

UNDT/2019/170, Handy

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

The Tribunal dismissed the application for want of a valid statutory basis. The Tribunal found that the Applicant was not seeking a revision of the Judgment per se rather he was asking for an order or a mechanism of enforcing the administrative decision that had rendered his initial application moot.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant filed the application for revision of Judgment No. UNDT/2019/151 on the ground that there existed a decisive fact that was, at the time the Judgment was rendered, unknown to the Tribunal and the Applicant.

Legal Principle(s)

Either party to the dispute may apply to the Tribunal for a revision of an executable judgment on the basis of the discovery of a decisive fact which was, at the time the judgment was rendered, unknown to the Dispute Tribunal and to the party applying for revision, always provided that such ignorance was not due to negligence.

Outcome

Revision, correction, interpretation or execution

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Handy

Entity

MINUSCA

Case Number(s)

UNDT/NBI/2019/147

Tribunal

UNDT

Registry

Nairobi

Date of Judgement

26 Nov 2019

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Applicable Law

UNDT RoP

- Article 29.1

UNDT Statute

- Article 12.1