2011-UNAT-185, Leboeuf et al.

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

UNAT noted that the language on overtime was interpreted for around 50 years in one way and then was changed and that there was some ambiguity in the provision. UNAT noted that it was still unclear on some issues surrounding whether it was proper for Staff Rules to apply differently in different duty stations and that UNDT should hear evidence on the issue, including on potential differences in application amongst departments in New York. UNAT vacated the UNDT judgment and remanded it to UNDT for further proceedings.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicants contested what they considered to be new practices on overtime and compensatory time off, namely that a staff member must have actually worked eight hours before becoming eligible for payment of overtime. UNDT found against the Applicants.

Legal Principle(s)

Left deliberately blank

Outcome

Appeal granted

Full judgment

Full judgment

Applicants/Appellants

Entity
DGACM
Case Number(s)
2011-193
Tribunal
UNAT
Registry
New York
Date of Judgement
21 Oct 2011
President Judge
Judge Painter
Language of Judgment
English
Issuance Type
Judgment
Categories/Subcategories
TEST -Rename- Benefits and entitlements-45
Applicable Law

Leboeuf et al.

Former Staff Rules

• Appendix B

Staff Rules

• Rule 3.1

Related Judgments and Orders

UNDT/2010/206