## UNDT/2010/193, Hepworth

## **UNAT Held or UNDT Pronouncements**

The applicant did not have a legitimate expectancy of renewal. No express promise by the Administration could be found. Had there been one, the letters of appointment signed by the applicant explicitly state that fixed-term appointments do not carry any expectancy of renewal. No promise could override the clear words of the letters of appointment signed subsequently. It cannot be stated that the non-renewal decision was based on improper motives or otherwise constituted an abuse of discretion. The Organization was not bound to give any justification for not extending the applicant's fixed-term appointment. Since the applicant withdrew his request for management evaluation of the decision to transfer him, that decision can only be considered as a fact which is no longer open to judicial review.

Decision Contested or Judgment/Order Appealed

The Applicant contested the decision not to renew his contract.

Legal Principle(s)

N/A

Outcome

Dismissed on merits

Full judgment

Full judgment

Applicants/Appellants

Hepworth

**Entity** 

**UNEP** 

Case Number(s)

UNDT/GVA/2009/095

Tribunal

**UNDT** 

Registry

Geneva

Date of Judgement

27 Oct 2010

**Duty Judge** 

Judge Laker

Language of Judgment

**English** 

French

Issuance Type

Judgment

Categories/Subcategories

Appointment (type)

Fixed-term appointment

Disciplinary matters / misconduct

Abuse of authority

Non-renewal No expectancy of renewal Applicable Law Administrative Instructions

• ST/AI/2006/3

## Former Staff Regulations

• Regulation 1.2(c)

## Former Staff Rules

- Rule 101.2(b)
- Rule 104.12(b)(ii)
- Rule 109.7

Related Judgments and Orders UNDT/2010/005 UNDT/2010/161 UNDT/2009/003 UNDT/2010/009