# 2019-UNAT-928, Abdellaoui

#### **UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements**

UNAT held that the decision not to short-list the Appellant was an internal step within the selection process and not an administrative decision and that UNDT should have only received her application against the selection decision whilst the decision not to short-list the Appellant is examined as a part of the final nonselection decision. UNAT held that the appeal was defective as the Appellant did not clearly define the grounds of appeal as required under Article 2(1) of the UNAT Statute, however it considered the appeal on the basis that the Appellant was selfrepresented. UNAT rejected the Appellant's allegation that the case management of UNDT was flawed, as it has broad discretion in managing its cases and UNAT does not see any abuse in this discretion. UNAT held that the re-classification of the post in question was lawful and reasonable. UNAT agreed with UNDT that the Hiring Manager used a lawful and reasonable standard regarding the requirement of significant language-related management experience. UNAT held that it had no reason to doubt the UNDT finding that this standard was consistently applied to all candidates as the Appellant did not present any evidence that could show otherwise. UNAT considered that it was obvious that the Appellant did not fulfil the requirement of significant management experience and therefore it was lawful not to short-list or select her for the position. UNAT held that UNDT did not err in stating that the Appellant's roster status had no impact on the selection process or that she had not been unduly denied the opportunity to acquire in-house managerial experience. UNAT agreed with UNDT that the Appellant did not present any evidence to show that the decision not to short-list or select her was motivated by extraneous factors. UNAT held that absent any illegality, there could be no compensation awarded. UNAT dismissed the appeal and affirmed the UNDT judgment.

#### Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant contested the decisions not to short-list or select her for a position.

UNDT dismissed the applications having found that she had been given full and fair

consideration.

#### Legal Principle(s)

An appeal is defective when an Appellant fails to clearly define the grounds of appeal as required under Article 2(1) of the UNAT Statute. UNDT has broad discretion in managing its cases. Absent any illegality, there can be no compensation.

#### Outcome

Appeal dismissed on merits

Full judgment

Full judgment

Applicants/Appellants

Abdellaoui

**Entity** 

**UNOG** 

Case Number(s)

2019-1224

**Tribunal** 

**UNAT** 

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

28 Jun 2019

# President Judge

Judge Knierim

# Language of Judgment

English

#### **Issuance Type**

Judgment

# Categories/Subcategories

Staff selection (non-selection/non-promotion)
Eligibility
Full and fair consideration
Selection decision

# **Applicable Law**

**UNAT Statute** 

• Article 2.1

# Related Judgments and Orders

UNDT/2018/113