

2017-UNAT-719, Saeed

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT considered a request for revision of judgment. UNAT held that Mr Saeed had not presented any new and decisive fact and that therefore his application was without merit. UNAT dismissed the application for revision.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

Previous UNAT judgment: Mr Saeed contested the decision to approve a new workflow in a division. In judgment No. 2016-UNAT-617, UNAT dismissed the appeal in its entirety, stating that Mr Saeed had not based his appeal on any grounds for appeal set forth in the UNAT Statute. With regard to the approval of the new workflow, UNAT held that even if it were to be considered a decision subject to appeal, the request for decision review was submitted after the expiry of the deadline as correctly pointed out by UNRWA DT.

Legal Principle(s)

The procedure of a revision of judgment is corrective in nature and not an opportunity for an applicant to reargue his case.

Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Saeed

Entity

UNRWA

Case Number(s)

2016-954

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

31 Mar 2017

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters

Revision of Judgment

Applicable Law

UNAT RoP

- Article 24

UNAT Statute

- Article 11.1

Related Judgments and Orders

2016-UNAT-617