2013-UNAT-392, Maghari

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT considered Mr Maghari's application for revision of judgment No. 2010-UNAT-039. UNAT held that the application was receivable ratione temporis. UNAT held that the grounds filed did not fall within Article 11(1) of the UNAT Statute and did not constitute a decisive fact which was, at the time the judgment was rendered, known to UNAT and to the party applying for revision. UNAT held that Mr Maghari merely disagreed with the UNAT decision and sought to reargue his appeal. UNAT dismissed the application for revision.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

In judgment No. 2010-UNAT-039, UNAT affirmed the Commissioner-General's decision to dismiss Mr Maghari's appeal.

Legal Principle(s)

An application for revision is not a substitute for an appeal. A revision of a final judgment is an exceptional procedure and not an additional opportunity for a party to re-litigate arguments that failed at trial or on appeal.

Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

Full judgment

Applicants/Appellants

Maghari

Entity

UNRWA

Case Number(s)

2013-462

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

17 Oct 2013

President Judge

Judge Adinyira

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters

Revision of Judgment

Applicable Law

UNAT RoP

• Article 24

UNAT Statute

• Article 11.1

Related Judgments and Orders

2010-UNAT-039

2011-UNAT-102

2013-UNAT-356

2013-UNAT-352

2011-UNAT-145

2011-UNAT-128