# 2014-UNAT-491, Roig

#### **UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements**

UNAT considered Ms Roig's application for correction and noted that there were no clerical or arithmetical mistakes in the judgment. UNAT noted that the correction procedure is not an opportunity for a party to reargue their case or to merely repeat arguments that did not previously succeed. UNAT dismissed the application for correction.

#### Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

Former UNAT judgment: Ms Roig challenged the selection of another candidate for a position. UNDT rejected her application as time-barred and not receivable. UNAT affirmed UNDT's decision and dismissed Ms Roig's appeal.

#### Legal Principle(s)

The correction procedure is not an opportunity for a party to reargue their case or to merely repeat arguments that did not previously succeed.

#### Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

Full judgment

Applicants/Appellants

Roig

### **Entity**

DESA

### Case Number(s)

2014-552

#### **Tribunal**

**UNAT** 

### Registry

New York

# Date of Judgement

17 Oct 2014

# Language of Judgment

**English** 

#### Issuance Type

Judgment

# Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters Correction of Judgment

### **Applicable Law**

**UNAT RoP** 

• Article 26

**UNAT Statute** 

• Article 11.2

# Related Judgments and Orders

2013-UNAT-368 2013-UNAT-321