

2015-UNAT-504, Hassan

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT held that the Appellant raised the same issues he raised before UNRWA DT and did not identify how the judgment was in any way defective. UNAT held that the Appellant did not identify any of the required grounds of appeal and failed to demonstrate that UNRWA DT committed any error of fact or law in arriving at its decision. UNAT held that the Appellant's case was fully and fairly considered by UNRWA DT and found no error of law or fact in its decision. UNAT dismissed the appeal and affirmed the UNRWA DT judgment.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant, an Assistant Head Teacher, contested his transfer to another school. UNRWA DT issued a summary judgment rejecting the application as time-barred and non-receivable *ratione temporis*.

Legal Principle(s)

The appeals procedure is of a corrective nature and is not an opportunity for a dissatisfied party to reargue his or her case. A party must demonstrate that the court below committed an error of fact or law warranting intervention by UNAT.

Outcome

Appeal dismissed on merits

Outcome Extra Text

No relief ordered; No relief ordered

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Hassan

Entity

UNRWA

Case Number(s)

2014-574

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

26 Feb 2015

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Temporal (ratione temporis)

Applicable Law

Agreements, conventions, treaties (etc.)

- Special Agreement between the UN and UNRWA

UNRWA Area Staff Rules

- Rule 111.2

UNRWA DT RoP

- Article 3.1

UNRWA DT Statute

- Article 2.1

Related Judgments and Orders

2010-UNAT-035

2011-UNAT-123

2014-UNAT-414

2013-UNAT-340