > than previous year  36.4%	 > than previous year  40.7%	 > than previous year  31%	 > than previous year  34%	 > than previous year  25%	 > than previous year 	 > than previous year 
	1.5.3 % of PRF countries with Strategic Frameworks that engage in community-based monitoring mechanisms or other feedback loops.	CBM analytic reports; minutes of JSC meetings	0%	N/A	 20%  33%	 30%  27%	 30%  87.5%	 35%  68%	 35% 	 35% 
	1.5.4 Number of Thematic Reviews commissioned annually	Terms of Reference finalized and consultancy contract issued	0%	 2  1	 2  1	 2  2	 1  1	 1  1	 1 	 1 

2

Outcome	Indicators	Means of Verification	Baseline (as of Dec 2019)	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Catalytic effect: PBF investments catalyze more investments in peacebuilding at country level, help unblock critical processes, and enable innovative approaches for peacebuilding and prevention	2.0.1a Additional \$ leveraged for peacebuilding initiatives after initial PBF investment	Three-year estimates in collaboration with PBF Secretariats, plus project reporting, and through portfolio evaluations	(2017-2019) 7 to 1	(2018-2020)  10 to 1  7.65	(2019-2021)  10 to 1  4.88	(2020-2022)  10 to 1  N/A	(2021-2023)  5 to 1  N/A	(2022-2024)  5 to 1  N/A	N/A	N/A
	2.0.1b % of projects that report catalytic effect	Project reports	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	 36.15% 		
	2.0.2 % of PBF approved projects leveraging innovative/blended finance	PBSO tracking through annual approval table and reporting on projects identified as aiming at innovative/blended finance	N/A	N/A	 3.08% 	 1.83% 	 1.08% 	 3.8% 		
Outputs										
2.1: PBF approves projects that are considered risk-tolerant	2.1.1 % of PBF approvals considered "high-risk" (Risk marker 2 & 3)	PBF annual approval table	N/A	 23.3% 	 29.86% 	 25% 	 23% 	 25.9% 		
2.2: PBF approves projects that seek to pilot new or untested approaches	2.2.1 % of PBF approved projects which include pilot components	Project Appraisal Committee (PAC) score card	N/A	N/A	 7.74% 	 1.83% 	 6.45% 	 30.7% 		

Outcome	Indicators	Means of Verification	Baseline (as of Dec 2019)	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Systemic coherence: PBF investments enable the United Nations system and partners to implement more coherent and integrated approaches to peacebuilding in a timely manner	3.0.1 % of PBF approved projects that are joint UN-CSO projects	PBF annual approval table	0%	10.6%	8.1%	4.5%	12.9%	6.3%		
	3.0.2 Number of PRF countries where PBF planning is aligned with new SDCFs	Annual Strategic Reports from RCs, PBF/DCO reporting	N/A	N/A	1 2	2 0	2 2	2 2	2 	2
	3.0.3 In countries with Peace and Development Advisors (PDAs), % of PBF countries where PDAs provided support to PBF processes including eligibility, design, implementation, and quality assurance	PDA reporting and annual survey through the Joint "PDA" Programme	95%	90% N/A	90% 95%	90% 100%	90% 100%	90% 100%	90% 	90%
	3.0.4 % of government, UN and donor respondents who rate PBF's integration role highly	Annual targeted partner survey in PRF countries	N/A	N/A	50% 45%	50% 64%	50% 70%	50% 73%	70% 	70%
Outputs										
3.1: PBF supports both short term and medium-to-long term peacebuilding initiatives	3.1.1 % of PBF approvals to IRF and PRF facilities	PBF annual approval table	IRF - 43.2%/ PRF -56.8%	45%/55% 49%/51%	45%/55% 44%/56%	45%/55% 47.7%/52.3%	45%/55% 48%/52%	45%/55% 37%/63%	40%/60% 	40%/60%
3.2: PBF provides support in UN peacekeeping and special political mission settings	3.2.1% of PBF approvals in peacekeeping mission settings	PBF annual approval table	39%	20%	27%	23%	18.8%	22.6%		
	3.2.2 % of PBF approvals in special political mission settings	PBF annual approval table	12%	6.6%	19%	21%	11%	10.4%		
3.3: PBF provides funding to civil society organizations	3.3.1 % of PBF funding to civil society organizations	PBF annual approval table	8%	10.5%	16.3%	14%	19%	18%		

Outcome	Indicators	Means of Verification	Baseline (as of Dec 2019)	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Fund efficiency & effectiveness: The PBF maintains and enhances management & governance systems that consolidate it as leading multilateral, pooled financing instrument with increased resources	4.0.1 Annual financial contributions to PBF in USD	MPTF Gateway	\$134.8m	 \$200m  \$180.2m	 \$220m  \$195m	 \$275m  \$164m	 \$330m  \$131.8m	 \$385m  \$143m	 \$250m 	 \$350m 
	4.0.2 # of contributors to PBF (disaggregating for existing versus new contributors in the current Strategic Plan)	MPTF Gateway	24	 24  24	 > than previous year  38 (17 new)	 > than previous year  33 (18 new)	 > than previous year  36	 > than previous year  33	 > than previous year 	 > than previous year 
	4.0.3 % of donors with multi-year commitments	MPTF Office	6%	 8%  16.6%	 11%  18.4%	 12%  24.2%	 14%  30.6%	 16%  30.3%	 35% 	 35% 
	4.0.4 % of contributions from top 12 donors	MPTF Gateway	96%	 < than previous year  95.2%	 < than previous year  93.5%	 < than previous year  92%	 < than previous year  91.94%	 < than previous year  91.45%	 < than previous year 	 < than previous year 
Outputs										
4.1: The PBF manages funds in a responsible and transparent way	4.1.1 PBF overhead as percentage of annual contributions	Fund Status / Administrative Records	1.8%	 Less than 3%  2.34%	 Less than 3%  2.48%	 Less than 3%  2.8%	 Less than 3%  3.63%	 Less than 3%  3.43%	 Less than 3% 	 Less than 3% 
	4.1.2 % of projects that are financially closed within 18 months after project's operational closure	Project reporting dashboard: overdue analysis	N/A	 50%  N/A	 60%  20.3%	 65%  5%	 25%  0%	 25%  0%	 25% 	 25% 
	4.1.3 # of meetings held by PBF to maximize transparency and accountability through regular, informative updates to donors	Minutes meetings and Chair's summaries of meetings	N/A	N/A	 4 meetings  4 GoF meetings	 4 meetings  4 GoF meetings	 4 meetings  4 GoF meetings	 4 meetings  4 GoF meetings	 5 meetings 	 5 meetings 