

# 2015-UNAT-497, Chaaban

## UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT considered two applications, one for correction and one for revision, relating to judgment No. 2013-UNAT-363. UNAT held that Mr Chaaban failed to show any clerical or arithmetical mistake to justify the correction of the judgment. UNAT held that Mr Chaaban failed to identify any decisive fact unknown at the time of the judgment to warrant its revision. UNAT dismissed both applications.

## Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

In judgment No. 2013-UNAT-363, UNAT affirmed the UNRWA DT's time-bar finding. UNAT held that Mr Chaaban did not allege any special circumstances that had prevented him from submitting a timely application, but argued that UNRWA DT should have waived the time limit for his application given that it had done so, for a much longer period of time, with respect to the Commissioner-General's late reply.

## Legal Principle(s)

An application seeking review of a final judgment rendered by UNAT can only succeed if it fulfils the strict and exceptional criteria established under its Statute.

## Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Chaaban

Entity

UNRWA

Case Number(s)

2014-565

2014-566

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

26 Feb 2015

President Judge

Judge Adinyira

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters

Correction of Judgment

Revision of Judgment

Applicable Law

## UNAT RoP

- Article 24
- Article 26

## UNAT Statute

- Article 11

## Related Judgments and Orders

2013-UNAT-363

2011-UNAT-129

2013-UNAT-394