

# 2017-UNAT-752, Baguma et al.

## UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT held that the Appellants had raised neither factual difference nor legal issues different from those canvassed in companion cases and disposed of in judgment No. 2017-UNAT-750 (Kagizi et al. ) and UNAT, therefore, adopted the reasoning from its prior judgment at paragraphs 18-27. UNAT dismissed the appeals and affirmed the UNDT judgments.

## Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicants contested the decisions not to renew their appointment on grounds of the abolition of their posts. In sum, UNDT found that the Applications were not receivable and the decisions were lawful.

## Legal Principle(s)

An administrative decision taken as a result of the General Assembly decision is lawful and the Secretary-General cannot be held accountable for executing such a decision.

## Outcome

Appeal dismissed on merits

## Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

## Applicants/Appellants

Baguma et al.

## Entity

MONUSCO

## Case Number(s)

2016-1001

2016-1002

2016-1003

2016-1004

2016-1005

2016-1006

2016-1007

## Tribunal

UNAT

## Registry

New York

## Date of Judgement

14 Jul 2017

## President Judge

Judge Chapman

## Language of Judgment

English

## Issuance Type

Judgment

## Categories/Subcategories

Abolition of post

Termination

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Subject matter (*ratione materiae*)

Termination (of appointment)

Abolition of position

## Applicable Law

Administrative Instructions

- ST/AI/2013/4

## Related Judgments and Orders

UNDT/2016/164

UNDT/2016/174

UNDT/2016/172

UNDT/2016/167

UNDT/2016/170

UNDT/2016/171

UNDT/2016/173