

2013-UNAT-354, Lebouef et al.

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT considered an application for interpretation of judgment No. 2011-UNAT-185. UNAT held that the issues raised by the Applicants had already been addressed by UNDT in its Case Management Order. UNAT held that the Case Management Order was within the jurisdiction of UNDT, so there was no justification for any interference by this Tribunal. UNAT held that the application for interpretation would lead to such interference and therefore could not be admitted. UNAT rejected the application for interpretation.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

Previous UNAT judgment: The Applicants contested their Department's interpretation and application of the Organisation's rules on compensation for overtime work. In judgment No. UNDT/2010/206, UNDT dismissed the application. In judgment No. 2011-UNAT-185, UNAT vacated the UNDT judgment and remanded the case for further proceedings. Subsequently, UNDT issued Order No. 182 (NY/2012) (Case Management Order), in which it made certain orders for the further conduct of the case.

Legal Principle(s)

UNDT is in the best position to decide what is appropriate for the fair and expeditious disposal of a case and to do justice to the parties. UNAT will not lightly interfere with the broad discretion of UNDT in the management of cases.

Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Lebouef et al.

Entity

DGACM

Case Number(s)

2012-410

Tribunal

UNAT

Registry

New York

Date of Judgement

28 Jun 2013

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters

Interpretation of Judgment

Procedure (first instance and UNAT)

Case management

Applicable Law

UNDT RoP

- Article 19

Related Judgments and Orders

2011-UNAT-185

2010-UNAT-062