

UNDT/2019/179, Viteskic

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

The Tribunal considered that despite the Applicant's characterization of the contested decision as a "written reprimand" in his request for management evaluation and in his application, there was never a reprimand issued by an authorized official and, thus, there was no administrative decision to contest. The Tribunal, therefore, found that the application was not receivable *ratione materiae*. The Tribunal also noted that since the record related to the investigation of a complaint made against the Applicant was deleted from the Misconduct Tracking System ("MTS"), the Applicant's claim in this respect became moot. The Tribunal further notes that the factual background provided in its decision did not reveal any material or information concerning the Applicant requiring protection. Consequently, the Tribunal found no grounds warranting anonymization and rejected the Applicant's motion in this regard.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant contests the decision to issue him a written reprimand

Legal Principle(s)

N/A

Outcome

Dismissed as not receivable

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Viteskic

Entity

DPKO

Case Number(s)

UNDT/GVA/2018/008

Tribunal

UNDT

Registry

Geneva

Date of Judgement

17 Dec 2019

Duty Judge

Judge Bravo

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Administrative decision

Applicable Law

Secretary-General's bulletins

- ST/SGB/2008/5

Staff Rules

- Rule 10.2

UNDT Statute

UNAT Statute

- Article 2.1(a)

Related Judgments and Orders

UNDT/2016/022