Overview

The Economic and Social Council will hold its Special high-level meeting with the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, the World Trade Organization and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development on 14 and 15 April at the United Nations Headquarters, New York. The overall theme of the meeting will be “Coherence, coordination and cooperation in the context of financing for sustainable development and the post-2015 development agenda”. The meeting will be structured around three topics.

A Ministerial segment will be held on the theme:

1. World economic situation and prospects.

The meeting will also feature two informal thematic debates on:

2. Mobilization of financial resources and their effective use for sustainable development;

The thematic debates will be held consecutively in an informal plenary setting, to facilitate greater engagement of all participants and promote an open exchange of views and experiences among all stakeholders. The outcome of the meeting will be a summary by the President of ECOSOC.

A note by the Secretary-General on “Coherence, coordination and cooperation in the context of financing for sustainable development and a post-2015 development agenda” (E/2014/53) provides detailed background information for the meeting and suggests points for reflection to inform the discussion. This newsletter summarizes some of the main points included in the note by the Secretary-General.

Theme 1: World economic situation and prospects

The global economic outlook, as projected in the United Nations World Economic Situation and Prospects 2014, remains broadly positive. World gross product is expected to grow by 3.0 per cent in 2014, a measurable improvement from the subdued growth of 2.1 per cent preliminarily estimated for 2013.

The improved global prospects for 2014 are primarily predicated on two considerations: a strengthened recovery in major developed economies and the stabilization of growth in large emerging economies. With the euro area finally extricating itself from a protracted recession, all major developed economies are aligned on the same upward trajectory for the first time since 2011. This will not only reinforce the recovery among developed economies, but will also produce an impetus to the growth of developing and emerging economies. Growth prospects remain relatively robust in Africa. Meanwhile, a few large emerging economies, such as China and India, have managed to backstop the growth deceleration they experienced over the past few years.

Nonetheless, the projected growth is still insufficient to narrow the output gap in the world economy in the aftermath of the global financial crisis.

The global employment situation remains weak, as long-lasting effects from the financial crisis continue to weigh on labour markets in many countries and regions. Among developed economies, the euro area is facing the most challenging situation, with the unemployment rates currently reaching 27 per cent in Greece and Spain, and youth unemployment rates over 50 per cent. The unemployment rate has declined in the United States, but remains elevated.
In developing countries and economies in transition, the unemployment situation is mixed, with extremely high structural unemployment in North Africa and Western Asia, particularly among youth. By contrast, unemployment rates in Latin America and the Caribbean are at record lows. Still, high rates of informal employment as well as pronounced gender gaps in employment continue to characterize labour markets in numerous developing countries.

Inflation on the other hand remains tame worldwide, partly reflecting excess capacity, high unemployment, fiscal austerity and a continued financial deleveraging in major developed economies. Among developed economies, deflationary concerns are rising in the euro area, while Japan has managed to end its decade-long deflation. Among developing countries and economies in transition, inflation rates are above 10 per cent in only about a dozen economies scattered across different regions, particularly in South Asia and Africa.

Growth of world merchandise trade weakened further in 2013, dragged down by slow global growth. Sluggish demand in many developed countries and faltering growth in developing countries led to a decline in world export volume growth from 3.1 per cent in 2012 to only 2.3 per cent in 2013—well below the trend prior to the financial crisis. The prospects for world trade are expected to improve, driven by a modest increase in demand in Europe, further recovery in the United States and a return to more dynamic trade in East Asia. Growth of world exports is projected to be 4.6 per cent in 2014. Trade in services, recovering faster than merchandise trade, is expected to continue growing over the forecast period.

Private capital inflows to a number of developing countries and economies in transition declined in 2013 and early 2014, along with significantly increased volatility in the financial markets of emerging economies, resulting in equity market sell-offs and sharp depreciations of local currencies. This was partly triggered by the United States Federal Reserve decision to taper the amount of its monthly purchases of long-term assets.

The unwinding of unconventional monetary policies adopted in major developed countries indeed poses major risks for global economic growth and financial stability in the coming years. Since May 2013, when the United States Federal Reserve signalled the possibility of tapering the size of its monthly purchases of long-term assets, the world economy has already encountered two waves of financial turmoil. Yet, sustained and equitable growth will be a precondition for mobilizing additional resources for sustainable development.

Questions for discussion:

- What type of macroeconomic policies worldwide can support a strong, balanced and sustainable recovery and help close the persistent output gap in the aftermath of the world financial and economic crisis?
- How do we account for large differences in unemployment rates across regions and income groups? What policy measures can be used to reduce youth unemployment, increase formal employment, and lower the gender gap in labour markets?
- In addition to the cyclical downturn associated with the global financial and economic crisis, how have some structural factors, such as globalization and productivity impacted labour markets? What is the relationship between productivity growth and unemployment? What measures can countries take to increase employment while inducing greater productivity growth and innovation?
- How can developing countries most effectively manage the ramifications of the quantitative easing unwinding in developed countries?

Theme 2: Mobilization of financial resources and their effective use for sustainable development

A broad and comprehensive post-2015 development agenda, with poverty eradication and sustainable development at its core, will require a comprehensive financing framework. To this end, the General Assembly established the Intergovernmental Committee of Experts on Sustainable Development Financing in follow-up to Rio+20, to develop options for a sustainable development financing strategy.

Although the Committee is still in the process of formulating its report, to be issued in September 2014, some preliminary conclusions have already been reached. In particular, participants agreed that the Monterrey Consensus and the Doha Declaration on Financing for Development provide the basis for the financing framework, keeping in perspective lessons learnt and emerging challenges. In this context, it was agreed that the domestic and international policy environments, including a fair multilateral trading system, external debt sustainability and good governance at the national and international levels, have a profound impact on the mobilization of finance for sustainable development.

There is a consensus that the financing framework should emphasize the complementarities and synergies across the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainability. Given the large financing needs,
there is also a strong consensus that all types of flows will be necessary, including domestic, international, public and private. Public financing, including ODA and domestic resource mobilization, along with an appropriate policy framework, will remain indispensable. Nonetheless, given the sheer size of financing needs, it is clear that public resources alone will not be sufficient.

In the past, different financing streams were often seen as substitutable, based on the view that money is fungible. However, recent analysis, which has focused on underlying incentives, has emphasized that different financing streams have different mandates, characteristics, goals, and incentive structures and should be seen as complementary, rather than supplementary. For example, private finance will be more efficient than public finance in many instances; however, the short term nature of some private flows might add risks that make these flows inappropriate for certain investments. In addition, private financing is unlikely to be sufficient in areas of public goods and social needs.

Although estimates of the financing needs for sustainable development are necessarily imprecise, studies conclude, without exception, that needs are extremely large. Investment requirements for an energy transition respecting agreed climate targets alone are of the order of trillions of US dollars per year. While part of the needed investment would flow automatically if energy prices were set to fully reflect supply costs and environmental damages, there would still be insufficient financing in many public goods, including financing the global commons. Similarly, there is insufficient long-term financing for critical infrastructure needs, not only in the environmental pillar, but also for social needs, and for various economic entities, such as SMEs, which are important means for employment generation in many countries. An enabling environment and the rule of law, both emphasized in the Monterrey Consensus, are critical for long-term investment. Nonetheless, there is currently a shortage of financing in critical areas even in countries with relatively strong enabling environments.

Despite small (but growing) pockets of socially conscious investors, private capital remains driven by the profit motive. The private sector thus under-invests in public goals because returns on these investments are not generally competitive on a risk-adjusted basis. Nonetheless, estimated financing needs still represent a relatively small portion of global savings of around $17 trillion in 2012. Thus, a strengthened financing framework that incentivizes a partial reallocation of savings to finance sustainable development could have an enormous impact.

In short, a strengthened financing framework would ask the question of how to create a global financial system—including its public, private, domestic, and international components—that incentivizes investors and other stakeholders to act in the interest of global sustainable development.

As recognized by the Intergovernmental Committee of Experts on Sustainable Development Financing, the Monterrey Consensus should form the basis of a sustainable development financing strategy. In addition, as noted in the Doha Declaration on Financing for Development, “multiple financing for development challenges and opportunities have emerged since the Monterrey Conference, including the impact of the financial crisis, additional costs of climate change mitigation and adaptation and damage to the Earth’s environment.” There have also been changes to the development landscape, with the growth of middle-income countries, as well as a greater focus on the role of the private sector. At the same time, the financial crisis has underscored limits and risks in private sector financing and the importance of financial market stability to the real economy.

The underlying premise of the Monterrey Consensus is that “each country has primary responsibility for its own economic and social development” while “national development efforts need to be supported by an enabling international economic environment.” Domestic resource mobilization, the first chapter of the Monterrey Consensus, emphasizes the importance of national responsibility. The following chapters on international private flows, foreign aid and external debt bring in the additional financing streams. Chapters on trade and systemic issues (and, to some extent, external debt) focus on the importance of providing a stable international environment conducive to growth.

There has been substantial progress in all of these areas since the Monterrey agreement was forged. Nonetheless, the post-2015 development agenda provides a unique opportunity to redouble efforts in each of these areas, as well as to strengthen the financing strategy to address global development challenges.

---

1 There are a host of market failures, which would likely preclude the flow of financing. See the United Nations World Economic and Social Survey 2011, Chapter 5.
Questions for discussion:

- While private for-profit investors can meet many of the investment needs for sustainable development, some activities are best addressed by Governments, in many cases supported by official cooperation. What lessons can be drawn from the experiences and international discussions thus far to boost cooperation effectiveness and strengthen confidence in the efficacy of increased development cooperation flows?

- What kinds of policies would help incentivize greater private sector financing of sustainable development? How can public resources more effectively leverage private sector investments for sustainable development? What can be learned from past experiences and what mechanisms would be most effective in different circumstances?

- There is a lot of interest in corporate social responsibility. Will this be sufficient to fill financing needs, or are regulatory and other policy approaches also necessary?

- What are the implications of a comprehensive post-2015 development agenda focused on poverty eradication and sustainable development for the relationship between traditional development cooperation and climate financing?

Theme 3: Global partnership for sustainable development in the context of the post-2015 development agenda

The concept of a global partnership for development as a set of commitments on promoting development has a long history at the United Nations. This history includes the Monterrey Consensus, the Doha Declaration on Financing for Development and the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, but goes back much further. As the international community moves towards formulating a post-2015 development agenda focused on poverty eradication and sustainable development, the relationship between traditional development cooperation and climate financing?

The concept of a global partnership gained momentum in follow-up to the 2000 Millennium Declaration and the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). MDGs 1-7 reflected concrete development objectives, while MDG 8 spoke to their means of implementation and called on the international community "to develop a global partnership for development". The global partnership for development embodied in MDG 8 addresses key building blocks for a more effective system of international development cooperation in five areas: (i) official development assistance; (ii) market access (trade); (iii) external debt sustainability; (iv) access to affordable essential medicines; and (v) new technologies, especially information and communications. In addition, it emphasized the special needs of LDCs, Landlocked Developing Countries (LLDCs) and Small Island Developing States (SIDS).

MDG 8 played a critical role in the MDG framework, as it recognized the importance of an enabling external economic environment. However, it lacked specific targets to finance the MDGs and development more broadly. Indeed, given the complexity of the international financial system, it would be difficult to circumscribe the wide set of actions required to develop a stable international financial system able to support development within a goal framework.

In this context, the preparations of the 2002 International Conference on Financing for Development in Monterrey, which had started three years earlier, provided a unique opportunity for the international community to spell out a broad financing for development framework, taking into account all sources of development financing, and also addressing in a systematic manner the international financial architecture, financial stability and other systemic issues as key components of the global partnership for development.

The Monterrey Consensus contained a wide range of commitments by both developed and developing countries, including financial commitments, as well as commitments to policy action at the domestic and international levels. It spelled out the means to arrive at an effective system of international development cooperation in a comprehensive manner. Similar to MDG 8, it included official development assistance, market access and debt sustainability as three of its key areas, but it also addressed domestic resource mobilization, private financing flows and systemic issues.

Since its formulation, the global partnership has inspired serious efforts and galvanized action by all
stakeholders across a range of policy areas. As a result, the world has witnessed significant progress in meeting the MDGs. However, progress has been uneven and unfinished business remains. In addition, new challenges have emerged or have become more urgent.

For this reason, the post-2015 development agenda will have to be underpinned by a renewed and strengthened global partnership for sustainable development, grounded on the values of equity, solidarity and human rights, which builds on the strengths of the existing framework, while taking on board the lessons learned, and addressing new challenges. Commitments to policy action will have to be broader than in MDG 8, addressing in an integrated manner the three dimensions of sustainable development. To be effective, the global partnership will also require a strengthened, robust and inclusive monitoring and accountability mechanism, to monitor progress in implementation of commitments.

The new partnership will need to engage all relevant stakeholders, galvanizing action at the local, national and global levels. Only a joint effort, leveraging the strengths of all actors, including civil society, the private sector, local governments, national parliaments and others, will enable the realization of the shared vision embodied in a sustainable development agenda.

The new global partnership will be set out by Member States of the United Nations. At its heart, then, it will define a compact of commitments to policy actions by Member States. At the same time, the global partnership needs to provide space and flexibility for engagement with a much broader range of actors. In the quest to achieve sustainable development goals, multi-stakeholder implementing partnerships can engage and bring to the table all relevant stakeholders for a specific purpose or goal, allowing them to leverage their comparative advantages and respective strengths.

To this end, the global partnership should be embedded in a broad and comprehensive financing framework for sustainable development. Such a framework would contain renewed commitments, while providing guidance to stakeholders in their effort to contribute to sustainable development. As the financing and development landscape is increasingly characterized by many dispersed actors and high complexity, its most important contribution may lie in setting the right incentives for this broad range of actors to facilitate sustainable development financing and investment.

A broad sustainable development financing framework would also address sustainable development in all its dimensions, in an integrated manner. It would speak to the sustainable development agenda as a whole, encompassing, but not limited to, the set of sustainable development goals, to be adopted by the international community in September 2015. It would outline policy actions at the local, national and international levels. It would also address systemic issues, including the global trading system and the stability of the international financial system, and the participation of all relevant actors in global policy making and dialogue.

Questions for discussion:

- What are the lessons learned from the current global partnership? Which are the most pressing new challenges and emerging issues that a renewed global partnership for development needs to address? What would it take to forge this renewed and strengthened global partnership?
- How can the renewed global partnership for development be best integrated into the post-2015 development agenda? Should it be captured in a separate goal, and/or linked to specific goals and targets? How should it be linked to the third international conference on financing for development, and what should its relationship be to a broader financing framework for sustainable development?
- How can multi-stakeholder partnerships be most effectively used to contribute to sustainable development in the context of the post-2015 development agenda? How should they be integrated into the global partnership for development?
- What should be the role of ECOSOC in promoting a global partnership for sustainable development in the context of the follow-up to the MDGs and the elaboration of the post-2015 development agenda?