# **2013-UNAT-317, El Khatib**

#### **UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements**

UNAT considered Mr El Khatib's application for revision of judgment No. 2011-UNAT-142. UNAT held that Mr El Khatib did not identify any fact unknown at the time of the impugned judgment which could justify its review. UNAT held that what he actually sought was a discussion of the amount of compensation awarded to him, an option not granted by the Statute. UNAT held that the petition did not meet the statutory requirements. UNAT dismissed the application for revision.

### Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

Mr El Khatib had contested the abolition of his post and the delay in payment of salary increments. On appeal, UNAT held that he had not been properly treated and awarded compensation in the amount of three months' net base salary.

### Legal Principle(s)

Any application which seeks review of a final judgment rendered by UNAT can only succeed if it fulfils the strict and exceptional criteria established by Article 11 of the UNAT Statute.

### Outcome

Appeal granted

#### **Outcome Extra Text**

Only financial compensation; Only financial compensation.

## Full judgment

#### Full judgment

## Applicants/Appellants

El Khatib

## **Entity**

**UNRWA** 

### Case Number(s)

2010-139

### **Tribunal**

**UNAT** 

### Registry

New York

## Date of Judgement

8 Jul 2011

## President Judge

Judge Simón

## Language of Judgment

English

### Issuance Type

Judgment

# Categories/Subcategories

Judgment-related matters Revision of Judgment

## **Applicable Law**

**UNAT RoP** 

• Article 24

**UNAT Statute** 

• Article 11.1

## Related Judgments and Orders

2011-UNAT-129 2011-UNAT-128