

2019-UNAT-965, Alkarazoun

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT held that the Appellant simply put forward several general complaints related to the alleged merits of her case but did not argue that the judgment was defective or that UNRWA DT committed an error in deciding that her application was not receivable. UNAT dismissed the appeal and affirmed the UNRWA DT judgment.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant contested the decision not to grant her a promotion after she had requested one. UNRWA DT dismissed the Applicant's application as not receivable *ratione materiae* on the grounds that her application failed to articulate a contested administrative decision and not receivable *ratione temporis* as her application had been filed more than a year after the deadline.

Legal Principle(s)

On appeal, an appellant has the burden to establish that the UNDT judgment is defective; it is not sufficient for an appellant to state he or she disagrees with the outcome or repeat arguments submitted before UNDT.

Outcome

Appeal dismissed on merits

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Alkarazoun

Entity

UNRWA

Case Number(s)

2019-1264

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

25 Oct 2019

President Judge

Judge Neven

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Burden of proof

Non-disciplinary

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Subject matter (*ratione materiae*)

Temporal (*ratione temporis*)

Applicable Law

Agreements, conventions, treaties (etc.)

- Special Agreement between the UN and UNRWA

UNRWA Area Staff Regulations

- Regulation 11.1

Related Judgments and Orders

2015-UNAT-540