# 2010-UNAT-068, Appellant

#### **UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements**

UNAT held that the Appellant filed her claim against the wrong entity (the Secretary-General) when her case was, in actual fact, against UNRWA. UNAT held that the claim was time-barred. UNAT held that the appeal to JAB was also out of time. UNAT dismissed the appeal.

### Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

Previous decision: The Appellant contested the decision to reject her claim of sexual harassment by her former supervisor. The Secretary-General informed the Appellant that he agreed with the conclusion of the UNRWA Joint Appeals Board (JAB) that it lacked jurisdiction and that he had therefore decided to take no further action.

### Legal Principle(s)

Left deliberately blank.

### Outcome

Appeal dismissed on receivability

### **Outcome Extra Text**

No relief ordered; No relief ordered.

## Full judgment

Full judgment

## Applicants/Appellants

**Appellant** 

## **Entity**

**UNRWA** 

## Case Number(s)

2010-036

#### **Tribunal**

**UNAT** 

## Registry

**New York** 

## Date of Judgement

29 Oct 2010

## President Judge

Judge Painter

## Language of Judgment

English

## Issuance Type

Judgment

## Categories/Subcategories

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNAT)
Temporal (ratione temporis)
Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Temporal (ratione temporis)

# **Applicable Law**

Former UN Administrative Tribunal Statute

• Article 7.4