

2017-UNAT-710, Tarr

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT held that UNDT did not err on a question of law or fact and had identified the relevant administrative decision to trigger the time limits for a request for management evaluation. UNAT held there was no legal difference between an assignment and a reassignment. UNAT held that the Appellant's argument that two different administrative decisions were notified to her was without merit. UNAT held that the Appellant's argument that a communication of entitlements and benefits cannot constitute a notification of the underlying administrative decision concerning status was without merit. UNAT dismissed the appeal and affirmed the UNDT judgment.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant contested the decision not to pay her a number of benefits and entitlements following her reassignment to Uganda due to an outbreak of Ebola in Liberia. UNDT rejected her application as not receivable because she had failed to contest the terms of her reassignment by requesting management evaluation within sixty days.

Legal Principle(s)

Left deliberately blank

Outcome

Appeal dismissed on merits

Outcome Extra Text

Th

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Tarr

Entity

UNMIL

Case Number(s)

2016-945

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

31 Mar 2017

President Judge

Judge Knierim

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Benefits and entitlements

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Management Evaluation

Reassignment or transfer

Applicable Law

Staff Rules

- Rule 3.14(a)
- Rule 3.7(c)
- Rule 4.8

Related Judgments and Orders

UNDT/2016/053