

UNDT/2014/111, Bello

UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements

The Tribunal decided, by way of summary judgment, that it was not competent to examine the application, since the Applicant did not contest an administrative decision taken by the Secretary-General as the Chief Administrative Officer of the United Nations and since the IMO is not one of the organizations or entities with which a special agreement has been concluded under the terms of art. 2.5 of the Tribunal's Statute. C

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant, employed by the International Maritime Organization ("IMO"), filed an application entitled "Service incurred injury" with the UNDT, contesting a series of decisions taken by IMO officials and relating to the injuries she suffered after an accident she had in the IMO premises.

Legal Principle(s)

ompetence: The Tribunal is not competent to examine applications directed against decisions emanating from Officials of the IMO, which is not one of the organizations or entities with which a special agreement has been concluded under the terms of art. 2.5 of the Tribunal's Statute.

Outcome

Dismissed as not receivable

Full judgment

[Full judgment](#)

Applicants/Appellants

Bello

Entity

IMO

Case Number(s)

UNDT/GVA/2014/62

Tribunal

UNDT

Registry

Geneva

Date of Judgement

20 Aug 2014

Duty Judge

Judge Laker

Language of Judgment

English

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Jurisdiction / receivability (UNDT or first instance)

Subject matter (ratione materiae)

Applicable Law

UNDT RoP

- Article 9

UNDT Statute

- Article 2.5
- Article 3.1(a)

UNAT Statute

- Article 2.1(a)

Related Judgments and Orders

2013-UNAT-313

2013-UNAT-335

2014-UNAT-406