Financing Basic Utilities for All: A Survey of Issues

Tania Cernuschi & Daniel Platz¹

Informal background paper

Advance unedited version

(Not for quoting)

Prepared for a regional multi-stakeholder consultation on

"Financing Access to Basic Utilities for All" organized by the Friedrich Ebert Foundation, International Poverty Centre, UNDP, Brazilian Ministry of the Cities (SNSA) and the Financing for Development Office, UN-DESA, 11-13 December 2006, Brasilia, Brazil

¹ Tania Cernuschi is Associate Economic Affairs Officer and Daniel Platz is Economic Affairs Officer at the Financing for Development Office of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and they do not necessarily reflect those of the United Nations. The authors wish to thank participants at the first expert group meeting in New York (New York, 26-27 June 2006) for their invaluable inputs and comments. Ms. Catherine Ruetschlin and Mr. Rafael Marañón-Abreu provided excellent research assistance.

8
8
10 13
15 17
20
21
23 26
28 32
33
34 36
er, 38 39
41
42 43 45 46

Table of Contents

PAYING OFF THE DEBT: ENSURING THE SUSTAINABILITY OF ACCESS TO UTILITIES

FOR ALL	49
COST RECOVERY	
Tariffs Subsidies	
Subsidies	
Who pays for subsidies?	
Consumption versus connection subsidies	54
Targeting	56
Incentive-linked Subsidies	
Implicit subsidies	58
Invoicing and collection	59
THE ROLE OF TAX REVENUE	
Improving tax administration	63
Improving tax policies	68
The potential or non-potential of VAT in poor countries	70
Lower personal and corporate income taxes (PIT and CIT)	
Simplification of the excise duty structure	72

MACROECONOMIC FACTORS TO BE TAKEN INTO A CCOUNT IN THE DESIG OF FINANCING MECHANISMS	
POSSIBLE MACROECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF AID INFLOWS	
The "Dutch Disease" Empirical Evidence	
Spending aid prudently -Fiscal policy tools Absorbing aid or sterilizing inflows? -The central bank's dilemma of finding the righ	ht exchange
rate and monetary policy The net impact of development aid	
ADDRESSING MACROECONOMIC RISK, IN PARTICULAR FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK	
Foreign currency risk in financing utilities The use of local currency instruments Currency hedging Exchange rate guarantees Other innovative mechanisms Environmental sustainability and investor risk	
THE ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT IN PROMOTING T HE FINANCIAL SECTOR	
The MS School and the financial liberalization hypothesis The Structuralist critique Empirical evidence on the finance and growth nexus Framing a constructive dialogue on the role of the government in financial sector d	89 90
BIBLIOGRAPHY	

Introduction

Over the past two decades, the debate around the issue of access to basic utilities for all has focused on public versus private provision of services. Privatization was expected to bring about supplementary financing for upgrading and expansion of basic utilities, in addition to more efficient service delivery. Widespread failure of privatization policies to do so has led to the recognition that public budget resources are likely to remain the biggest source for investment.²

The Millennium Development Goals call for halving the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation by 2015. Also, to meet the Millennium Development Goal of halving world poverty by 2015, the International Energy Agency estimates that about 500 million more people should have access to electricity.³ The challenges are enormous as one billion people in the world today live without access to clean drinking water, two and a half billion do not have access to basic sanitation and nearly two billion lack access to electricity.

Mobilizing sufficient financing is difficult due to low levels of cost recovery for both water and electricity utilities. It is virtually impossible to obtain a precise aggregate figure of funding requirements for the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in water and sanitations and to achieve universal access to electricity. Such numbers depend on a wide variety of factors including the technologies to be adopted, the level of services aimed for, and a country's social, political and economic conditions. Yet, all existing estimates determine a large funding gap. The World Bank calculates a gap of approximately US\$10 to US\$25 billion per annum for the MDGs in water and sanitation. In a more conservative estimate, the UN Millennium Project Task Force on Water and Sanitation estimates a funding gap of US\$6.7

² This failure has been particularly dramatic in the water sector (see Hall and Lobina, 2006).

³ United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development. Backgrounder, May 2006.

billion per year (Lenton & Wright, 2005). For electricity, the numbers are staggering as well. The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that approximately \$700 billion of investment will be required to reach an additional 1.4 billion people (IEA, 2003).

This paper studies concrete financing instruments for sustainable financing of utilities, leaving the public -versus-private debate on the side. It will focus on water (mainly for domestic use), sanitation and electricity utilities. These services are of particular relevance to the poor. It is structured around three main sections. The first section focuses on different mechanisms available to raise long-term finance, while the second part focuses on generating internal revenue through collecting user fees and taxes. The final part will discuss some macroeconomic aspects relevant to the financing of utilities, including the fiscal management of capital inflows and various types of risks. It should be remembered that it is always citizens, whether in the form of user fees or taxes, who will ultimately pay for the provision of utilities. The present paper is based on the premise that water, sanitation and electricity must be affordable to the poor, their provision reliable and economically and environmentally sustainable.

Mobilizing finance: Stable and predictable financing mechanisms for utility providers at all levels

Financing options at the international and national level

Mobilizing sufficient financing for investing into utilities is difficult due to low levels of cost recovery for both the water and electricity sectors. Consequently, domestic Governments cover the biggest share of new investments with the remaining balance coming from foreign aid and from private investments. More specifically, it is estimated that in 2000, 65% of funding for water and sanitation came from the domestic public sector, 12% from international donors, 19% from the domestic private sector and 5% from international private companies (Fonseca & Cardone, 2004).

In developing countries, government funding for water has been stationary. With regards to ODA for both water & sanitation and electricity, 1999 represented a year of decline and 2004 a year of recovery. More specifically, between 1999 and 2003 grant and loan funding for water supply and sanitation ranged between US\$2.5 billion and US\$3 billion, a decline compared to previous years both as a percentage of total ODA and of commitments to social infrastructure and services (Cardone, 2005). Only in 2004, commitments for water increased to reach US\$ 4.5 billion (World Water Council, 2006). ODA for electrical transmission and distribution declined in 1999 to recover sharply in 2004 reaching US\$ 3.2 billion (see table 1).⁴ In particular, from 1993 to 2002, there was a large 47 % drop of loans from the main multilateral financial institutions to the infrastructure sector. This was mainly due to diminished lending by the World Bank (World

⁴ Data taken from Creditor Reporting System, DAC. The data refers to other grants, Official Development Assistance (ODA) and Official Assistance (OA) grants, ODA/OA grant-like, ODA/OA loans, other multilateral official flows, as well as equity investment to developing countries and countries in transition.

Bank, 2004). Recently, this trend has been reversed: World Bank lending for infrastructure related programs and projects amounted to \$8.1 billion dollars during fiscal year 2006, a 10 % increase compared to 2005 and much larger compared to 1999.⁵

Table 1:

Annual flows of development aid for electricity (transmission and distribution)



from 1995-2004 in millions of US-Dollars

Meanwhile, private investment in water and electricity in developing countries has been declining. Overall, since peaking at \$131 billion in 1997, private investment in infrastructure projects fell to \$50 billion in 2003.⁶ In addition, investments are heavily concentrated in telecommunications and transport in the East Asian and Latin American regions. Even at peak times, financing has been lower than expected for many reasons. These include the high risk involved in investing in poor countries and risks related to the capital intensive and long term nature of infrastructure. Moreover, private investment declined as a result of pressure by

⁵ www.worldbank.org/infrastructure/

⁶ www.worldbank.org/infrastructure/

governments and social movements, as in the case of Aguas Argentinas (controlled by Francebased Suez) and Suez in Bolivia, where disputes arose related to prices and quality of services. Along the same lines, legislation that prevents foreign corporations' participation in the water sector, as implemented in Uruguay, has discouraged foreign investments (UN World Water Assessment Programme, 2006; Van Hofwegen, Paul, 2006). Comparable considerations apply to commercial bank lending for both sectors, which has been declining in recent years (Winpenny, 2003).

Programmatic Financing Instruments

In recent years participatory poverty assessments (PPAs) have been a popular instrument for including poor people's views in the analysis of poverty and the formulation of strategies to reduce it through public policy. A common result of these PPAs has been a call on governments in developing countries to allocate a bigger share of their budget for investments in the water and electricity sectors. Yet, in many cases little resources are allocated to these sectors within national planning strategies. One major reason for this is the sectors' weak bargaining power. This is mostly due to a lack of consensus among institutional stakeholders representing different subsectors and interests (e.g., water for agricultural use, water for domestic use). In addition, sector information and cost estimates are weak. Finally, at the national level, financing tends to be fragmented, because of the variety of sources.

The 2005 World Summit Outcome has called for the adoption of National Development Strategies (NDS) with a particular commitment to achieving the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).⁷ If adopted, NDS will promote higher priority to sectors such as water & sanitation and electric ity.

Both ODA and national resources are more and more shifted from financing of individual projects to Sector Wide Approaches to Planning (SWAPs), yet financing geared towards single projects still dominates globally. For national government resources, SWAPs translate into sector expenditure plans as part of countries macro-development-frameworks. This could unite and strengthen the voice of different stakeholders and lead to more integrated strategies for water and electricity. The SWAP approach has been successful in Uganda (see box 1).

Nevertheless, the sector approaches cannot be applied everywhere. Knowledge of the country's needs and available resources, a clear division of responsibilities among different sector institutions are critical for their success. There are countries, for instance Eritrea, where water laws do not exist or are only in a draft form. Also, many countries do not have a clear institutional set-up for water resources management and policy. In Eritrea, for example, responsibility for water policy making has been shifting between the Ministry of Local Government, and the Water Resources Department of the Ministry of Land, Water and Environment. The Ministry of Public Works and the Ministry of Agriculture are also involved.⁸ Another major constraint, in the case of Eritrea as in other countries, is represented by the lack of knowledge of the country water endowments.⁹ Where these are the conditions in places and/or in cases where domestic government commitment to reform is lacking, resources could be allocated to single projects through simpler mechanisms of coordination among different financing sources. In Eritrea, both government and donor funds are not disbursed within a national water development framework, but are channeled into projects that respond to specific temporary needs. This approach has

⁷ See http://www.un.org/summit2005/

⁸ In The Water Policy Paper of Eritrea (DRAFT) – MoLWE, WDR, August 2004, the Government of the State of Eritrea indicates the water sectors lack a clear institutional set up. ⁹ The Water Policy Paper of Eritrea (DRAFT) – MoLWE, WDR, August 2004.

allowed Eritrea to increase access to water of 25% from 1990 to 2004 and to be portrayed as a

successful case by the Second Report of WHO and UNICEF on MDG in Water and Sanitation.¹⁰

Box 1

SWAP in Uganda

The Uganda SWAP for water and sanitation is a success story.

Inadequate co-ordination among actors in the sector was perceived as hampering efficiency and efficacy in financing and delivery. Since 1997, the sector has been undergoing reform Programs - based on a demand responsive approach and decentralized implementation through district governments-have been set up for rural water supply and sanitation. The reform envisaged a comprehensive sector work which included a *National Water Policy, Urban WSS Strategy, Investment Program, Rural WSS Strategy and Investment program,* a study on *Water for Production* and a *Study on Water Resources Management.* In 2002, a SWAP framework for the Water & Sanitation Sector (WSS) was adopted, and the World Bank has since then used a poverty reduction strategy credit to fund water strategy and investment programs through budget support.

Uganda has one of the largest rural water supply programs in Africa and it is estimated that the country will meet its MDG target by 2010 and achieve full coverage by 2015. After 3 years of SWAp implementation, the estimated positive results included:

- An average increased coverage of 750,000 per year
- Increased participation in planning by lower levels of government
- The use of more cost effective technologies and increased focus on district level disbursements.

Also, since the beginning of the reform process to 2001, the total flow of resources to the sector doubled due to an increase in the share to the water sector in the country Medium Term Expenditure Framework from 0.5% (1997/98) to 2.8% (2001/02). The resources contributed by donors doubled over the same period.

The success of this reform was permitted by national ministries of planning and finance taking a lead in sector reform and coordinating effectively with water authorities. Also, prior to reform the water sector was already regulated by a legal framework composed by, among others, the Constitution, the *National Environment Statute*, the *Local Governments Act, the Water Statute and The National Water and Sewerage Corporation Statute*. This framework already established a comprehensive institutional structure for the management and development of the country's water resources with clear tasks and responsibilities for different Ministries, Directorates, Local Authorities etc. Most importantly, the *Water Action Plan* of 1994 has provided the road map for development of the sector. The Action Plan followed the internationally agreed principles of the UN Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 and it outlined a framework for water resources management based on identification of the key water resources and issues, gaps and constraints in the enabling environment, the institutional roles and the management instruments.

Uganda's WSS still faces major challenges. It needs to ensure the sustainability of delivery of water services, establish a more effective and efficient delivery of sanitation services and strengthen the institutional framework.

Sources: Brown & Prevost (2003), Cong (2003), Mehta (2006), Jønch-Clausen (2004), World Water assessment Programme (2005).

¹⁰ WHO and UNICEF, 2004.

At the same time, more feasible initiatives are being proposed and probably worth pursuing in this and similar countries, such as water harvesting or the establishment of water user associations at the regional level in charge of small scale water needs assessment.¹¹ While such initiatives may be unsustainable due to the inability to predict long term water availability, they may very well be the best available alternative. The focus should be on low cost and small scale intervention to minimize waste of resources. Contextually, donors could encourage the creation of those conditions that would allow for a sector wide approach to be developed.

ODA financing

At present, aid assistance is mainly provided via bilateral grants with limited coordination between different donors (Van Hofwegen, 2006). In addition, ODA for basic utilities tends to be allocated to countries less in need of it than others. For instance, the European Union estimates that countries in Sub-Saharan Africa with the least access to water, i.e. Mauritania, Ethiopia and Guinea receive much less of European Commission's aid for water than Ghana, Burkina Faso and Tanzania (European Union, 2003). Similar considerations apply to other DAC resources for the sector. Donor countries tend to give priority to the geo-strategic visibility of and their historic ties with recipients (World Water Council 2006). Moreover, there is a large lag in time between commitments and disbursement. Disbursement tends to start 4-5 years after initial commitment, with gradual disbursement in the following 3-4 years (Cardone, 2005). This creates a significant mismatch between planned activities and available resources.

If implemented successfully, the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness could ameliorate the situation. Its recommendations are geared towards improving the alignment of assistance and

¹¹ Water Harvesting for Sustainable Development national Confederation of Eritrean Workers17-18/02/05.

monitoring, building institutional capacities, reducing transaction costs and eliminating unnecessary bureaucratic procedures.

Coordination and targeting of aid could be improved if donors made larger use of existing water and electricity initiatives. In addition, many experts believe that assistance for water attracts less attention then other social sectors, such as health and education, due to the inexistence of initiatives at the international level for water and sanitation provision (Cardone, 2005). Meanwhile, initiatives such as the Education for All Fast Track Initiative or the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (GFTAM) seem to be able to attract significant global attention. However, global initiatives may not be very responsive to regional and national needs. Giving more publicity and making more use of existing regional and country initiatives for water could represent a good alternative. For instance, some of the existent initiatives in water supported through the African Development Bank (AfDB) are worth exploring. They include the African Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Initiative (RWSSI), which aim at decreasing poverty in Africa through the development of water and sanitation services. The AfDB intends to mobilize resources internationally to cover approximately 80% of requirements with the remaining 20% percent to be financed by recipient government and beneficiary resources. The African Water Facility (AWF) has been established as a Special Water Fund managed at the AfDB, with the aim of improving the enabling environment and strengthening water resources management in Africa. To date, pledges and contributions have been made mainly by international and bilateral donors. At the continental level, NEPAD provides the overarching framework for directing water resources development efforts through the Water Resources Management Program, including the management of transboundary water resources. The AfDB assists NEPAD to implement this program (www.Afdb.org/). Donor countries and the continent's governments could more strongly support the lead role AFDB is taking by coordinating the efforts of donors and other partners around these common programs.

In order to attract additional resources it is also important to place emphasis on existing strong links between the water, sanitation and electricity sectors with more popular recipient sectors. For instance, girl's access to schools is hampered, where they are forbidden to attend classes due to a lack of separate facilities for males and females. The lack of clean water and electricity constrains the functioning of many health facilities in the developing world.

Finally, measures aimed at increasing absorptive capacity of recipient countries would as well encourage more rapid disbursements of development aid.

Capacity building

In general, actual ODA disbursements are only half of pledged funds (Cardone, 2005). One of the main obstacles to increased funding disbursement is a lack of effective project design. Improved project structuring would also be helpful in attracting more resources domestically. Enhancing local capacity in this domain becomes thus a priority (Van Hofwegen, 2006). The EU Water Facility for African Caribbean and the Pacific as well as the EU Energy Initiative aim to strengthen the capabilities for project development by giving access to international funding directly to local actors through calls for proposals (EU). Yet, it is doubtful that a mere call for proposal would achieve this aim. Instead, it might be more effective to focus on identifying and supporting capacity "providers" on the ground that can assist in program structuring and project design. Indeed, at present, capacity building mainly comprises donor driven formal education, technical assistance and on-the-job training.

Despite a lack of accurate data available as to the effectiveness of these capacity-building efforts, it is estimated that donor driven programs in this area often fail to achieve the desired results. One of the major reasons is that capacity building is usually not designed to fit the underlying conditions (World Bank, 2005). In particular, the World Bank has recognized that

SWAPs have been more useful in enhancing the capacity to prepare clear sector strategies than in building capacity to manage the sector and improve service delivery. The abovementioned case of Uganda is an illustrative example, where improved delivery is still an issue.

It is worth noting that traditional capacity building seems to be more effective in specific sectors, such as roads, where known techniques are transferable across countries and results are measurable. (World Bank, 2005). This should be the case of water and electricity as well, and capacity building should thus be worth investing in. Yet, alternative methods to traditional donor technical assistance and training programs should be explored.

Interesting options are public -public partnerships (PUPs) based on shared understanding of public services objectives, also known as 'twinning' (Hall, 2003). In PUPs the partners may come from within the same country or from other countries. Successful PUPs were implemented in South Africa and Malawi (Hall, 2001). In the North West Province of South Africa, municipalities formed a project with the parastatal bulk water authority, Rand Water, to develop water services capacity over a period of three years. The project, which was implemented in collaboration with local councilors, trade union representatives and elected village committees, resulted in a dramatic increase in tariff payments. Crucial for its success was capital investment and transitional consumer subsidies from the central government. In Malawi, the World Bank funded two long-running and successful capacity-building projects partnering up with the British water authority. Positive results were achieved through improvements at the level of management. All senior positions are now filled by local officers and staff productivity has dramatically increased.

Similar initiatives could be funded through the creation of a revolving fund to finance costs of preparation (legal, technical, financial and advisory) of projects as proposed by the Camdessus Task Force on financing water. The Fund would be replenished, partly or totally, by

the public partner on the award of the project to the successful bidder. This would make sense if the provision of such assistance came from local providers lacking own financing to provide such service.

The World Bank proposal of broadening capacity building activities to include the strengthening of public institutions, with emphasis in Africa on public financial management, decentralization, and governance, should be met with some skepticism (World Bank, 2005). This effort could be detrimental to simpler 'education and training' already receiving as little as 0.2% of total ODA for water and sanitation (World Water Forum, 2006). Little resources earmarked for this crucial component of water and electricity projects is likely to lead not only to difficulty in disbursement, but also to lack of sustainability of investments. The First Water Decade, for instance, is often referred to as having been successful in dramatically increasing water services while failing at making them sustainable. Although it is true that smaller scale initiatives, such as local training, may end up creating 'enclaves of efficiency', this may provoke real and effective action and is maybe preferable to "*Olympian councils of perfection whose intimidating severity leads them to be ignored*" (Roberts et al., 2002: 77).

The use of Conditionalities

Various more recent financing instruments used in both the water and electricity sectors (e.g. budget or basket support, often phased) follow the concept of output-based aid, whereby both commitments and disbursement of resources are strictly linked with achievement of outcomes (Van Hofwegen, 2006). This follows the growing consensus that investments must be complemented with policy and regulatory reforms and institutional capacity building. Reform efforts should focus on improving regulation and legal systems, protecting creditors and investors' rights, introducing reliable cost recovery schemes and implementing effective systems

of subsidies. According to the Department for International Development (DFID), donors should focus on improving the overall governance framework in a country, rather than fund unsustainable projects and programs in institutionally weak water sectors (ERM, 2005). There are three main limitations to this approach.

Firstly, the question stands on what should be done in countries where the political will to reform and other underlying conditions for it are not present. If country ownership of development policy is a driving principle of development cooperation, improvement in governance based on donor standards may not always be possible.

Secondly, and related to the above point, ODA and domestic public resources channeled to policy and administrative reforms in basic utilities end up consuming funds that could be used for investments. 'Water resources policy and administrative management' is the second largest funding category, after large-scale systems, capturing an average 19% of total water and sanitation ODA commitments (World Water Forum, 2006). In the case of the European Commission two and a half as much aid goes towards policy reform than to water projects in developing countries (Hall, 2003). The World Bank reports that between 1993 and 2002 its infrastructure investment lending declined by 50%, while infrastructure components in adjustment loans increased by 104%. At the same time, International Finance Corporation (IFC) investments rose by 88% and the number of Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA) guarantees increased by a factor of 30 (World Bank, 2005).¹² IFC and MIGA infrastructure business are still on the rise¹³. Once again, if the underlying necessary conditions for reform are not in place, this may translate into a waste of resources. And if conditions are in place and reform successful, are there contextually enough resources available for investment?

¹² See <u>www.worldbank.org/infrastructure</u>

¹³ Mr. Kevin Kellems, Acting Vice-president, External Affairs, Communciations & United nations Affairs, World Bank at United Nations *Briefing on the Outcome of the 2006 IMF/World Bank Annual Meetings in Singapore*, New York, 12 October 2006.

Thirdly, the use of programmatic approaches and output based aid generally involves the use of 'trigger' conditions. The IMF and World Bank are fostering the inclusion of privatization and liberalization of trade in services reforms in developing countries PRSPs. Also decentralization is at time prescribed as a condition for access to credit. The strong support for privatization of electricity distribution in Latin America is a case in point. In India, access to World Bank credit is dependent on implementation of a legal framework promoting administrative and fiscal decentralization (Alexander, 2005). Conditionalities often also prescribe the allocation of water to different users depending on the profitability of water use, the departure from cross-subsidization, and a reform of tariff structures. This approach is shared also by the IMF, by most national development banks, and by some bilateral donors. The IMF signals countries as 'off-track' to private and official investors for a lack of compliance on privatization (Alexander, 2005). Similar conditionalities are attached to debt relief initiatives such as the Highly Indebted Poor Countries initiative launched in 1996 by the World Bank and the IMF, now supported by the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative. These initiatives allow countries to enter debt SWAPs as means to increase local currency funds available for social projects, including financing of basic utilities. In addition, many recently created 'special fund mechanisms' are only active in countries following privatization, decentralization, and liberalization measures. The Private Infrastructure Donor Group of UK, Sweden, and Netherlands, for instance, provides capacity building and financial guarantees to countries and operators, yet it is mainly available for privatization concessions (Hall, 2003). These independently managed mechanisms are strictly linked to reforms and are often used to bypass national governments. These funds are capitalized through public or donor resources and generally operate as revolving funds covering operational costs through interest earnings. Some of these schemes are established at the local level, others at country, regional or global level. At the global level for instance, the Public -Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility (PPIAF) meets the design costs to explore private sector participation in delivery of infrastructure services (Metha, 2003).

A more promising approach might be to replace external prescriptions by local political decisions. This may entail the temporary abandonment of institutional reform plans. In his recent book, *"The White Man's Burden"*, William Easterly provides a good distinction between 'planners' and 'searcher' praising ad-hoc, homegrown solutions, as more effective (Easterly, 2006).

Contextually, existing special funds mechanisms could represent a good channel to bypass governments' inefficiencies without necessarily imposing donor driven conditionalities on beneficiaries.

There is also lots of skepticism on the ability of foreign assistance to improve recipient countries' institutions. It may be beneficial to look at cases of improvements in governance with little donor involvement, such as the successful case of improved preventive health programs in Ceará, Brazil, by the State Department of Health (Tendler, 1997).

Finally, opponents of forced privatization see it as a new version of tied aid as international investment in utilities is dominated by western multinationals. For instance, the water sector is dominated by Suez, Veolia Environment, and Saur (French); RwE (German) and Bechtel Corporation (US). Thus, it is believed that the specific reforms introduced to favor privatization tend to benefit only multinationals and not the local operators (Hall, 2003).

Public resources to leverage additional funds

The efficient and effective use of existing public resources can attract extra financing from other sources. Much of the international attention is paid to the use of multilateral assistance to leverage private capital in water and electricity. The World Bank Infrastructure Action Plan, the European Water Initiative, the European Energy Initiative, Camdessus's and Gurria's Task Forces on Financing Water for All, all give high priority to the use of international loans and grants to attract private investment. This is envisaged through the introduction of innovative mechanisms to reduce risks faced by private sector both nationally and internationally. The Social Investment Fund (SIF) of the World Bank is one example of support provided to provincial-level initiative with the main purpose of increasing local investment (Mehta, 2003). Calls are also made for official sources to be channeled into enhancing community contributions and enabling local service providers: aid could be used to support pro-poor tariffs to enhance collection capacity (Komives & Prokopy, 2000), to develop small providers financing and credit schemes, to provide technical support for demand-focused programmes and to build capacity of community groups and local private providers.

Financing options at the municipal level

The conventional theory of fiscal federalism promotes a clear division of labour between the national and subnational level of government. It assigns the public finance role of resources allocation to local governments and gives the federal state the role of stabilizing the economy and promoting a fair income distribution. According to this theory, subnational entities should finance operational expenditures through locally raised revenues and capital expenditures through intergovernmental transfers and also through development aid in many developing countries. Taxing authority of states, cities and towns are therefore usually limited to immobile tax bases such as property taxes (Venkatachalam, 2005). While local government borrowing was traditionally not seen as a viable alternative, this view has been challenged in recent times. This development is due to at least four reasons. First and foremost, rapid urbanization has become a global phenomenon. According to UN estimates, urban population increased from 732 million in 1950 to 3.2 billion in 2005 and is projected to reach 4.9 billion in 2030 (UN, 2005). Urbanization has led to greater demand for investment in water systems, wastewater collection and treatment, electricity, and other facilities. At the same time, fiscal decentralization strategies have become more popular which has shifted much of the responsibility for infrastructure and utility investment to local governments. Thirdly, fiscal subsidies from central governments to municipalities have become fewer (Peterson, 2000). Lastly, there has been an increased focus and interest in financial sector development in developing countries. In the Monterrey Consensus world leaders explicitly recognized "the need to strengthen and develop the domestic financial sector, by encouraging the orderly development of capital markets (...), including the insurance sector and debt and equity markets, that encourage and channel savings and foster productive investments" (Monterrey Consensus, 2002). Yet, despite these developments there is only very little research available on the long-term-financing arrangements of municipal governments in developing countries (Martell, 2003). Further study is needed to explore how municipalities can attract cheaper and a greater amount of capital. This section will mainly focus on the potential of subnational bonded debt in developing countries. However, other financing options will be summarily discussed as well. These include, inter alia, traditional municipal bank lending and more innovative mechanisms such as pooled financing arrangements. Due to the general paucity of data on the amount of actual subnational bonded debt outstanding in the developing world, most of currently available research derives lessons learned and best practices from case studies. Accordingly, this section will incorporate concrete country experiences, wherever they seem relevant. In addition, the annex of this paper includes some brief accounts of case studies of successful issuances of subnational bonded debt in developing counties.

The main part of this section is structured around four questions:

(a) What is the extent of subnational bonded debt financing in developing countries and what are the driving factors behind it?

(b) What possibilities of bond financing exist at the subnational level?

(c) What are the key micro- and macroeconomic constraints in issuing subnational bonded debt and how can they be overcome?

(d) What is the potential role of other subnational financing arrangements - traditional or innovative in nature - in relation to subnational bonded debt?

The extend of subnational bond issuances in developing countries

While it is well-known that subnational bonded debt markets are not well-developed in developing countries,¹⁴ actual data is hard to come by. To the knowledge of the authors, the only attempt to compile a publicly available and comprehensive overview of subnational bonded debt (including amounts, types, yields and maturities) in developing countries was made by the World Bank. However, the data, which had been laboriously compiled with the help of World Bank country offices, has not been updated since 1999¹⁵. At one level this is understandable due to the magnitude of the work involved. On the other hand, it is surprising, given the importance the Bank attaches to the development of sub-national bond markets¹⁶.

One fast track strategy is to research the number of subnational issuers that have credit ratings by the three major rating agencies S&P, Moody's and Fitch. Table 2 lists the number of

¹⁴ The term "subnational bonded debt" refers to debt issuances of states, cities, municipalities and other local governments or local government-related agencies. Subnational bonded debt in the US is also referred to as municipal bonded debt. In some other countries (e.g., Mexico), however, municipal bonds would only refer to bonds issued by cities. To avoid confusion, we will refer to subnational bonded debt rather than municipal bonded debt in this section.

¹⁵ See <u>http://www.worldbank.org/html/fpd/urban//cmd/frontpag.htm</u>

¹⁶ See <u>http://www.worldbank.org/urban/mun_fin/munfin.htm</u>

subnational bond issuers in developing countries that are rated by the three agencies with issues in domestic or foreign currency as of August 2006. This approach gives some indication of the potential access of developing countries to international financial market at the subnational level. Focusing on the three major rating agencies should yield a useful approximation of the number of rated subnational issuers in developing countries. It is assumed that these three rating agencies together reach a global market share of roughly 95 percent.¹⁷ However, it is important to note that unrated bonds or those rated by other less dominant rating agencies are not included in the table below.¹⁸

The table illustrates that the subnational bonded debt market in the developing world remains at an embryonic stage. The large majority of developing countries either do not have subnational bond markets or issue bonds that are not rated by one of the major rating agencies. Countries that do have rated issuers have only very few (with the exception of Mexico). It is also evident that only one low-income country (India) has successfully issued subnational bonds rated by the three major rating agencies.

Another striking feature of the table is the comparably large number of rated issuers in Mexico. This can be best understood in light of recent changes in Mexico's federal fiscal system.

In the early 1990s, Mexican states and municipalities increased their degree of autonomy regarding tax collection and expenditures, while new support funds and programs were put in place providing additional resources for infrastructure finance. Most importantly, however, since March 2000, new financing and credit underwriting structures are in effect in Mexico. As a result, subnational entities do not receive any implicit or explicit credit guarantees from the national government.

¹⁷ The 95 per cent estimate is based on 2001 numbers presented in US Congressional hearings on the role of the major rating agencies. ¹⁸ It is difficult to establish the exact global number of rating agencies. The author is aware of 57 entitities.

¹⁸ are located in developing countries, of which only 3 could be found in low-income countries.

Consequently, subnational entities have to have their own creditworthiness assessed (Fitch, 2002).

Table 2:

Number of subnational issuers in low and middle -income countries rated by the three major credit rating agencies



Source: Fitch, S&P, Moody's

Bond financing at the subnational level-key options

Many experts argue that a critical factor for the appropriate choice of the subnational financing instrument is the project revenue raising capacity (Martell and Guess; 2006; Attinasi and Brugnoli, 2001). According to this approach projects with no return should be financed through grant financing while projects with partial cost recovery are suitable for "general obligation"-, "double barreled"- or "special assessment " - bond or asset backed securities financing. Projects with full cost recovery could access markets through revenue bonds and project financing instruments, including project bonds. These different types of subnational bonded debt are characterized by some notable differences.

General obligation bonds are backed by the issuing jurisdiction. The capacity to repay depends on the overall revenue of the jurisdiction that is "generated" through taxation, capital transfers or project revenue. Generally, no assets are used as collateral in a general obligation bond. The first general obligation bond in South Asia was successfully issued by the city of Ahmedabad, India in 1998. The bond issuance raised Rs. 1050 Million (equivalent to US\$ 20.5 million), which covered 20% of the overall costs of the water and sewerage expansion programmes. The example is discussed in more detail below.

Double barreled bonds rely on two separate entities making the financial commitment. For example, a bond could be tied to the revenue stream of a utility but backed through a secondary guarantee of a municipality that owns the utility in case revenue falls short of obligatory interest payments. A popular form of the double barreled bond is the double barreled revenue bond mentioned below.

Special assessment bonds are tailored towards funding development projects as they are funded by taxes levied on the community benefiting from the particular bond-funded project. The downside of a special assessment bond is that economic and financial risks are concentrated in

relatively small areas. Therefore, special assessment bonds usually require a "guaranty fund" or legal protections, which increase costs and ultimately interest rates. Concrete examples of special assessment bonds in developing countries are sparse. One example is that of the Argentinean city of Bariloche, which issued SABs for USD 1 million in 1997 with three-year maturity and an interest rate of 12.5%. Banco Macro S.A. formerly known as Banco Macro Bansud S.A., entered into a fiduciary agreement with the city of Bariloche and deposited taxes levied on beneficiaries of infrastructure projects into a current account designated for repayment of the bond (Attinasi and Brugnoli, 2001).

Asset-backed securities (ABS) are bonds or notes collateralized by the cash flows from a specified pool of underlying assets. Typical collaterals for ABS are credit card future flows, automobile loans, commercial mortgages (single properties and pools), leases and trade receivables. However, they can also play an important role in funding utilities, especially in the electricity sector. In the US, about \$30 billion of securitized utility tariff bonds - also referred to as rate reduction bonds (RRBs) have been issued to date for which regulators have allowed utilities to recover certain costs by adding them to customer bills (Fichera, 2005) . Asset-backed securities have grown particularly in Asia as part of a growing structured finance market. In September 2005, China introduced its first asset-backed bonds through China Development Bank, a state-owned bank focusing on funding public works projects. The size of the asset-backed bond issuance was 5.3 billion Yuan, or USD 655 million. It consisted of 62 loans offered to 12 industries, including the electricity sector. The performance of these bonds will yield some valuable lessons for other emerging economies (International Herald Tribune, 2005).

A revenue bond can be described as a self-supporting municipal infrastructure financing instrument. In the US, double-barreled revenue bonds, paid from fees collected from users of a utility but also secured by a general obligation of the municipality owning the utility were already used in the early 19th century to finance water supply systems (Cutler and Miller, 2005).

Nowadays, specific purpose revenue bonds are the primary source of funding US capital projects. Revenue bonds are among the "more ambitious" subnational bonded debt instruments as they rely on predictable and sufficient future revenue streams. India's first revenue bond was issued in Tamil Nadu and is discussed in the corresponding case study below.

Project bonds are a popular form of project finance. The number of project bonds to fund long-term infrastructure projects has risen in the developing world. Most existing project bonds, however, are corporate bonds, i.e. they are not issued by the municipality. Some high-profile transactions, including the \$125 million issued by the Quezon power project in the Philippines, have sparked interested in this type of financing mechanism. Essential for the success of the Quezon project bond was the guarantee and backing of the project by reputable financial institutions. Construction loans were underwritten by the Union Bank of Switzerland and political risk guarantees were provided by the Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank). A part of the loans was also insured by the US Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC) (Boston Business Wire, 1997).

Supply-side constraints

Issuing a bond roughly comprises nine successive steps, all of which pose distinct challenges, especially in a developing country setting. The typical steps are: (a) the compilation of a corporate plan and capital improvement plan; (b) the completion of a feasibility study; (c) the decision to issue or not issue bonds; (d) the identification and involvement of all essential stakeholders, including underwriter, legal advisor, financial advisor, auditor, trustee/paying agent, notary and guarantor (if needed); (e) the completion of a public audit; (f) the preparation of documents, including an offering circular that presents the basic terms of the transaction to potential investors (prospectus), financial information on the issuer and a fiscal agency agreement

(trust indenture), containing legal rights of investors and obligations of issuer; (g) obtaining a rating from national/international credit rating agency; (h) the registration with the responsible capital market supervisory agency; (i) public sale or private placement of the bond (World Bank and IMF, 2001; USAID, 1997).

The poorest countries often lack the financial infrastructure to successfully implement these steps (Leigland, 1997). Conceptually, most existing literature distinguishes at least seven types of constraints: (i) moral hazard; (ii) unreliable credit rating mechanisms due to a lack of market transparency and unsound financial management (iii) weakness of market governance; (iv) distortions in the framework for competition among market participants; (v) the lack of capacity for financial management by sub-national entities and, most importantly, (vi) a general scarcity of capital (Noel, 2000, Peterson 2000).

Moral hazard can arise where municipal lenders and borrowers rely on the possibility of being bailed out by the government in case of default. If municipalities can expect the central government to cover arrears accumulated on fiscal obligations there might be an incentive for suboptimal and excessive borrowing. However, as will be discussed below, strong moralhazard concerns can lead to sometimes excessive borrowing restrictions that impede debt issuances of subnational entities, as has happened in the case of Brazil. A lack of market transparency poses another severe constraint on the development of sub-national credit markets.

A lack of disclosure and weaknesses of budgeting processes for local governments, as well as frail accounting, auditing and regulatory frameworks severely constrain the work of credit rating agencies. This is decisive as credit rating agencies are essential for the success of bond markets at all levels. In fact, almost all successful municipal bond financing markets rely on credit agencies. Yet, where these agencies are constrained by a lack of reliable data on local budgets, debt service obligations, and intergovernmental fiscal flows, they can hardly make solid

recommendations to market participants. One crucial element for successful development of municipal bond markets could thus be the introduction of public disclosure guidelines (Peterson, 2002). This would also help avoid economically unhealthy vested interest relationships between underwriter, credit-rating agency and issuer.

Where reliable data exists, the issuer might prefer to turn to a major credit rating agency in order to access international capital and widen its investor base at home. However, for a municipality in a developing country this is a costly affair. Municipal ratings are issued on request. Rating fees are paid by issuers themselves or covered by insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters. For instance, Fitchratings' fees for municipal bonds generally vary from USD 1,000 to up to USD 750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. Fees are based generally on the size and frequency of debt issuance. Other factors determining the size of the fees include time and expense it takes to evaluate the bond issuer. These are usually higher where data availability is limited. Further research, based on concrete country experiences should explore how issuance costs could be reduced. Possible approaches could be not to require the underwriters to commit their own capital to the full purchase of the issued bonds or to directly place bonds with investors instead of offering them to the public competitively.

Long-term maturities pose another challenge as uncertainty about timely debt payments by the issuer increases the reluctance of investors to commit in the long term involved in determining and monitoring the rating. It should be added that besides tolerable borrowing costs, long-term debt amortization is critical for the issuer, i.e. there must be a steady source of revenue through cost recovery, tax revenues or capital tranfers. Improving tax collection is thus often a precondition for issuing a bond.

An effective judicial framework, including a government bankruptcy framework at the local level, helps protect the rights and obligations of borrowers and debtors at the sub-national

level. Such a framework is often weak or absent at the municipal level in developing countries. Moreover, in many cases these institution are already weak at the national level and as result investors and financial intermediaries might be even more reluctant to enter the sub-national market. An issue that deserves particular attention is the question of a lack of enforcing covenants on local government revenues pledged as collateral assets (Noel, 2000).

Finally, developing countries face a general scarcity of capital resulting from a shallow financial sector and underdeveloped or often non-existent insurance, pension and mutual funds. This type of constraint will hamper the successful development of the sub-national markets as much as it constrains the development of the overall credit market. Pension funds, in particular, have the potential to support the development of national and municipal bond markets. Through the purchase of municipal bonds, pension funds, who are generally the largest holders of longterm savings, can cater to the long term financing needs of municipalities. Further research is necessary, however, on strategies to effectively utilize this mechanism in developing countries. Major challenges persist where pension systems are dramatically underdeveloped as is the case in Sub-Saharan Africa and other non –African least developed countries. In countries with a large informal sector, low levels of contributions and fiscal problems, fully funding pension systems is a particularly daunting task. At the same time, investing in pension reserves is especially tricky when the economy is weak with an underdeveloped financial sector that provides very limited investment opportunities. Moreover, attempts by pension funds to become financial intermediaries in least developed countries were often unsuccessful and weakened the financial institutions even further (Barbone, Sanchez B., 1999). It would be critical to explore the factors that could help safeguard the value of pensions while not hindering investments in viable and profitable infrastructure projects (Vives, 1999).

Demand-side constraints

Where financial markets are shallow, the number of potential investors is small. The few potential investors that exist typically pay attention to the following factors:

(i) issuer familiarity and confidence; (ii) the ability to trade securities in secondary markets; (iii) freedom to invest; (iv) acceptable investment return; (v) strong credit quality; (vi) transparency of risk; (v) assistance in interpreting information.

All of these factors pose formidable challenges on emerging and developing economies (see Leigland, 1997 for an elaboration).

Those countries where infrastructure investment needs are the most severe often do not have the legal and procedural protections in place that are characteristic of developed economies like the US. Much less have investors the opportunity to sell off their securities prior to maturity in these countries since secondary markets do not exist. Building up secondary markets is thus a critical challenge. As a direct measure Leigland (1997) suggests to facilitate the listing of bonds on domestic stock exchanges, to develop pre-indication posting or other municipal finance information systems to support placement and sales function (e.g. Blue List and Munifax in the US). Possible indirect measures include the removal of minimum holding requirements by institutional investors for municipal bonds in order to increase the incentive of institutional investors for trading.¹⁹

Moreover, absence of government controls and tax exemptions can be especially painful requirements in countries where infrastructure needs are particularly great in certain areas while the general tax base is already very small. Similarly, tax-supported debt poses a major problem in most developing countries as local governments typically do not have sufficient tax revenues to pay back considerable levels of debt.

¹⁹ This, of course is in potential conflict to the idea of decreasing issuer's cost through private placements.

Macroeconomic constraints: Systemic macroeconomic risk-The case of Indonesia

In 1996 international development aid agencies including USAID and the World Bank were rather optimistic about the prospects for developing a local government debt market in Indonesia. Local government financing needs in Indonesia are significant. For instance, Indonesia faces severe challenges in providing its population with safe drinking water. This is in spite of the fact that to date a total of \$3 billion has been invested in the Indonesian water sector. Only 39% of urban populations and 8% of rural populations have access to piped water today (which still has to be boiled before consumption). For the last 20 years subnational borrowing in Indonesia has been channeled through two funding sources, namely the Regional Development Account (the governments channel for lending state budget funds - RDAs) and subsidiary loan agreements (SLAs). While these vehicles have been important they have also been associated with problems, including long planning and approval cycles, inappropriate and inflexible project design, insufficient funds and untimely disbursements. In a decentralization effort based on the 1994 "Policy Action Plan for Local Government Bonds" the Government of Indonesia (GOI), tried to introduce additional financing mechanisms at the municipal level with the support of international development agencies. In a collaborative effort, GOI and the participating agencies reviewed some 300 local water utilities in 1997, whose audited financial results had been stored in a computerized database since 1992. Out of these 300, 25 were deemed financially healthy enough for issuing revenue bonds. Eventually three water utilities (PDAMs) were selected to be pilot cases: Kabupaten Badung (Denpasar, Bali), Jakarta Water Supply (PAMJAYA), and Kabupaten Semarang (Central Java).

None of the bond issuances ever materialized. Two main reasons can be identified. First, the revenue bond had to compete on an uneven playing field. Interest rate and issuance cost for municipal bonds proved to be much higher than the below-market rate costs implied in SLAs.

The second and perhaps most obvious reason why the bond deals went awry was the

erosion of the investor base caused by the financial crisis that hit Indonesia in 1997. During the crisis, the collapse of the rupiah drastically increased foreign currency debt and led to wide spread bankruptcy in the corporate sector. Moody's eventually downgraded Indonesia's long-term debt to junk bond with devastating consequences for the countries overall financial system. Consequently, potential long-term investors such as pension funds have become extremely conservative holding almost all of their funds in deposit, insured by the central bank. As a result, the banking system is still facing liquidity problems and there is no primary market (let alone a secondary one) for municipal bonds today in Indonesia. The experience of Indonesia shows that even where conditions seem favorable for the issuance of municipal bonds such an exercise remains vulnerable to systemic risks emanating from global financial markets. Indonesia's experience is not unique. The Asian, Russian, and Brazilian financial crises of 1997–1999 derailed and temporarily froze the development of the global municipal bond market (USAID, 1997; Fitch, 2002).

Overcoming constraints through pooled financing arrangements

Given all of these constraints even advanced market economies are bound to encounter challenges in building up successful municipal bond markets. The crucial question is how developing countries could overcome some of these impediments. A possible solution could lie in some sort of pooled financing arrangement. Municipal development funds (MDFs) have been used in the developed world, in particular outside the U.S., for local government debt financing. These funds access national bond markets for capital and then lent it on to local governments.

As of today, more than 60 countries have established municipal development funds or specialized financial intermediaries for raising capital to on-lend to subnational governments. The results are mixed. Only few of these MDFs have grown capable of mobilizing private sector savings (Peterson 2002). Successful examples of MDFs include MUFIS in the Czech Republic and FINDETER in Colombia. According to Kehew, Matsukawa and Peterson (2005) these two MDFs exhibit some common characteristics. For example, both transfer credit risk to the private sector, "unbundle" functions like payment collections and credit analysis to specialized private sector firms, separate subsidies from lending and provide technical assistance and capacity building for project preparation. There are a number of examples of MDFs in developing countries that either draw on national or international capital markets. In South Africa, a private intermediary fund, INCA, lends money to infrastructure providers, which it borrows money from capital markets. Investors appreciate its AA credit rating, diversified risk, and its own system for credit-rating municipalities (Hall, 2003). The country example of Tamil Nadu (India) is discussed in the annex of this paper.

Another form of pooled financing arrangement is the collective issuance of bonds. "Bond Banks" have been successful in the US. According to this concept, a credible intermediary, such as the national government can establish a Bond Bank that collects all the borrowing needs of municipalities and issues a single class of bond backed up by a diversified pool of loans to municipal utilities. This would offer investors access to a diversified, geographically dispersed portfolio of borrowers, thus limiting exposure to narrowly-focused credit problems. Since the Bond Bank relies on its member municipalities to repay their individual loans, such an institution works better where the degree of diversification is higher, i.e. the defaults of some municipality could be offset by timely and reliable payments by others.

State Revolving Funds is a variation of the bond bank model that has worked especially well in the US. Following the "Federal Clean Water Act" in 1984, the US Federal Government established state revolving funds (SRFs) for wastewater and water projects in the US. Through this mechanism pooled bond flotation are collateralized by reserve funds made up from capital grants from the state government and matching contributions from the state.

The role of municipal development banks

It is estimated that in the US, which has by far the most developed subnational bond market, 50,000 out of roughly 83,000 municipalities have issued bonds, almost all of which are rated. However, compared to the US, even Europe's municipal bond markets are extremely small. Subnational debt-financed investment in infrastructure and services are critical in both the US and Europe, where they amount to about \$5,000 per person. Yet, while in the US most of this type of investment is financed by bonds, Europe's financing is mostly done through loans from municipal development banks.

In many developing countries the role of municipal bank lending has diminished substantially due to increased financial deregulation promoted by IMF and World Bank loan and credit programs. One of the specific targets of financial sector deregulation has been the abolition of preferential access of municipal banks to central government lending, while the development of municipal bond markets has been heavily promoted.

As a result of increased exposure to commercial lending competition, municipal banks have to move away from providing long term lending and are forced to apply more short term lending strategies. Typical commercial bank loans are on three to five year terms and often do not meet the needs of infrastructure investors, whose borrowing needs are better matched by the 15-30 year horizons of municipal banks. Consequently, many municipalities have experienced trouble repaying short term loans from internal revenue generation. The advantages and disadvantages of commercial bank lending versus government subsidized municipal banking critically depend on the level of financial sector development in a given country.

Where no municipal bond market exists, state subsidized bank lending might represent one of the very few viable options to for municipalities to finance infrastructure and basic utilities. In addition, properly managed municipal development banks come with some

considerable benefits. They typically have long-established and stable relationships with local patrons. Due to their favored access to long term savings of central banks these institutions are able to lend to municipalities at below-market rates. As a result, they can provide valuable financial support for municipalities that are starved off commercial sources of finance. Moreover, a bank that is shielded from commercial competition can more easily establish a long-term relationship with the municipality. It can help with concrete tasks such as loan applications and it can assist in the structuring and formulation of investment projects.

Like traditional bank lending, municipal bank lending is characterized by the principles of relationship banking, delegated monitoring, and bundled pricing (Peterson, 2000, Diamond 1984). In most of modern financial intermediation theory literature, banks have been described as relationship lenders that develop close relationships with their borrowers over time. These longstanding relationships between borrowers and lenders have been generally vie wed as helpful in overcoming problems of asymmetric information by greatly facilitating monitoring and screening. For instance, in the history of Western Europe, municipal development banks, owned and/or regulated by the government, played an important role in satisfying long-term financing needs for specific economic sectors.

Delegated monitoring has been analyzed as another benefit of municipal bank lending arrangements (Diamond, 1984). Where the municipal bank has privileged knowledge of the municipality's finance and budget or even access to its accounts, it can support the handling of its payment system. It can also play a supportive role in budget management and assist in loan restructuring before it is too late and thus prevent actual debt defaults from happening. One aspect of municipal banking with potentially market-distorting effects is the phenomenon of bundled pricing or the provision of bundled services. In relationship banking, credit-worthiness of the municipalities will not be reflected in price differentiation of services. It has been shown

theoretically that bundled pricing generates welfare losses, both in terms of social welfare and in terms of consumer surplus (Aaron and Wildman, 1999).

Developing country experiences: The first South Asian municipal bond for improvement of water, sewerage and waste

Ahmadabad, the seventh largest city in India, includes surrounding slums with very limited access to drinkable water or sewerage system. To generate new financing for improving infrastructure the Ahmedabad Municipal Corporation (AMC) launched South Asia's first municipal bond for improvement of water, sewerage and waste, backed by USAID guarantees. Ahmedabad raised Rs. 1050 Million (equivalent to US\$ 20.5 million) through over 5,000 investors, 75% of which came from the Indian general public through private placements. Around 20% of the overall costs of the water and sewerage expansion programmes could be covered through bond issuance. 80% came from a loan from HUDCO, India's Housing and Urban Development Corporation (USAID also guaranteed US \$10 million in loans that HUDCO borrowed from US capital markets). The biggest investment financed through these bonds was the "Raska Project", which now supplies water to 60% of the city's population. In issuing the bond the city of Ahmedabad had to overcome constraints similar to many urban areas in the developing world. First and foremost, municipal revenues were low. In particular, property tax collection was low. Ahmedabad was no exception to the many other Indian cities with large informal settlements, which often only collected 1% of eligible property taxes. It was thus critical to improve the municipal revenue base through an impartial, efficient but also more aggressive tax. To this end the city employed well-trained professionals to help computerize and standardize the collection of property taxes and octroi - duty imposed on incoming goods. As result, the city achieved a 100% improvement in octroi and 80% improvement in property tax collection. The next step was to gain trust with investors through obtaining a credit rating. This
turned out to be a complex process as there was no precedence for evaluating subnational bonds in India. Eventually, Credit Rating Information Services of India, Ltd. (CRISIL), India's largest credit rating agency, ranked the municipality, after officials from CRISIL spent four months in Ahmedabad trying to assess its financial environment and credit worthiness. Ultimately, AMC was awarded an A+ rating (later upgraded to AA).

A major benefit resulting from his exercise was that evaluation criteria could be used to evaluate other subsequent subnational bond issuances in India. The success prompted AMC to issue a second tax-free 10 year bond offering at 9% interest to finance a water and sewerage project in 2002. Stakeholders involved in the issuance of the AMC bond have listed the following factors as critical for its success: (a) a solid capital investment plan (b) improved tax collection; (b) improved cost recovery, (c) improved accounting and financial management systems; (d) improved service delivery systems; (e) speed in project implementation is essential for a variety of reasons; (f) adequate legal and institutional framework that enables subnational government to introduce fiscal measures independently from the national government (Vaidya and Johnson, 2001; USAID FIRE Project 2001).

Developing country experiences: -Pooled financing and other innovations in Tamil Nadu

Tamil Nadu has become a very popular case study in the existing literature on subnational bond market development in developing countries. The state has mobilized nearly Rs.3000 million within five years through India's first bond by a joint private-public municipal fund, the Tamil Nadu Urban Development Fund, India's first revenue bond, and the world's first pooled financing bond outside the US. The Tamil Nadu Urban Development Fund (TNUDF) has successfully supported bond issuance by urban local bodies ULBs and promoted some other innovative schemes to access private capital. TNUDF is a trust fund with private equity participation that is located outside the government.

In addition, the Fund had access to a line of credit of about Rs.3.7 billion (US\$ 80 million) from the World Bank. In late 2000 TNUDF issued the first non-guaranteed, unsecured bond in India. The size of the issue was Rs. 1,100.5 million (\$23 million) with a maturity of 5 years and an annual interest rate of 11.85%. The Indian Investment Information and Credit Rating Agency, Ltd. (ICRA) rated this issuance LAA+ (SO) (high safety and modest risk). The issuances were funded through ULB cash flow formed the base of this issuance, ICRA. Critical for obtaining a good rating was the fact that TNUDF established a debt service fund equivalent to one year's principal and interest payment as collateral throughout the life of the bond. The bond was placed privately, with commercial banks purchasing 70.5 percent, TNUDF's contributors 11.0 percent, regional rural banks 9.5 percent and insurance companies 8.0 percent (Kehew, Matsukawa, Petersen, 2005).

An interesting pooled financing arrangement promoted in Tamil Nadu was the Water and Sanitation Pooled Fund (WSPF). The WSPF was installed in August 2002 as a special purpose vehicle. The WSPF pooled the water and sanitation requirements of thirteen municipalities and towns and raised Rs. 304.1 million through an unsecured municipal bond in December 2002. With a 15 year tenure it is the only true long-term financing instrument in India. The bond relied on multiple layers of credit enhancements, including a no-lien escrow account by the thirteen ULBs, a Bond Service Fund of Rs.69 million, and a USAID Credit Authority guarantee for 50% of the principal amount. The bond actually received a solid credit rating from Fitch Ratings and the Indian Credit rating Agency. (For further details on Tamil Nadu's revenue bond, which has been financed through road toll collections, see Venkatachalam, 2005).

Financing options at the local level

In many countries of Sub-Saharan Africa, as well as South Asia informal small-scale service providers (SSPs) account for a large share of the serviced population (Harper, 2000; Mehta & Virjee 2003). SSPs come in many forms: community-based small service providers especially for water and electricity/power schemes in rural areas; private small service providers particularly for water, sanitation, and electricity services in peri-urban areas; households as selfservice providers for sanitation and household-level water facilities.

Small-scale provision and financing arise as a temporary solution to inadequate public utilities: an increasing number of poor communities are asked to pay upfront and in cash 10-20% of capital investments in water infrastructure (Fonseca, 2006). A number of studies have concluded that people are willing to do so. Briscoe and Garn (2005) show that in many peri-urban areas the poor pay up to 20-30% of their income for water. Moral questions subsist on whether people should be responsible for the setting-up and maintenance of basic utilities at the local level. The "willingness to pay"-approach fails to fully take into account the ability of poor households to). pay for utilities in addition to other basic needs. Also, one should consider other factors such as the dynamics of household decision-making to evaluate the ability to pay (Reddy & Vandemoortele, 1996; Nigam & Rasheed, 1998). More generally, community initiatives are often justified based on the shared nature of the resource base. These initiatives require community awareness, appreciation, control, and tangible benefit (Nigam & Rasheed, 1998). In addition, small providers offer large savings in extending service coverage, as community-built utilities are less costly than those systems built by governments (Snell, 1998

While the right to basic services for all clearly stands, cost-sharing by communities for such services is a reality when there is a gap in utilities provision. Clear government policies on community contribution and capital subsidy associated with a notion of basic service levels are

needed. Yet, in cases where communities are required to pay a high share, sustainable access to financing would enable the development of more efficient, cheaper, and higher quality services. For instance, it is estimated that one of the reasons for lower than average bills for water provision by local water utilities in China (3.5% of household income) is developed financing mechanisms for local rural providers.

Small-scale service providers limited access to needed finance

One of the main constraints with respect to the quality and the expansion of services of small-scale providers is the lack of access to financing (Collignon & Vezina, 2000). SSPs' finance usually comes from informal sources such as earnings, savings and loans from friends and families. Community and private schemes recover cost through membership fees, connection charges, and tariffs.

However, additional sources of finance are needed in most cases to maintain service delivery. The nature of the demand varies across different types of SSPs. The potential demand for financing appears greater for community-level providers and small scale private enterprises given the higher capital requirements for initial investments, major repairs, expansion or augmentation. There is a wide variation in investment levels, depending upon the level of technology. For instance, manual latrine cleaners call for small amounts of finance compared to suction tanker businesses. The amount of capital required also depends on the regulatory environments and the levels of utility development and coverage.

For community-level providers most countries offer government subsidies for new investments. However, decentralization policies and demand responsive approaches are leading to a decline in available government finance. Moreover, government financing is in general not accessible for covering the cost of repairs or for rehabilitation or augmentation of services. For

private providers, the lack of access to credit for capital investments is often one of the most significant barriers to entry, which in turn limits competition. At the household level, financing requirements are smaller. Funds are primarily needed to meet the cost of family water and sanitation facilities, or connection fees to a community or urban scheme. Yet, these are sizable investments for most poor households, especially in countries where government policy is shifting emphasis away from household subsidies.

The main constraints to credit for SSPs include their creditworthiness in terms of the availability of collaterals and the viability of projects. In addition, high transaction costs make commercial lenders unwilling to process small loans. Finally, required returns are often not in line with the affordability to pay for final users of the facility.²⁰

Microfinance for Small Scale Service Providers

Microfinance is one possible way to provide SSPs access to finance. Microfinance institutions (MFIs) have developed financial products to target poor groups and their collateral requirements are more flexible than those of traditional banks. MFIs engage in group lending and thereby address the creditworthiness barrier. Moreover, they have been successful in reducing transaction costs. The Grameen Bank has provided loans for water and sanitation facilities since 1992 (Nigam & Rasheed, 1998). The enhancement of income generating capabilities by MFIs provides poor households with the ability to pay and maintain their services. Credit can be granted to: (i) household facilities or for households contributing to community level facilities, (ii) community based organizations, (iii) small scale private providers.

²⁰ In reality, for households and community initiatives, affordability tends to be hampered only by cashflow type constraints to meet connection charges and the initial capital cost, respectively (Cockburn, Dyson & Kenward, 2000).

In the first case credit risk is related to the household's saving and credit history. There is a direct incentive to repay due to the direct benefit for the household. In the second case, a credit history is not available and common community benefits may lead to higher risk of delays and defaults. In ongoing community and private schemes, a history of user charge payments and the possibility of linked service improvements have helped facilitate granting of credit. The risk is lowered, or can be better assessed, as the MFI establishes a relationship and cash-flow history with the community or private scheme.

Successful cases of microfinance can be found all over the world for both electrification projects and water and sanitations schemes. One successful experience comes from Sri Lanka, where the micro-hydropower scheme of the Kandal Oya village was founded through a microloan by a commercial bank. The Intermediate Technology development Group (ITDG), a British NGO promoting small-scale technologies, facilitated this process. In order to finance the project the bank gave a loan to a newly formed village socie ty composed of 80 members on the basis of the member's individual guarantees. The village society contributed in the form of labour, material and cash. The community did all the construction work with some technical assistance from ITDG. A management committee was set up for the scheme. A monthly payment was established for each household to pay for operational and maintenance cost and the repayment of the loan (Harper, 2000).

Nevertheless, while the use of microfinance for shelter related credit has been high (Prahalad, 2004), the same cannot be said for infrastructure related credit. It has to be seen whether MFIs can be an effective medium for increasing access to basic utilities. Many MFIs restrict their loans to amounts that are sometimes too small and too short term to meet local infrastructure financing requirements. Certainly, larger-scale supply solutions such as power generation or reservoir construction are not amenable to such approaches, even if considerable groups could be put together to pool financial resources. Thus an MFI-led approach appears most

applicable either to urban or peri-ruban communities in close proximity to existing infrastructure facilities or to rural communities whose requirements could be met at a relatively low cost (e.g. the provision of a community borehole, pump, latrines, etc.). Furthermore, most MFIs have little experience with infrastructure loan portfolios and project preparation. In many cases, technical assistance must accompany MFIs financing which may generate further need for government subsidies. Finally, refinancing of loan portfolios can become a problem. Most MFIs engaging in financing for local utilities development are not refinanced by commercial banks at commercial rates, but by the members' own finance and public sector organizations. This raises the issue of sustainability of utility financing through MFIs sources and of the involvement of the wider financial community.

Alternative options for financing small-scale service providers

Leasing and hire purchase represents an alternative to microfinance. It enables households to spread the cost of the system over a long period of time. Examples include household photovoltaic (PV) solar power systems. However, it is the wealthier rural households that tend to be the ones who benefit the most from this financing method. Consumer credit, through which utilities extend credit to consumers, is further option. By spreading connection costs over a number of regular monthly payments, utilities may address cash-flow constraints for households. The utility provider can profit by charging an interest to the consumer. If the utility is able to cut off consumer for non-payment, they have an effective collateral equivalent. This approach has been used in the Chilean rural electrification programme. However, cutting off consumers for non-payment is morally questionable and might have undesirable social and political implications. Other models are revolving funds for covering capital costs. The capital costs are recovered with regular repayments of the recurrent costs over a period of time. Through such approaches the community mobilizes and manages the resources itself.

The broader framework for financing of small-scale service providers

Some experts claim that i China micro-financing of local schemes seems to be successful due to the absence of a culture of subsidies and the use of government resources to promote private investment. These claims question the traditional role of government as a provider of basic services, in particular for the poorest. The focus of the debate should be on how Government resources could be used most effectively to support small-scale service providers. For instance, governments could focus on the development of credit assessment tools, or on lowering high initial transaction costs for micro-finance institutions.

For instance, in Ethiopia a Rural Water Supply and Environment Project funded by the government of Finland has involved the Amhara Credit and Savings Institute (ASCI), a local microfinance institution, in providing financial services to Water & Sanitation Cooperatives (WATSANCOS), i.e CBOS. Capacity building and technical support were critical in the course of the project. About 39% of the community-based schemes supported through this project used the services of ASCI to deposit the fees collected. Initially, regular savings of the MFI enabled access to funds for repairs and maintenance while the cash flow history of a given CBO provided favorable conditions for future loans (Mehta, 2003). At present, detailed procedures are being worked out to deliver credit services for water-point construction for groups organized under the WATSANCOs.²¹

Another important task is to address supply-side constraints and help local communities develop bankable opportunities. This was done in the above mentioned case of Sri Lanka hydro-power schemes, where an NGO provided technical assistance. In order to scale-up services, there is a need to create links between existing financial mechanisms and formal financial institutions. Greater information exchange and transparency should be promoted. Mehta (2003) provides the example of CLIFF, a partnership of UK based Homeless international and its Indian partners and local organizations.²² The initiative focuses on project development support, partial guarantees for risk mitigation and market-based investment funds in the attempt to scale-up existing local utilities.

Other issues which require further attention are information constraints and high transaction costs incurred by local financial institutions. Further development of credit rating agencies or rating of local infrastructure projects could address these matters. In general, financial institutions need to further explore the possibility of local utility markets. Credit mechanisms specifically tailored for financing of utilities can be developed. At the same time, tariff setting, cost recovery policies, and connection costs require further study. For instance, companies (e.g. New Infrastructure Development Finance Company – IDFC – in India) exist, which explore opportunities for financing the private sector for decentralized utilities. It is too early to properly assess the performance of these companies. Yet, careful monitoring should be ensured to expand evidence on what works, under which conditions, and why. More evidence is needed also on the type of financing of community and household utilities (CREPA, 2000).

²¹ Amhara Credit and Saving Institution (ACSI) Institutional Profile, Current Status and Future Strategy Bahir Dar May 2004 http://topics.developmentgateway.org/poverty/rc/filedownload.do?itemId=1007720
²² Homeless International is a charity internationally supporting community-led housing and infrastructure related development in partnership with local partner organizations.

Realization and articulation of finance mobilization at the local level must of course be combined with the appropriate regulatory framework. For instance, the legal basis of community organizations will influence the risk perception of the lenders (Harper, 2000). In both cases of CBOs in Ethiopia and Sri Lanka, the lack of legal status of the beneficiary organizations caused problems and delays in credit provision and required the mediation of a third actor. Also one should define clear and firm legal basis for group investment, transparent procedures for handling money and ensuring accountability, as well as guidelines for the role of local governments (e.g. monitoring and regulation). For private providers there is a call for transparency in contracts, efficiency in billing and collection systems, and regulation to ensure fair competition (Snell, 1998). SSPs are a temporary solution, but they cannot survive as enclave: they need an appropriate sector framework providing necessary incentives and making sustainable access to credit possible (Chandavarkar, 1994).

Paying off the debt: ensuring the sustainability of access to utilities for all

Cost recovery

During 1990s frustration with inefficiency and low quality of basic services, as well as limited capabilities for expansion, led to less emphasis on subsidies in favor of cost recovery. The notion of cost recovery refers to the practice of charging consumers for the cost of providing services. The idea of cost recovery rests on different arguments. Firstly, there is the fiscal rationale: the need for governments to reduce tax burdens. This type of pressure is more and more prevalent at the local level as municipalities face cutbacks in national transfers and compete to attract human and financial capital. Secondly, cost recovery is important to ensure sustainability, i.e. the availability of funds to increase coverage as well as the quality of services. Thirdly, a moral argument can be made, for the need for consumers, in particular higher-income groups, to value the use of services appropriately. Finally, it is believed that cost recovery promotes efficiency, accountability, and transparency by providing clear financial indicators of performance (McDonald, 2002).

Costs to be covered are diverse. They may include economic costs and benefits, for instance the lost value of water for other usage, gains from the productive use of electricity, environmental costs, or other positive and negative externalities. In addition, information system development, monitoring, and regulation costs may be recovered. Yet, typically, cost recovery refers only to the cost of development of community institutions and capacity as well as systems

construction, operation, and maintenance. More rarely, cost recovery also includes systems rehabilitation and/or extension (Cardone & Fonseca, 2003).

Tariffs

Tariffs establish the level of cost recovery that utility providers achieve by directly charging end-users. Tariffs are generally set at the national level and, more rarely, at the community level. One main distinction among different types of tariffs is based on whether services can be measured in volumetric terms. Fixed charge tariffs, or single tariffs, are usually used when it is impossible to measure the service in volumetric terms. Under this type of tariffs, consumers pay a fixed amount independently of the volume of water or electricity used. This type of tariffs is very simple to administer, but it creates no incentives for conservation. For services that can be measured in volumetric terms, one option is a constant volumetric tariff, whereby all the customers pay the same per unit of water used. These tariffs are more complicated to administer, yet they encourage resources conservation.

For equity considerations, both fixed charge tariffs and volumetric tariffs can be adapted to charge different consumers differently. In the case of fixed tariffs, differential rates can be applied based on household income, property valuation, types of users, pipes diameters, number of taps etc. For volumetric tariffs, users may pay differently for different consumption levels. This means that a certain degree of subsidy is introduced.

Other typical tariff structures are seasonal, zonal and output-based tariffs. Seasonal tariffs may be applied for water utilities. In Chile, for instance, seasonal tariffs are widely used to encourage conservation during drier seasons. Zonal tariffs are related to resources availability per areas and costs of providing services in different zones. This means that zonal tariffs are higher for areas with scarce water availability and in difficult to reach areas. Although zonal tariffs are

not formally applied, remote communities do pay more because they purchase water from resellers, as they are not reached by official utilities. Finally, output-based tariffs are a new concept of tariffs which have not been applied yet. According to this type of tariff users should pay based on a schedule of improvements of service promised by the utility.

The drive for increased cost recovery in the context of wide-spread privatization in the 1990s led to steep price increases in electricity and water and sewerage networks. As the poor have spent a higher percentage of their income on these services than the better-off, they have suffered the most. A number of studies have revealed that the amount and structure of these price increases have produced increased inequity, e.g., in Peru (Birdsall & Nellis 2002). Also, elimination of illegal connections by private utilities has hurt the poor disproportionately and has accounted for a significant amount of the increased price impact. Under privatisation many poor households suffered from a price that was higher than the old state price for electricity supply. This has led to an increase in income inequality and exclusion of many people from services. Utility privatization has also often led to network expansion limited to urban areas. The rural poor have been generally left with the infinite price of no service at all. This scenario has occurred in Peru, Argentina, Bolivia, Mexico and other Latin America countries (Birdsall and Nellis 2002).

At present, tariffs in developing countries are usually set well below the level needed to cover operation and maintenance costs. About 39 % of average tariffs for water utilities do not cover operation and maintenance cost and 30 % of water utilities tariffs are too low to contribute to capital costs (World Bank, 2005i).²³ In electricity, the tendency to under-price service is less prevalent, yet 50 % of electricity utilities worldwide have average tariffs unable to cover operation and maintenance and 44 percent have tariffs which do not make any contribution to capital costs (World Bank, 2005i).

²³ Data from a Global Water Intelligence surveys covering 132 major cities worldwide.

In a nutshell, a substantial proportion of the population in developing countries finds it difficult to pay the full cost of service, even if it may be able to pay consumption charges. Full cost recovery has practically failed even in countries with the political will to pursue it (World Bank, 2005i). At present, the World Bank (2005i) estimates that raising prices to achieve full cost recovery would on average increase the poverty headcount by 2-3 percent.

Subsidies

The rationale of subsidies arises from equity considerations that seek to rectify a mismatch between affordable tariff levels, connection charges and the costs of providing the service (Mehta, 2003). Subsidies are widely applied due to characteristics of the cost structure of basic utilities. Providing basic utilities implies a relatively high proportion of fixed costs to total costs. This means that the economically efficient pricing solution (marginal cost pricing) does usually not lead to full cost recovery unless demand, i.e., the consumer's ability and willingness to pay, increases significantly. Moreover, there is a high percentage of common costs, which are difficult to allocate to different consumers. Finally, high capital intensity of water and electricity combined with long asset lives leads to under-pricing of services in the short and medium term.

Who pays for subsidies?

Subsidies may be paid by service providers through internal cross-subsidization. Crosssubsidization is a mechanism, whereby one group of customers pays a relatively high price for a certain service and thus enables another group to pay a relatively low price for the same service. The two most common forms of cross-subsidization are for industrial/urban customers to pay prices in excess of costs to subsidize residential/rural consumption, and for high-volume

consumers to subsidize low-volume users. In Gabon, the national water and electric utility provider uses profits from urban electricity supply to subsidize water and electricity service in small towns and rural areas. Cross-subsidies allow utilities to achieve cost recovery without relying on central government transfers. However, cross-subsidization has its risks. Customers have the option of reacting to cross-subsidization by disconnecting themselves from the public network and by arranging their own private supply of water, or by relocating to a different service area. For instance, the cross subsidization scheme between urban and rural customers introduced in the Ivory Cost in the 1980s failed as industrial customers exited from the public network. (Mehta, 2003).

Subsidies may also derive from allocations by government (local or central) or donors. The total value of subsidies represents a large share of public expenditure and utility costs. The most prominent examples of government-funded subsidy schemes come from the countries of the former Soviet Union. Generally, power and water sector subsidies remain an important fiscal drain in many regions of the world. For instance, electricity subsidies in India and Mexico exceed 1 percent of GDP. Fiscal transfers for drinking water and sanitation tend to be smaller as a percentage of GDP. In India drinking water subsidies are estimated to represent 0.5 percent of GDP (World Bank, 2005i).

The government subsidy can be provided directly to consumers or to service providers. Either of these mechanisms carries substantial risk. In the first case, targeting may become difficult, while in the second case problems may arise if resources transferred by the government to the utility will be inefficiently absorbed. In Chile, utilities that are not adequately reimbursed by the government were allowed to stop providing the service. Because such a drastic move would be politically unpopular, this requirement provides strong incentives for government officials to ensure that transfers happen effectively. In many other countries, a lack of transfers from the government translates into lower quality of services.

It is important to note that only a subgroup of the general public ultimately pays for utility subsidies, in the form of higher taxes, higher utility prices, or deteriorating utility service. Which subgroup of the general public this will be depends on how taxes are raised, how crosssubsidies are structured, and how service restrictions are allocated across the population. Thus, funding mechanisms can play important roles in determining the distribution of the net benefits of the subsidy (World Bank, 2005i).

Consumption versus connection subsidies

Much of the current debate on subsidy design centers on the pros and cons of consumption versus connection subsidies. Consumption subsidies can make services less expensive to existing utility customers on a continuous basis. The defining feature of consumption subsidies is that they are available only to current utility customers. Consumption subsidies may operate through the tariff structure or may appear as a percentage discount applied to customer bills. They may also take the form of a cash transfer to reimburse households for utility expenditures. Consumption subsidies are widespread in both the water and electricity sectors. They may take different forms. Consumers may be charged differently based on their level of consumption (self-selection quantity targeting). They may also be charged differently based on different type of service they use (self-selection service-level targeting), or be selected administratively (e.g., social tariffs for the poor, geographically differentiated tariffs etc.).

In the water sector, it is very common to find higher average prices for urban than rural areas due to cross-subsidization between the two classes of consumers. Most water tariff structures are based on quantity targeting. In Latin America, Europe and Central Asia social tariffs are also used, usually on the basis of means testing. In Africa and Asia, it is common to see service-level targeting, e.g. free water at public water taps. With regard to electricity, differential pricing between urban and rural areas is less common than in the water sector, due to increasing liberalization of power markets and the sensitivity of industries to electricity pricing. However, the use of special social tariffs for lower income households is used extensively. Quantity targeting is also widespread, although less than in the water sector while service level targeting is quite rare.

Recent studies have shown that consumption subsidies hardly reach the poor. Most quantity based subsidies are highly regressive. The main reason is that many poor households do not benefit from these programmes simply because they are not connected to the network. In addition, application of quantity subsidies requires well functioning meters, which are unlikely to be found in poor households. Moreover, differences in consumption between poor and non poor have turned out to be less relevant than expected. In addition, fixed charges lead to a higher unit price for small consumers than large consumers.

One possible strategy is to reduce the threshold of quantity subsidies while raising the rate charged in the unsubsidized portions of tariffs to above average cost. More far reaching strategies would focus on expanding coverage and metering. Other consumption subsidies, such as administrative or service level targeting have proven to be more progressive. In Chile, social subsidies based on means-testing were introduced in the 1990s to mitigate effects of increases in water prices. According to this strategy, utilities apply a discount to water bills of eligible households and are later reimbursed by the government. While this mechanism tends to be more effective in reaching the poor, it requires government capacity to administer means-testing systems. In Bangalore, subsidies are provided to those obtaining water from public taps. The shortfall is that subsidies are relatively small and the margin of exclusion error is large, as many poor households access private taps in urban areas.

Connection subsidies, by contrast, are one-time subsidies that reduce or eliminate the

price customers pay to connect to the system. Thus, they are available only to unconnected households. These subsidies may come in the form of partial capital grants for rural schemes. They are used in both the water and electricity sectors. Connection subsidies do reach the poor more as they tackle the main problem of non-access to the network. In additions, it is widely believed that covering the relatively high initial cost of connection may be a bigger obstacle than paying for regular water use. Expanding utility networks into rural and peri-urban areas may be hampered by financial constraints. However, the impact of connection subsidies might be further reduced by the fact that poor households also face non-financial obstacles to connection, such as acquiring legal title to the property they occupy (World Bank, 2005i).

Targeting

Another aspect of subsidies to be taken into account is the question of appropriate targeting. Untargeted subsidies may lead to generalized under-pricing of services while targeted subsidies support certain population groups as explained above. High costs of the provision of water and electricity have lead to the prevalence of untargeted subsidies. As a result, most utility services are indeed under-priced²⁴. However, carefully targeted subsidies to fewer households may cause fewer distortions in consumption decisions. Subsidies may be more effective if they take into account the tariff level required for financial viability of provider, the minimum required consumption of service and the prevailing income levels among consumers.

²⁴ In general, under-pricing is more widespread in the water than in the electricity sector.

Incentive-linked Subsidies

Incentive-linked subsidies, generally referred to as output-based aid (OBA), move away from the traditional approach to public spending for utilities. OBA defines its objectives in terms of outputs instead of inputs. While traditionally, subsidies are provided to municipal departments or utilities based on inputs for services, OBA treats the transfer of subsidies to utilities as a reimbursement for each qualified customer receiving the service. For this to happen, service delivery must be delegated to third-party providers. OBA would reach the poor by providing subsidies for their actual consumption. In addition, incentive-linked subsidies are believed to be more transparent and to maintain market incentives. OBA can mobilize private funding, enhance efficient use of public funds, and reach the poor.

Chile's water utilities are an illustrative example. Private water utilities provide the service to the poor at discounted rates for a certain amount of cubic meters per month. The water companies get a reimbursement (subsidy) from the municipal government for each qualified customer that receives the service in terms of the water consumed. The success of this consumption subsidy rests on almost universal coverage in urban areas. Incentive-linked subsidies can be used also as connection subsidies.

OBA suffers from several limitations. Firstly, it is difficult to design contracts providing the right incentives for efficient and well targeted service delivery. It is complex to clearly define results and indicators, which are critical for measuring OBA's impact on providers. In Cote d'Ivoire subsidies have accrued to over 90% of connections installed over the past 10 years, while failing to reach the poorer customers that do not reside within the areas served by the utility networks. Secondly, many types of perverse incentives can arise.

For instance, providers may reduce quality to cut costs as quality is difficult to specify. In the case of Cote d'Ivoire providers had incentives to maximize the number of new connections.

As a result, subsidies were claimed for reconnections where services were cut off for lack of payment of tariffs. Thirdly, not all providers are eligible as they must be ready to respond to incentives and operate at a distance from regulators and the funding source. This means that the international private sector, small-scale service providers, community groups and NGOs are the best suited providers for output-based schemes. Moreover, OBA is costly and capacity is needed to collect the necessary information on poor customers. In addition, choosing the form, level, and structure of payment is crucial for success. For instance, the lack of up-front payment may automatically exclude all providers facing difficulties in mobilizing financing for service delivery. Moreover, administering an OBA system is not easy. Finally, for ODA to work properly appropriate governance and monitoring systems must be in place (Brook & Petrie, 2001; Meetha, 2003)

Implicit subsidies

Subsidies can be targeted implicitly or explicitly. Implicit targeting derives from low collection rates, illegal connections, flat fees for unmetered connections and single volumetric charges. Succinctly speaking, implicit targeting means that certain customers end up paying less than others simply because it is harder to collect their tariffs or because they receive water and electricity "illegally". In the water sector, implicit subsidies are mainly associated with unmetered service provision. Implicit subsidies can also derive from combining water and sewer services, which is a widespread phenomenon in Latin America and Asia. In practice, those who do not have sewer service end up cross-subsidizing those who do. Many water utilities have low collection rates and illegal connections, with paying household subsidizing nonpaying households. Implicit targeting is less widespread in the electricity sector. This is due to almost universal metering levels. Nevertheless, low collection rates and widespread illegal connections

remain a problem. Implicit targeting is an unplanned and non-transparent use of subsidization resources and it may compromise the success of explicit subsidization.

Invoicing and collection

One of the recurring challenges in cost recovery is motivating and enabling poor people to pay. This requires a billing system that informs consumers of their payment obligations and based on an effective collection mechanisms. Difficulty in collecting payments in many developing countries derives mainly from a history of non-payment, the lack of a convenient payment place, and organizational/administrative problems.

The traditional problem of non-payment in South Africa is tackled through different strategies that have been applied elsewhere as well. One choice is the use of pre-paid meters: Households buy and recharge cards in shops and are able to get water from standposts for the amount contained on their card. This and other similar pre-payment systems collect money in advance and do not allow consumers to go into default (Mc Donald, 2002). Another interesting feature of billing and collection in the South African projects is the tying of revenue collection efforts to service improvement. Payment for services is actively encouraged only for properly functioning utilities. As utilities performance improves, so should revenue collection. Collection of payments may prove more difficult in informal and unorganized settlements or in rural areas. In this case, the set up of new community-level institutions, e.g. a utility committee, may help implementing cost recovery. The committee members can be made responsible for collecting periodic fees from households. Chances of success are higher if some incentives are introduced for committee members to charge tariffs. In the simplest water systems – boreholes with diesel pumps and standposts – water service stops if the water committee has not collected the money to buy diesel for the pump.

Many alternative systems may be proposed, such as collection of payments through banks and supermarkets or community churches. In many developing countries, improvement of customer relations is also an option. Allow ing consumers to express their concerns and complaints as well as give their input for system operation and maintenance through interactive programmes leads to higher cost recovery. Punitive measures/threats used to persuade and force consumers to pay their bills, such as cutoffs and evictions are also used worldwide. Yet, these systems are not only expensive to administer, but also politically and morally sensitive. (Komives & Prokopy, 2000; McDonald, 2002). In general, identifying billing and payments systems perceived as adequate by consumers may prove helpful. Most tariff and subsidy related mechanisms require tailored administrative solutions. Strong regulatory and monitoring systems are also necessary and often missing (Mehta, 2003; World Bank, 2005i).

There is ample evidence that resources for basic utilities are often inefficiently used and do not reach the poor. Full cost recovery cannot be achieved merely or mainly through appropriate tariff design. Subsidization is often necessary. The challenge lies in the design and implementation of tariff and subsidy structures that are most appropriate in the local context. Preservation of economic incentives should also be taken into account. Most importantly, it is critical to learn from experience in order to ensure that cost recovery encourages access to basic utilities for the poor.

As for tariffs, administrative simplicity and low cost of collection and administration seem to improve chances of success. Solutions tailored to each local context should be researched and dialogue with communities for this purpose encouraged. While innovative solutions such as output based tariffs may be explored they might pose additional problems. For instance, applying cross-subsidies is not an option when output based tariffs are explored, as customers are directly responsible for recovering the cost of improvements of the utility they use. Moreover, output-

based tariffs assume low mobility of the population, which is not the case in many developing countries.

With regard to subsidies, there seems to be an emerging consensus that connection subsidies may be a better option than consumption subsidies. Yet, continuous research is needed. For instance certain approaches to connection subsidies have been more successful than others. Several community approaches to design and implementation of access subsidies have been more successful than household subsidies in generating new demand for services. In addition, connection subsidies should ensure the provision of a minimum service level. The cost of this basic service level should be assessed and affect the subsidy level. If there are savings, the community should be allowed to keep them: this would foster cost-effective designs.

Where consumption subsidies are in place, expansion of coverage and metering as well as elimination of implicit subsidization should be attempted. Generally speaking, designing subsidies should strike a balance between supply (e.g. subsidy design, criteria, targeting mechanisms etc.) and demand driven factors (i.e. local context, needed social mobilization, technical choices etc.). As with tariffs, simplicity is helpful Multiple and conflicting subsidy rules can create confusion resulting in inadequacy of demand articulation and making it difficult to implement rules and conditions. Finally, targeted schemes seem more successful than untargeted options although they imply higher administrative costs. Political economy consideration should indeed be taken into account in the design of both tariff and subsidy structures (Birdsall, 1992).

The role of tax revenue

Sustainable, adequate and stable financial resources play a critical role in enabling governments to deliver public utilities and promote overall socio-economic development. Yet, most low-income countries still have a long away to go in order to mobilize sufficient resources to achieve their development objectives. Table 3 underlines the urgency for improving the tax systems in developing countries. It shows two striking facts. First, low-income countries collect a significantly lower share of tax revenue relative to their GDP than high-income countries. Second, the relative share of government expenditure to GDP is much higher in developed countries than in developing ones.





Source: data from World Bank World Development Indicators 2006

The challenges developing countries face in mobilizing tax revenues are manifold.

Firstly, the potential for tax revenue is generally low given the prevailing low average income in

developing countries, the economies of which are often characterized by a large share of agriculture in total employment. This severely limits the revenue potential of income and consumption taxes. Secondly, there are large informal sector activities and many occupations without any record of transactions. The problem of data collection is exacerbated by the fact that most transactions even in the formal sector are often cash transactions, a fact that makes it difficult to establish the true level of income. Thirdly, tax administration capacities are weak due to inadequate funding of revenue collecting agencies, lack of training, insufficient manpower, heavy competition and often more favorable remuneration from the private sector. Fourthly, tax awareness is low. Taxpayers are often not aware of their rights and obligations. Moreover, the incentive to pay taxes is low where the rates are too high and it does not exist where public services do not reach the poor. Lastly, there is a significant loss of revenue due to liberal tax incentives and exemption schemes, liberal capital allowances (the "race to the bottom" to attract foreign investors) as well as other sectoral exemption schemes (such as mining and agriculture), location incentives and the establishment of export processing and manufacturing zones.

Improving tax administration

The following sections offer a brief survey of common challenges related to improving revenue collections in developing countries. They center around two interconnected issues, tax administration and tax policies. The role of tax administration is to collect taxes as specified in the law. The biggest challenge is hence to reduce the difference between the tax due according to the law and the amount actually collected, i.e., the goal is to narrow the so-called "tax-gap". Common types of non-compliance result from non-registration, non-filing and non-payment, under-reporting of tax voluntary paid and abuse in refund systems, in particular in case of the

VAT²⁵. Table 4 lists a menu of typical underlying reasons for non-compliance to various tax types (based on Amon, 2000).

Table 4

Type of tax	Administrative challenges
Self employment taxes	Large informal sector
	• Failure to transact business at specific places
	• Failure to keep records of transactions
	Lack of resources to facilitate monitoring duties
	• Increased activities in the black economy
Employment income	Failure to declare allowances and other sources of income
Corporate taxes	Technicalities and tax avoidance
	Poor record keeping
	Cash transactions
Value added tax	Delayed refund of input VAT
	• Failure to declare correct turnovers
	Poor record keeping
	Electronic commerce
Other indirect taxes	High-tax rates
	Smuggling
	• Under invoicing
	Lack of logistics

²⁵ See report of the Ad Hoc Group of Exp erts Meeting on Strategies for Improving Resource Mobilization in Developing Countries and Countries with Economies in Transition, New York, 2-6 October 2000.

A quick look at these challenges suggests that the following objectives are critical for improving tax administration in developing countries. First and foremost, there seems to be an urgent need for improving data collection and improving taxpayer information to correctly asses the revenue base. Secondly, measures must be taken to increase potential tax payer's ability and willingness to pay. This can be done through more simplifying compliance procedures, educational efforts, lower tax rates and a more universal and better provision of public services. Secondly, the administrative capabilities of the revenue authority should be increased. Possible vehicles for this could be better remuneration for employees, upgrading of technology and a higher degree of autonomy of the agencies. Finally, much revenue is lost through tax incentives, a trade-off, which should be critically examined.

One popular avenue of administrative reform intended to tackle these challenges more effectively has been the establishment of semi-autonomous revenue agencies, in particular in Sub-Saharan Africa. The justification of the establishment of these agencies is based on considerations of efficiency and effectiveness. An autonomous organization is believed to be free from political interference in day-to-day affairs and other constraints of civil service personnel systems. More specifically, concrete advantages of establishing an autonomous revenue agency are perceived increased effectiveness, efficiency and equity of a new agency by taxpayers, greater flexibility in human resource management, an opportunity for integrating revenue functions into one agency, and the independence of such an agency to take legal action against tax payers. Among the possible disadvantages are the additional costs of establishing and the higher costs of running the new agency (compared to the tax agency it typically replaces). In addition, the establishment of a new executive agency might contribute to fragmentation of civil service with a negative impact on inter-agency coordination and cooperation. It is also important to put in place a clear regulatory and supervisory framework to prevent abuse and political interference. This is often lacking in poor countries.

A first assessment of some these new revenue authorities done by Fjeldstad and Rakner (2003) indicates that this model has run into deep problems in many instances. While tax revenue increased in the short-run it could not be sustained in the long run. As happened in the case of Uganda, a proclaimed autonomous revenue authority with generous remuneration packages is not necessarily free from political interference. On the contrary, by offering well-paid jobs and considerable rent-seeking opportunities it is an attractive target for interference in personnel matters. Secondly, corruption can continue to thrive even within high-wages environment. Moreover, the typical work environment of tax officers includes external actors. As a result, there is the potential for corruption in an extended network, where tax officials can be hired as "tax experts" by the private sector in return of certain "favors". Privatization of tax collection, such as the collection of customs duties by the private British company Crown Agents in Mozambique give also reason to concern as revenue increases seem unsustainable, skills transfer is limited and contracts are often very expensive for the developing country government. Moreover, in countries with high levels of corruption there is no reason to believe that the private sector entities are less guided by self interest than the Revenue Authority. While most of the literature views outsourcing measures with some skepticism another potential tool of increasing administrative efficiency is viewed more optimistically: Electronic Service Delivery (ESD) (Hadler, 2000). It is argued that low-income countries need to begin a process of reviewing their tax administration in the face of growing electronic commerce. Growing electronic commerce includes electronic banking, purchasing and inventory systems, payment systems and commercial transactions conducted via internet, phone or fax and all forms of digitized goods and services. Although, the internet is still in embryonic stages in many least developed and developing countries, this form of business is growing rapidly around the world. There is considerable evidence that e-commerce has helped spur economic growth and thus can help increase the potential tax base (Brookes and Wahhaj, 2000). To harness this growing opportunity electronic servic e delivery development (ESD) (i.e., the ability to file taxes electronically, register a business, receive information etc.)

should go hand in hand with growing ecommerce. Like most internet transactions ESD has an enormous potential for gains in efficiency and effectiveness. On the other hand it implies its own set of inherent risks and challenges, which are outlined in table 5 below.

Table 5:

Risks and challenges to be taken into account in ESD (based on Hadler 2000)

Stakeholder	Risk
Taxpayer	 Issues of security, privacy and confidentiality High access cost Potential discrimination of the illiterate
Government	 Burden of changing technology Increased costs from infrastructure overhead, training and updating Taxpayer's potential for evasion, fraud and misuse.
Tax officials	 Changing work environment Changes in volumes of work Changes in skill needs

Despite these risks, ESD offers enormous potential for cost reduction and improvements in tax efficiency, transparency and client orientation. Setting standards and formulating frameworks for ESD is already happening within the OECD. Given the growing role of the internet throughout the developing world it is important and timely to include low-income countries into the debate in a more universal forum. This could help assist them in developing their own ESD strategy for tax administration.

Lastly, apart from considering the vehicle of the most effective tax administration it is important to reach consensus on the general objectives of tax administration. In this regard, Mokherjee (1997) stresses the need for complementary reforms in incentive systems and organizational procedures. Improving tax structures and collection requires a thorough examination of institutional parameters. Feasible reform may require "enabling organizational changes" in many areas, including personnel systems, task assignments, information systems, budgeting and procurement, and mechanisms for feedback and evaluation. These comprehensive reforms can only materialize if they are guided by political will at the highest level.

Improving tax policies

Discussing tax policies implies the possibility of changing the tax law. The overall goal of the tax policy should be to design a practicable system that takes into account the prevailing socio-economic context and generates an adequate amount of resources to meet the demands of the people. At the same time the tax system put in place should be the one with the least possible costs transferred to the people. Another objective must be to minimize the tax's potential disincentive effects on economic activities and design it in accordance with international norms. Finally, the most important property of all taxes must be their ability to be collected (Neumark 1974, Tanzi & Zee, 2000, Figueroa, 2000).

It is important to stress that a more efficient tax administration must go hand in hand with a more equitable tax systems. As Amartya Sen has demonstrated countries with the most impressive levels of economic growth and social development in the last half of the 20th century were those with a strong focus on equitable tax systems and broad health, education policies and a high level of public service and utilities provision.

Given the urgent need for investment in social services and basic utility provision in developing countries a strong case can be made for the necessity for developing countries to not only improve their tax administration but also changing tax policies. Over the last two decades many developing countries and many economies in transition have indeed implemented comprehensive tax reforms. The focus of these reforms was threefold. Firstly, tax administrations and compliance procedures were strengthened, secondly, tax policies changed with a view to achieving more stable and bigger revenue flows and thirdly, existing rules and procedures were made more efficient. More specifically, during the 1990s, the Bretton Woods institutions promoted tax reforms as part of their larger structural adjustment programmes. These reforms focused on the central government tax system and were similar in design to those popular in developed countries. Usually, these reform packages aimed at broadening the tax base while flattening the tax rates. They typically included the following measures (Fjeldstad, Rakner, 2003, Emran and Stiglitz):

- Introduction of the value-added tax (VAT) in combination with a reduction of trade taxes
- Lower personal and corporate income taxes as well as broadening of the bases for personal and corporate income taxes;
- Simplification of the excise duty structure

The following section will discuss some of the critical aspects to be taken into account when contemplating these and other tax reform measures.

The potential or non-potential of VAT in poor countries

While the sales tax is a tax levied on the retail price of a good or service and collected by the retailer, the VAT is levied on every business involved in the production process as a fraction of the price of each taxable sale they make. However, every business is reimbursed the VAT on their purchases. As a result, the VAT is only applied to the value added to the goods at each stage of the production. Because of this reimbursement procedure, the VAT has the same overall economic effect on final prices as a sales tax. However, by involving each actor in the production chain, the VAT increases accounting requirements on the side of the producer. It is therefore believed to reduce the grey economy and increase competitiveness.

A large number of developing countries have implemented a revenue-enhancing increase in VAT in tandem with a reduction of trade taxes as part of the policy conditionalities of the structural adjustment programmes of IMF and World Bank. In this regard, Emran and Stiglitz (2000) raise serious doubts about the wisdom of increasing VAT in developing countries to compensate for lost revenue through the reduction of trade taxes. In their view, the abovementioned benefits of the VAT are derived from partial models that do not take into account the large informal sectors in developing countries. This informal sector escapes the VAT net and as a result, an increase in VAT might increase inter-sectoral distortions between formal and informal sectors, which would reduce overall welfare. Another common assumption that is made in most models is that economies consist entirely of tradable goods. Based on this assumption any tradable commodity can be a candidate for trade tax reform. The case for coordinated reform of VAT and export tax then rests on the assumption that the commodity with

the lowest indirect tax burden is an exportable good. Yet in developing countries public services are either untaxed or enjoy consumption subsidies and hence public utilities are non-exportable commodities with low indirect tax burdens. This weakens the case for simultaneous VAT and trade reform even further. Empirical research is needed to examine the welfare effect of this common reform measure.

Lower personal and corporate income taxes (PIT and CIT)

A notable difference between developed and developing countries is the ratio of PIT to CIT revenue. This ratio is about four in developed countries while it is smaller than 1 in developing countries. The PIT has traditionally yielded very little revenue in most developing countries. Despite its political importance as a visible policy instruments for governments to underscore social justice it is often undercut by high rates of personal exemptions and deductions. Indeed, the more progressive the nominal PIT rates are the higher is the potential tax loss in form of deductions. An increase in the effective rate along with a substantial equity improvement could be brought about by reducing the nominal degree of the rate. If restructuring is not a possibility, replacing PIT deductions with tax credits is another option. This would provide the same benefits to taxpayers in all tax brackets.

Another important consideration is the level of the top marginal PIT rate. This rate should not exceed the CIT rate since otherwise taxpayers are tempted to choose the corporate form of doing business simply for tax reasons which would have a distortionary effect on the economy. A further problematic area in countries with weak administration is the tax treatment of financial income. In developing countries interest income is typically taxed by applying a final withholding on interest income. This should be carefully targeted, however, since taxpayers with business income can circumvent this tax through fairly simple arbitrage transactions (i.e., by fully deducting all interest expenditures from interest incomes before the withholding tax is applied).

Tax issues of the CIT are no less complex. Two areas are especially problematic. Firstly, many developing countries have multiple CIT rates in various sectors. This can lead to a distortion of sectoral resource allocation due to a difference in tax rates. Secondly, many low-income countries have inappropriate systems to take into account allowable depreciation of physical assets for tax purposes. This is caused by an excessive number of asset categories and depreciation rates, excessively low depreciation rates and a structure of depreciation that is not in accordance with the relative obsolescence rates of different asset categories. This could be overcome by classifying assets according to a smaller group of categories, applying one depreciation rate per asset category, setting depreciation rates higher than actual physical lives of assets (to compensate for inflation) and exploring new methods of accounting that allow for automatic accounting of capital gains and losses from asset disposals, such as the declining-balance method (Tanzi and Zee, 2000).

Simplification of the excise duty structure

In simplifying the excise duty structure one needs to keep in mind that the reasons for imposing an excise tax are very different to that of a consumption tax. Goods deemed to be excisable are usually those with negative externalities (tobacco, alcohol, cars). These are typically few and they are usually highly inelastic in demand. A strong case can indeed be made for simplifying the excise duty structure since the narrow tax base that is characteristic for excise taxes calls for low administrative costs.

Macroeconomic factors to be taken into account in the design and use of financing mechanisms

Possible macroeconomic implications of aid inflows

The "Dutch Disease"

The potential for considerable inflows of foreign money to trigger an appreciation of the real exchange rate with adverse effects on economic growth is usually referred to as the "Dutch Disease". The now widely-used term was introduced by "The Economist" in 1977 referring to the loss of international competitiveness of the manufacturing sector in the Netherlands after the discovery of natural gas in the 1960s resulted in increased foreign exchange inflows. Recent pledges of scaling up aid flows have rekindled the debate on whether the Dutch Disease should be of concern to developing countries. At the outset it should be noted that the potential for ODA targeted at water and electricity to cause Dutch Disease is limited. ODA for water is usually a small share of overall ODA varying between 3% and 5% since 1990. Moreover, the rise in ODA for water has been slower than the rise in total ODA since 1998. As a result, the share for ODA for water in total ODA has been decreasing. Similarly, ODA flows to energy declined significantly in the 1990s and dropped to 3.3% in 2004.²⁶ However, calls have been made by the international community to increase these flows sharply and if delivered, large and sudden inflows of aid can pose real challenges to small and fragile economies.

²⁶ See World Water Council report "Official Development Assistance for Water Supply and Sanitation-Figures and trends" (2005) for ODA flows to water and <u>http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DEVCOMMINT/Documentation/20898330/DCS2006-0002-OPECFund.pdf</u> for ODA flows to energy

In theory, the potential for Dutch Disease for aid-receiving low-income countries can be easily understood: Many low-income countries are characterized by exogeneity of their terms of trade due to their small share in the world economy and the composition of their exports, which are mostly comprised of primary commodities. Exogeneity of terms of trade essentially means that the low-income country has no influence over the prices at which it imports and exports.

A common model that captures this type of a developing economy is the dependenteconomy model with two sectors comprising tradable and non-tradable goods, respectively. The real exchange rate "RER" in a dependent economy model is based on tradable and non-tradable goods in which RER=E (P^{T*}/P^{N}), where E is the nominal exchange rate defined as unit of domestic currency per unit of foreign currency; P^{T*} is the world price of tradables in terms of foreign currency and P^{N} is the price of non-tradable goods.

What is the link between the real exchange rate and inflows of foreign aid? After receiving development aid, the government can spend all or some of the foreign exchange directly on imported goods. The advantage of this strategy is that the potential for inflation would be reduced. The disadvantage is the loss of the multiplier effect of increased government expenditure on domestic goods and services. Alternatively, if the government invests in the domestic market it will transfer the foreign currency to the central bank in return for domestic currency and use that domestic currency to buy goods and services at home in the tradable and non-tradable goods sectors. This should, at least in theory, increase equally the demand for goods and services in both sectors. However, while there are usually few bottlenecks in satisfying increased demand for tradable goods there are typically production bottlenecks in the non-tradable goods sector. Moreover, the government usually favours to invest in non-tradables such as public services and construction as opposed to traditional tradables, including agriculture, mining and manufacturing.
As a result, increased demand might push up the price level for non-traded goods. In such a scenario P^N will rise leading to an appreciation of the real exchange rate. A higher P^N might increase wage pressure in the non-tradable goods sector. This in turn can have spillover effects to the tradable goods sector and might put upward pressure on wages in that sector as well. In the worst case scenario, export industries will suffer from increased labour costs, which will lower their competitiveness on international markets. Lower export revenues might result in lower per capita growth, in which case the country suffers from "Dutch Disease". It is important to note, however, that a decline in the export sector will only lower growth if it outweighs the benefits of aid-financed investments, as will be discussed later.

Empirical Evidence

The question whether aid recipient countries are indeed susceptible to the Dutch Disease remains controversial (Heller, 2005). Empirical evidence for Dutch Disease in developing countries is mixed. A recent research paper by Ouattara and Strobl (2004) analyzes the relationship between foreign aid inflows and the real exchange rate in 12 countries of the CFA Franc zone²⁷. Based on dynamic panel analysis they find that foreign aid inflows do not cause Dutch disease in these countries. Similarly, Ogun (1995) for Nigeria, Nyoni (1998) for Tanzania and Sackey (2001) for Ghana find no evidence of Dutch Disease in the examined countries. Elbadawi (1999), on the other hand, concludes from a panel study of 62 developing countries that aid inflows caused the real exchange rate to appreciate. White and Wignaraja, (1992) find a similar result for Sri Lanka. Another analysis that has received considerable media attention was undertaken by IMF Economists Raghuram G. Rajan and Arvind Subramanian in their 2005 study "What Undermines Aid's Impact on Growth?" Based on data from 47 developing countries for

²⁷ The CFA countries examined are Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Congo (Republic of), Côte d'Ivoire, Gabon, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo.

the 1980s and 31 countries for the 1990s and using a methodology that exploits both crosscountry and within-country variation the authors find evidence for Dutch disease. Rajan and Subramanian's study differs from the others in that it looks directly at impact of aid on exports without tracing the real exchange rate. At least two critical questions arise from the debate on the "Dutch Disease". Firstly, if there is evidence for Dutch Disease in an aid recipient country, what can be done about it? Secondly, even if Dutch disease persists, can aid still be used so that its net impact on human development is positive?

Spending aid prudently -Fiscal policy tools

In the current policy debate on aid effectiveness, a difference is made between "spending aid" and "absorbing aid". Spending aid is defined as the widening of the fiscal deficit (excluding aid) accompanying an increment in aid. Absorption of aid inflows is defined as the widening of the current account deficit (excluding aid) due to additional aid. The following section will elaborate on the implications of various scenarios, in which aid is partially or in total "spent and absorbed", "not spent and absorbed", "absorbed and not spent" "not spent and not absorbed". Table 4 summarizes the discussion.

As mentioned above, the government can spend aid by exchanging foreign aid for an equivalent amount of domestic currency from the central bank and by using the additional money to buy domestic goods and services. It can also use aid directly to finance imports that would otherwise not have been funded from other sources. If the government spends most of the aid on domestic goods and services, a real resource transfer can take place as those from whom government makes purchases increase their net imports. If this is the case, the central bank can provide the additional foreign exchange needed to finance the imports. As a result, aid will be absorbed. If it does not provide the additional foreign exchange aid will not be absorbed, yet the

money supply increases. This might lead to a depreciation of the exchange rate. In the latter scenario the government aid is not contributing to a real resource transfer. The government could have simply borrowed from the central bank.

It was mentioned earlier that production bottlenecks in the non-traded goods sector can cause problems for additional inflows in the form of inflation and ultimately real exchange rate appreciation. The actual rationale of spending aid is the assumption that developing countries have spare capacities and do not produce at the frontier of their production possibilities. Indeed, the high unemployment rates in developing countries illustrate that these economies are not fully utilizing their potentials.²⁸

Supply bottlenecks in developing countries are therefore of a very different type than those encountered by developed countries. Usually, poor countries suffer from a lack of infrastructure or skilled personnel. The government should try to target these supply-side blockages by investing in domestic goods and services that increase overall productivity, such as roads, communication, health clinics and – critical for the overall topic of this paper-electricity grids, irrigation, water and sanitation.²⁹ This is indeed a crucial aspect for the discussion on "Financing basic utilities for all". Absorptive capacity constraints should not be used as a counterargument to development aid targeted at water and electricity. Rather, absorptive capacity can be increased through investment in these basic utilities and productivity spillovers between the non-tradable and tradable sectors can overturn the Dutch Disease (Torvik, 2001; Adam and Bevan, 2004).

²⁸ This is a noteworthy difference to the original "Dutch" model which was built on the neoclassical assumption of full employment.

²⁹ Further long term productivity enhancing investments include improvements in human capital, development of the judicial system and deepening of the financial sector.

In order to minimize potential inflation and other distortionary effects, the developing country should use as much of its foreign exchange as possible to import capital goods that target productivity bottlenecks of the domestic economy. These capital goods should be noncompetitive in the domestic economy to avoid adverse effects on domestic production. This strategy would be identical in its macroeconomic effects to aid-in-kind. Spending and absorption would be one and the same. It is important to note, however, that aid recipient countries face a significant challenge in determining the composition of net imports. Often aid is tied to donor country exports. Moreover, international tendering requirements and conditionalities effectively leave little control of developing countries on actual purchases (Heller, 2005). Another severe constraint is limited fiscal space. In this regard, some have called on the IMF to treat public capital expenditures differently to current expenditures in the fiscal analysis. This would give developing economies more room to expand the productive capacity of the economy (McKinley, 2008).

Absorbing aid or sterilizing inflows?-The central bank's dilemma of finding the right exchange rate and monetary policy

The central bank has to make a strategic choice. On the one hand it should ensure that aid is not only spent but also absorbed, i.e., that a real resource transfer in the form of higher net imports takes place. For this to happen, the central bank has to sell foreign exchange. Consequently, some appreciation of the exchange rate might be inevitable. On the other hand, the central bank might be inclined to sterilize aid inflows based on concerns about loosing competitiveness caused by inflation and real exchange rate appreciation. One policy tool to limit inflation is to introduce restraints on fiscal policy by limit ing net credit to the government. This, however, would be counterproductive to the purpose of aid. Aid would neither be spent nor absorbed but simply be hoarded as reserves. On the one hand, accumulating reserves can help

build up a cushion against volatile inflows of aid. On the other hand, the country will incur very significant costs represented by the difference between the average return on investment and the typically small returns available on the assets held as reserves. Moreover, the possibility of depreciation of foreign exchange represents another unpredictable risk factor. A better solution would be for donors to implement measures that ensure a more stable and predictable flow of aid.

An additional strategy of the central bank to limit inflation or the appreciation of the real exchange rate would be a sterilization procedure. Sterilization can be done in two ways. First, in order to avoid an expansion of the money supply and the potential inflation resulting from it, the central bank can sell off net foreign assets to the private sector in exchange for domestic currency. As mentioned above, this would simultaneously help the absorptive process and limit inflation. However, it would appreciate the exchange rate, since the demand for domestic currency has now increased. In an ideal scenario, these additional inflows of foreign exchange are financing imports. Nonetheless, all too often this strategy results in capital outflows: commercial banks will buy the foreign exchange sold by the central bank and invest it outside of the country if the domestic economy is unstable.

Second, the central bank can use open market operations by selling government securities to the private sector, mostly banks, in exchange for domestic currency. This transaction will neutralize the increase in money supply but it will change the balance between money and debt. As a result, domestic interest rates might increase and private investment could be negatively affected. This could have a negative impact on aggregate demand including the demand for imports and decrease the real transfer of resources. Finally, a prerequisite for these sterilization procedures is a functional financial sector. In many developing countries complex open market operations are not a possibility due to the lack of financial depth of the market.

The net impact of development aid

The second aforementioned question asks whether foreign aid can still be beneficial for countries suffering from Dutch disease. In this regard, the recent WIDER study (2006) "A Wider Approach to Aid Effectiveness" suggests a "substantial positive impact" of aid on development despite the macroeconomic challenges related to absorptive capacity. Wider emphasizes that aid affects various dimensions of development, and focusing on a single development indicator such as GDP per capita can lead to an excessively narrow view (Fielding, 2005). Consequently, the study employs different development indicators. These measures include health, education, fertility indicators as well as access to piped water. Allowing for interaction between these measures WIDER finds that aid promotes many of these development outcomes. The WIDER study shows that upon careful examination net welfare effects might outweigh the Dutch Disease. Some form of Dutch Disease might be acceptable if these net benefits remain positive. A study by Matsen and Torvik (2003) is entitled "The optimal Dutch Disease". It concludes that some Dutch disease is always optimal in the sense that a positive fraction of a country's resource wealth should be consumed in each period. In terms of aid, this means that Dutch Disease is not per se an argument against additional inflows. The challenge of scaling up aid lies in striking the right balance between policy measures that minimize competitive problems and ensure a real transfer of resources.

Table 7:

Possible impacts of macroeconomic policy responses to aid surges

Outcome	Aid is spent	Aid is not spend
Aid is absorbed	 Policy action (i) Government spends foreign exchange directly on new import components of development programme (ii) Alternatively, government spends on domestic goods, triggering an increase in demand for imports, for which the central bank provides the foreign exchange. Benefits (i) A real transfer of resources takes place (ii) No distortionary effects if foreign exchange from aid flows goes directly to finance imports Detriments (i) Slow supply side response in the non-traded goods sector may trigger Dutch Disease (ii) Possibility of capital outflows if foreign exchange rate appreciation can hurt economy (especially where not diversified and heavily dependent on commodities) Environment* (i) Most favorable environments are diversified economies with quick supply side responses. 	 Policy action (i) Government cuts back on other budgetary expenditures or reduces domestic debt (ii) Central bank sells foreign exchange. Benefits (i) Real resource transfer can take place if reduced public debt spurs private investment (ii) Inflation will be reduced (iii) Exchange rate appreciation can hurt economy (especially where not diversified and heavily dependent on commodities) Detriments (i) Real resource transfer might not take place if private investment does not change (ii) Large capital outflows if there are not sufficient investment opportunities. Environment (i) Inflation prone economies with significant public debt but good private investment opportunities.
Aid is not absorbed	 Policy action (i) Government spends foreign aid (ii) Central Bank does not sell foreign exchange but might undertake open market operations. Benefits (i) Multiplier effect of government expenditures (ii) Benefits of holding reserves Detriments (i) No real resource transfer (ii) Inflation (if no sterilization occurs) (iii) If sterilization occurs in the form of open market operations the interest rate might increase with adverse effects on private investment (iv) Costs of holding reserves (v) Potential for Dutch Disease Environment (i) Highly volatile aid and capital flows could be motive to hoard reserves. 	 Policy action (i) Government cuts back on other budgetary expenditures or reduces domestic debt (ii) Central bank accumulates reserves Benefits (i) Accumulating reserves can serve as cushion against volatile capital flows. (ii) Fiscal deficit may be decreased in the long run. Detriment (i) No real resource transfer is taking place (ii) Costs of holding reserves Environment (i) Countries prone to Dutch disease and characterized by highly volatile aid and capital flows.

"Spending aid": Widening of the fiscal deficit (excluding aid) accompanying an increment in aid.

"Absorbing aid": Widening of the current account deficit (excluding aid) due to additional aid.

*Environment: refers to economic environment, in which policy actions are most adequate.

Addressing macroeconomic risk, in particular foreign currency risk

Private or public investors in utility projects face various kinds of risks, including political risk, regulatory and contractual risk, credit risk, and foreign exchange risk. This section will focus on macroeconomic risk, which is not to minimize the significance other types of risks. Indeed, all of types of risks mentioned above and possible mechanisms for risk mitigation have been discussed in great detail during a set of multi-stakeholder consultations on "Improving the climate for private investment" organized in 2004-2005 by the World Economic Forum in cooperation with the Financing for Development Office of UN DESA³⁰.

Foreign currency risk in financing utilities

According too several studies one of the major reasons that investors shy away from developing countries is regulatory risk and foreign exchange risk³¹. While regulatory risk does not necessarily qualify as macroeconomic risk it is closely related to currency risk. Regulatory risk worsens in the event of a financial crisis or a currency devaluation, as the government might be prompted to default on contractual obligations. Foreign exchange rate risk is of particular concern to water and power projects in developing countries for several reasons. First, as a result of a shallow financial sector the large financing needs of water and power projects can often note be met through commercial loans. Moreover, as discussed above, financial deregulation aimed at but not always succeeding in deepening the financial sector has limited the access of public utility

³⁰ The final report of these consultations is entitled "Building on the Monterrey Consensus: The Untapped Potential of Development Finance Institutions to Catalyse Private Investment" and is available on the FFD website at: http://www.un.org/esa/ffd/09multi-stake-dialog-WEF-INV.htm ³¹See for example the report of the World Panel on Financing Water Infrastructure (Camdessus Report),

³¹See for example the report of the World Panel on Financing Water Infrastructure (Camdessus Report), 2003.

providers to official sources of capital. As a result, international capital markets have become more attractive for infrastructure investors. This, however, implies greater exposure to foreign exchange rate and interest rate risks. Second, amortization is a lengthy process for water and power assets. During the 20-30 years it takes to recover the investment it is not unlikely that a currency crisis might occur. Third, thermal power generation projects rely on fuel, which is denominated in hard currencies. Similarly, expansion costs are mostly priced in hard currency, as many countries import the equipment required for network extension from outside the country. Whoever invests in these parts, whether public or private investor, is exposed to foreign exchange risk. Fourth, in the event of a financial crisis, investors are in a weak bargaining position. Power and water project assets, once installed, may not be redeployed. As a result, it is more difficult for investors to exit the investment in order to minimize foreign exchange losses. Fifth, with some notable exceptions in power-generation, water and power outputs are usually non-tradables. Consequently, currency movements are not compensated for by increases and decreases in revenue. Lastly, there is a significant risk for households in the vent of a financial crisis. Higher interest rates that result from a currency crisis may decrease the incentive of the government to honour price regulations to curb the abuse of monopoly power in these sectors. If prices of utilities go up as a result of higher interest rates, households will be the one to suffer the most. This will be further exacerbated by factors that usually accompany a financial crisis, such as rising unemployment and inflation (Matsukawa, Sheppard, Wright, 2003).

Protective mechanisms against foreign exchange risk of investors include: (i) the use of local currency instruments (ii) currency hedging; (iii) exchange rate guarantees (often based on tariff indexation); (v) other innovative mechanisms such as liquidity facilities, sovereign guarantee pools and escrow accounts.

The use of local currency instruments

Local currency instruments can be an effective instrument for currency risk mitigation. Increasing the share of local currency loans in investment in utilities has the advantage of limiting foreign currency exposure. Local currency schemes may include, local currency financing, local capital and bank market development, local currency fund schemes, local currency credit enhancement, or public sector lending in local currency. However, a major problem with local currency debt is often its short-term structure, which does not match the long-term nature of water and power industry assets. As a result, while currency mismatch might be reduced through the increased use of local currency, investors are still vulnerable to interest rate increases that can follow currency devaluation. The development of long-term interest bearing instruments such as municipal bond markets can therefore play a critical role in making local currency a viable financing option for utility providers. An innovative mechanism to deepen local bond markets could be guarantees by multilateral development banks for international investors in local currency bonds. These guarantees would provide utility infrastructure investors with partial compensation for devaluation losses and could be based on local guarantees currently provided by the IADB only to domestic investors in several developing countries (Griffith-Jones, Fuzzo de Lima, 2004).

Currency hedging

Currency hedging usually relies on long-dated forward exchange rates. Forward exchange rates specify in advance the amount of local currency needed to meet future debt service obligations in hard currency. Through buying forward contracts the debtor can ensure the ability to pay the required amount in hard currency according to an agreed schedule. Yet, longdated forward exchange rates exist only for a few non-OECD countries with investment ratings. Similarly, hedging with derivatives is not an option in most developing countries as derivative markets do not exist for most developing countries currencies. In both cases, deepening of local capital markets would help developing these instruments.

Exchange rate guarantees

Governments, multi-lateral development banks and export credit agencies play an important role in providing guarantees that can ensure the viability of investments into basic utilities. Yet, while many governments in developing countries provide such foreign exchange rate guarantees to investors, their financial capacity to deliver on such commitment is in doubt. Moreover, mechanisms that allocate exchange rate risk of investors to fiscally constrained governments in developing countries are highly controversial. For many it is hard to see why state governments and municipalities should bear the risk of foreign exchange movement when they have no control over these fluctuations. Opponents of this concept argue that foreign exchange risk is market risk and it should be borne by the market players, i.e. the private sector itself. Furthermore, these guarantee mechanisms are usually structured in a way that revenues have to increase in the event of currency devaluation. As a result, either the end-users will have to bear the burden of a tariff increase or governments may simply default on their obligations.

A further advantage of having export credit agencies in developed countries and/or multilateral development banks provide guarantees is that they are a more creditworthy source for guarantees than developing countries governments. Consequently, they are in a much better position to bear currency risk. Future research should focus on the role these banks and agencies can play in providing guarantee mechanisms. These guarantees could also entail a countercyclical element. Where developing countries show relatively sound long-term fundamentals MDBs and

ECA could provide countercyclical support by increasing their guarantees during times when commercial banks lower their exposure.

Other innovative mechanisms

Innovative mechanisms for risk mitigation include liquidity facilities such as the Contingent Partial Credit Guarantee (CPCG) of the World Bank's International Finance Corporation (IFC). Through the CPCG the IFC supports foreign investors of a project with local currency revenues that lack access to local currency financing of the desired tenor. The partial guarantee mitigates the foreign exchange risk that might arise from local currency devaluations.

Other proposals for liquidity facilities have been subject of heated debate. For instance, the Camdessus report (Camdessus, 2003) put forward the proposal of a devaluation liquidity backstopping facility to mitigate risks of foreign exchange fluctuations in water projects at the sub-sovereign level. In the case of a large devaluation the facility would pay foreign lenders that part of the debt that would exceed the reimbursement capacity of the utility provider. The amounts paid by the facility would be treated as long term loans to the national government. The facility in turn would be repaid by the host government through socially and politically feasible surcharges on water tariffs. Proponents of the proposal argue that this type of risk mitigation will significantly increase commercial investment into utility provision. Opponents argue that financing a devaluation liquidity backstopping facility through tariff increases would essentially impose the international market price for water on consumers in developing countries, with the poorest being the most vulnerable. Similar problems arise with escrow accounts. Escrow accounts are deposits held in trust by a third party available to pay debt service. These deposits can be used to cover exchange rate risk for the benefit of the lender. Since these accounts are typically funded

by the proceeds of the project's senior debt hey typically costly to maintain. Similarly to liquidity facilities the critical question is who funds these escrow accounts.

Griffith-Jones and Fuzzo de Lima (2004) suggest an interesting regional approach for creating guarantee agencies that enables currency risk sharing among countries. The proposed mechanism would be a sovereign guarantee pool, which is a contractual mechanism for risk sharing among governments. Governments that benefit from the same infrastructure investment, but that enjoy complementary sovereign ratings could come together to pool their guarantees and share the risk. The country with the better rating may have an incentive to participate due to budget constraints and a likely multiplier effect of the infrastructure project in terms of social and economic development. At the same time, the country with the worse rating will enjoy access to financial resources it would otherwise not have.

Environmental sustainability and investor risk

Innovative mechanisms for risk mitigation are critical for investing in renewable energy. As mentioned before, burning fossil fuels is not a long-term option for sustainable economic development and new investments into utilities in developing countries should also be seen as a chance to invest in renewable energies. Besides the obvious environmental benefits, not relying on hard currency intermediate input would also decrease foreign exchange risk in the long run. Yet, given the high upfront costs of renewable energy technologies serious challenges persist. According to a recent study by UNCTAD³² two factors are critical for promoting the use of renewable energies in developing countries. First, the costs of renewable energy have to become competitive in a growing number of situations; and second, the investors and consumers need access to long-term finance to invest in renewable energy. Interestingly, the study concludes that

³² UNCTAD (2005)"Potential uses of structured finance techniques for renewable energy projects in developing countries": http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ditccom20054_en.pdf.

the greatest potential lies with decentralized schemes that aggregate the renewable energy investments of a large number of people, rather than large-scale dams, wind farms or solar farms.

The role of the government in promoting the financial sector

Throughout this paper, we have emphasized the positive role a well-developed domestic financial sector can play in providing long-term financing instruments for much-needed investments in utilities in developing countries. This section will give a very brief overview of the debate around the different policy options for governments that are committed to promoting the domestic financial sector.

The MS School and the financial liberalization hypothesis

Indeed, the role of the government in promoting financial development and economic growth as well as the relationship between finance and growth has been discussed for centuries. The majority of economists has assumed a positive correlation between the level of financial development and economic growth. For instance, Bagehot (1873) stressed the importance of the British banking system in spurring England's industrialization. In later years, McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973) (MS-School) brought to the fore the "financial liberalization" thesis, arguing that financial repression reduces both the quantity and the quality of investment in the economy as a whole. According to the MS-School investment, productivity and hence economic growth can be increased by abolishing financial restrictions, such as ceilings on deposit and lending rates, directed credit programmes and high reserve requirements. Economic growth would follow a

removal of these restrictions with a positive feedback effect on the financial development of the economy. The more recent endogenous growth literature supports this view and explicitly models the services provided by financial intermediaries (e.g. collecting and analysing information, risk sharing, liquidity provision) suggesting that financial intermediation has a positive impact on steady-state growth (Greenwood and Jovanovic, 1990; Bencivenga and Smith, 1991). At the same time government intervention in the financial system should be avoided due to its negative effect on the growth rate (King and Levine, 1993b). In some ways the endogenous growth literature goes beyond the MS –School in its focus on financial institutions and markets, in particular stock markets. While the MS School focuses on the equilibrium interest rate as an instrument to raise the savings rate, and the quantity and quality of investment, endogenous finance literature places a similar function on financial markets and institutions, in particular the stock market (King and Levine, 1993; Allen 1993; Levine and Zervos, 1995; Atje and Jovanovic, 1993). Both strands of literature have become the basis of the policy recommendation of the Bretton Woods institutions.

The Structuralist critique

The effectiveness of these policy recommendations has been challenged by the Structuralist school of economists that originated in the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC) in the 1950s and 1960s.Contrary to the MS-School, the Structuralists claim that the effectiveness of policies of financial liberalization are severely limited by structural constraints and market fragmentation. The Structuralist approach is based on two critical assumptions. First, banks are not the only financial intermediary and co-exist with sometimes more efficient informal credit markets (van Wijnbergen, 1985). Second, enterprises in developing economies require a high level of finance for 'working capital' (e.g., inventories, advances of wages). As a result, high bank interest rates resulting from financial liberalization

may draw funds away to banks from the informal markets leading to no overall net increase and maybe even a decrease in the availability of finance. Moreover, increases in the interest rate of firms ' working capital may lead to inflation by causing them to raise the prices of their products. Therefore, in the worst case scenario, financial liberalization might result in stagflation, i.e. a decrease in output and simultaneous inflation. Others, outside the Structuralist camp, strike a similarly cautionary note. Singh (1997), for instance, has pointed out that financial markets can provoke volatility and decrease the volume of investment by discouraging risk-averse investors from investing. Along similar lines Mauro, (1995) has suggested that the introduction of certain financial hedging tools allows individuals to decrease their risk exposure. This may lead to a reduction in precautionary saving with adverse implications for economic growth.

Empirical evidence on the finance and growth nexus

Based on the existing comprehensive empirical literature, there seems to be no clear justification to promote financial liberalization as the right government policy. The most that can be concluded from empirical studies on the finance and growth nexus in a general sense is that there is a positive long-run relationship between the size of the economy and the size of the financial sector. This, however, does not settle the critical question whether and to what extent domestic financial market liberalization ca increase the size of the financial sector. The main reason for that is the fact that the question of the direction of causality between finance and growth is not settled (Demetriades and K.A. Hussein 1996, Odedokun 1996). In this regard, Rajan and Zingale s (1998), note that financial liberalization is not an obvious policy implication even in cases where there seems to be a strong link between financial and economic development. This is due to the fact that the impact of financial development on growth cannot be identified easily as it is usually accompanied by other structural factors. Fry (1995) reiterates this challenge:"... in practice, however, most clear cut cases of financial liberalization were

accompanied by other economic reforms (such as fiscal, international trade, and foreign exchange reforms). In such cases it is virtually impossible to isolate the effects of financial components of the reform package transformations of the economy." Other difficulties that arise when studying the finance and growth nexus include the assumption that the financial system is "well behaved" during the process of form and liberalization. As Arrestis (2005) points out, there is significant evidence from a number of empirical studies that the process of financial liberalization is far from being a smooth or continuous one. Moreover, the fact that in many developing countries financial liberalization reversals take place due to exogenous shocks makes it difficult to assess the costs and benefits of financial liberalization. Furthermore, financial dualism, i.e., the coexistence of formal alongside informal credit markets in developing countries, is rarely taken into account in empirical studies. Much of the Structuralist critique was supported by the actual Latin American experience in the 1970a and 1980s. In his famous article "Good-bye financial repression, hello financial crash", Carlos Diaz-Alejandro (1984) focuses on why financial liberalization reforms carried out in Latin American countries during the 1970s yielded by 1983 domestic financial sectors "characterized by widespread bankruptcies, massive government interventions or nationalizations of private institutions, and low domestic savings."

Framing a constructive dialogue on the role of the government in financial sector development

So what is the proper role of the public sector in promoting financial sector development?

As noted in the "Blue Book" on "Building inclusive financial sectors for development"³³ government policies, laws and regulations can constrain the building of financial sectors, yet they

³³ The "Blue Book" is a joint product of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA) and the United Nations Capital Development Fund (UNCDF). It provides an overview of the outcome of multistakeholder consultations held in 2004 and 2005 in follow-up to the International Conference on Financing for Development (18-22 March 2002, Monterrey, Mexico) and as part of the

can also serve to re-engineer and revitalize the financial sector. In order to promote a constructive dialogue and to avoid a polarized discussion around laissez-faire and interventionist views the book sets out seven strategic questions for governments, which are outlined below:

1. Government intervention in the market for financial services — how much intervention, what kind, where and when?

- 2. How can we achieve affordable and sustainable interest rates?
- 3. How to fashion financial infrastructure for inclusive finance?
- 4. What should regulators and supervisors do to foster financial inclusion?
- 5. How to promote consumer protection?
- 6. How many financial institutions and of what types?
- 7. How should governments be organized to promote financial inclusion?

Answers to these questions will vary from country to country. For instance, whether Governments should provide financial services critically depends on two closely related questions. First, is there a lower end of the market that is not efficiently served by the commercial financial sector? - And second: Is there evidence that state-owned banks have filled that role successfully? While the first questions can be answered in the affirmative for almost all developing countries, the response to the second query depends on how effective state-owned institutions are functioning. Based on experience state -owned banks seem to be more effective where they have a clear mandate, work on commercial principles, clearly account for subsidies and demonstrate sound governance and management practices. If these basic fundamentals are in

activities within the framework of the International Year of Microcredit (2005). The publication can be accessed at: http://www.uncdf.org/bluebook/index.php.

place, public provision of financial services might indeed make sense. Where they are missing public sector reform or stronger reliance on commercial providers might make for the better alternatives. Interest rate ceilings should be discussed from a similar angle. The debate whether these ceilings should be imposed by government authority or whether interest rates should be liberalized has gained new significance with the proliferation of microfinance loans in the developing world. High rates for microfinance seem to be justified due to the higher operating costs of microfinance institutions as well as the apparent willingness of most borrowers to pay them. Nevertheless, high rates might reduce the success prospects for microenterprises and, more importantly, adversely affect poor households. Successful liberalization of interest rates presupposes country conditions, where increased competition will indeed drive down costs and ultimately lower interest rates themselves. The country-level dialogue determining the appropriate interest rate policy must focus on the question what these conditions might be and whether they are indeed in place.

Similarly, the other questions entail a wide range of options for policy-making and will have to be considered separately, taking the prevailing economic, social and political setting in each country into account. It is important to note, however, that choices in one area will have an impact on those made in another area. Therefore, appropriate strategies for financial sector development have to be far-reaching and consistent and should be based on extensive consultations among all relevant stakeholders.

Bibliography

Aaron, D. and S. S. Wildman (1999). *Effecting a Price Squeeze through Bundled Pricing*. S. E. Gillett and I. Vogelsang, eds., Competition, regulation, and convergence (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc., Mahwah, New Jersey) 1-17.

Alexander, Nancy (2005). *The Roles of the IMF, the World Bank and the WTO in Liberalization and Privatization of the Water Service Sector*. <u>http://www.servicesforall.org</u>

Arestis, Philip and Caner Asena (2004). *Financial Liberalization and Poverty: Channels of Influence*, Working Paper No. 411, The Levy Economics Institute.

Atje, Raymond and Boyan Jovanovic (1993). *Stock Markets and Development*. European Economic Review.

Attinasi, M. G. and Brugnoli (2001). *Financial Instruments for Urban Development Support: The Role of the World Bank in Latin American Countries*, (Milan, Italy: EGEA, 2001)

Bagehot, Walter (1873). *Lombard Street: A description of the Money Market*. Homewood, IL: Richard D. Irwin, 1962.

Balyss, Kate (2001). *Privatization of Electricity Distribution: Some Economic, Social and Political Perspectives*. University of Greenwich: PSIRU.

Barbone, L. and Sanchez B, L-A. (1999). *Pensions and Social Security in Sub-Saharan Africa: Issues and Options*. The Thirteenth International.

Bencivenga, Valerie R., and Bruce D. Smith (1991). *Financial Intermediation and Endogenous Growth*. Review of Economic Studies.

Birdsall, Nancy, James E. (1992). *Health, Government, and the Poor. The Case for the Private-Sector.* Policy Research Working Paper 938. Washington D.C: World Bank.

Birdsall, Nancy, Nellis J. (2003). *Winners and Losers: Assessing the Distributional Impact of Privatization*. Center for Global Development, Washington, DC, USA World Development Vol. 31, No. 10, pp. 1617–1633.

Boston Business Wire, *Quezon Power Consortium reaches financial closure on* \$800 million private power project in Philippines Feb. 12, 1997. Available on the internet at: http://www.findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0EIN/is_1997_Feb_12/ai_19116583

Brook, Penelope, Petrie M. (2001). Output-Based Aid: Precedents, Promises, and Challenges.

Brooke, M. and Z. Wahhaj (2000). *The shocking Economic Effect of B2B*. Goldman & Sachs & Co. Global Economics Paper no. 37, February 3.Cardone, Rachel, Fonseca C. (2003). *Financing and Recovery*. International Water and Sanitation Centre.

Brown A., C. Prevost (2003). *Response to MDGs Accelerated Rural Water Supply through Programmatic Lending Instruments. Case of Ghana, Uganda and Benin.* Water Week 2003, Washington: World Bank.

Calvo, Guillermo (1999). *Contagion in Emerging Markets: When WallStreet Is a Carrier*. Unpublished paper, University of Maryland.

Cardone, Rachel, Fonseca C. (2005). *Analysis of Cost Estimates And Funding Available For Achieving The MDG Targets For Water And Sanitation*. London: WELL.

Cardone, Rahel, (2005). Analysis of donor flows to water supply and sanitation services. UN-habitat Book on the Water Sector in Small Towns

Catherine Waddams, Price (2001). *Subsidies and the Reform of Infrastructure Services*. Infrastructure for Development: Private Solutions and the Poor. London

Chandavarkar, Anand (1994). *Infrastructure Finance. Issues, Insitutions, and Policies.* Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

Cockburn, Mark, Dyson, M., Kenward, N. (2000). *Accessing Finance for the Supply and Purchase of Infrastructure Services*. London: Infrastructure for Development: Private Solutions and the Poor.

Collignon, Bernard, Vézina, M. (2000). *Independent Water And Sanitation Providers In Africa Cities: Full Report Of A Ten-Country Study*. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Cong, Richard (2003). *Budget Support (prsc) for the Water and Sanitation Sector. A Case for Uganda*. A Presentation for the Water Week 2003 Conference, World Bank. 4-6th March 2003, Washington D.C.

CREPA (2000). La Micro Finance et le Secteur de l'Eau et de l'Assainissement en Afrique. Ouagadougou: CREPA.

Cutler, David and Grant Miller (2005). *Water, Water Everywhere: Municipal Finance and Water Supply in American Cities*, Harvard University; National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), paper prepared for the National Bureau of Economics Research's Corruption and Reform Conference held in Salem, MA on July 30 and 31, 2004.

De Castro, Julio (2003). *Development Capital for Energy Access. Opportunities to Reach the Energy-poor*. Amsterdam: European Union Energy Initiative. Finance Working Group.

Demetriades, P. O. and Hussein, K. A. (1996). *Does financial development cause economic growth? Time-series evidence from 16 countries*. Journal of Development Economics, 51, 387-411.

Diamond, D. (1984). *Financial Intermediation and Delegated Monitoring*. Review of Economic Studies, 51, 393-414.

Diaz-Alejandro, Carlos (1985). *Goodbye Financial Repression, Hello Financial Crash.* Journal of Development Economics 19.

Easterly, W. (2006). The White Man's Burden. New York: The Penguin Press.

ERM (2005). *Meeting the Water and Sanitation Millennium Development Goal*. United Kingdom: DFID.

Estache, Antonio, Gomez-Lobo A. and Leipziger D., (2001). *Utilities Privatization and the Poor: Lessons and Evidence from Latina America*. World Development.

European Union (2003). *The European Union Water Initiative: Final Report of the Financial Component.* www.erm.com

European Union Energy Initiative (EUEI). http://www.euei.org/

European Union Water Initiative (EUWI). http://www.euwi.net/

Fichera, Joseph S., (2005). *A Rising Tide: Do Utility Securitizations Have a Future?* Published in Asset Securitization Report.

Fitch (2002). International Special Report: Financing of Mexican States, Municipalities, and Agencies: Alternatives and Strategies.

Fitchratings-website: www.fitchratings.com

Fonseca, Catarina (2006). *Microfinance for Water Supply Services*. WELL Factsheet. http://www.lboro.ac.uk/well/resources/fact-sheets/fact-sheets-htm/Micro%20for%20water.htm

Fonseca, Catarina and Cardone Rachel (2004). *Will It Cost the Earth? An Overview of Cost Estimates for Achieving the Water and Sanitation Targets of the Millennium Development Goals.* Well Briefing Note 9, WEDC, UK.

Fry, Maxwell (1995). *Money, Interest, and Banking in Economic Development*. Baltimore: John Hopkins Press, 1995a.

Government of the State of Eritrea - GSE (2003). *Interim-Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*. Asmara: GSE.

Griffith-Jones, S. and Fuzzo de Lima, A. (2004). *Alternative Loan Guarantee Mechanisms and Project Finance for Infrastructure in Developing Countries.* in Kaul, I.et al. (eds.): UNDP.

Hadler, SC. (2000). *Best Practice in Tax Administration in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Handbook for Officials*. International Tax Program: Harvard Law School.

Hall, D. (1997). *Restructuring and Privatization in the Public Utilities – Europe*. London: PSIRU.

Hall, David (2003). *Financing Water for the World. An Alternative to Guaranteed Profits.* University of Greenwhich: PSIRU.

Hall, David and Emanuele Lobina (2006). *Pipe dreams: The Failure of the Private Sector to Invest in Water Services in Developing Countries*, Public Services International Research Unit, Business School, University of Greenwich

Hall, David. (2001). *Water in Public Hands*. London: Public Service International Research Unit, Greenwich University.

Harper, Malcolm (2000). *Public Services through Private Enterprise*. *Micro-privatisation for Improved Delivery*, London: Intermediate Technology Publications.

Inter-American Development Bank (1999). *Infrastructure and Financial Markets*. Washington, D.C.: IADB.

International Energy Agency -IEA (2003). World Energy Investment Outlook 2003 (WEIO).

International Herald Tribune (2005). *Commentary: China takes another step toward financial maturity*, article by William Pesek Jr. Bloomberg News, 19 September 2005.

Jønch-Clausen, Torkil (2004). "...Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) and Water Efficiency Plans by 2005" Why, What and How? Sweden: Global Water Partnership.

Kehew, Robert, Matsukawa, Tomoko and John Petersen (2005). *Local Financing For Sub-Sovereign Infrastructure In Developing Countries: Case Studies of Innovative Domestic Credit Enhancement Entities and Techniques*. Discussion Paper No.1,Infrastructure, Economics and Finance Department, World Bank.

King, Robert G., and Ross L. (1993). *Finance and Growth: Schumpeter Might Be Right*. Quarterly Journal of Economics.

Komives, Kristin, Stalker Prokopy, L. (2000). *Research and Surveys Series: Cost Recovery in Partnership: Results, Attitudes, Lessons and Strategies*.

Leigland, James (1997). Accelerating Municipal Bond Market Development in Emerging Economies: An assessment of strategies and progress. Jakarta: USAID.

Lenton, Roberto, Wright A. (2005). *Health, Dignity and Development: What Will it Take?* UN Millennium Project Task Force on Water and Sanitation: London.

Levine, Ross and Sara Zervos (1996). *Stock Market Development and Long-Run Growth*. Working Papers Domestic Finance 1582. World Bank.

Luca, L. (ed.) Labour and Social Dimensions of Privatization and Restructuring. Geneva, ILO.

Maco, Paul S. (2000). *Building a Strong Subnational Debt Market-a Regulator's Perspective,* article prepared at the NIS/CEE Conference at the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Martell C., Guess G.M. (2006). *Development of Local Government Debt Financing Markets: Application of a Market-Based Framework*. Public Budgeting & Finance, Blackwell Synergy, Volume 26 Issue 1.

Martell, Christine R. (2003). *Municipal Investment, Borrowing, and Pricing Under Decentralization: The Brazilian Case.* Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, Volume 26, Number 2 / 2003.

McDonald, David (2002). You Get What You Can Pay For: Cost Recovery and the Crisis of Service Delivery in South Africa.

McKinnon, Ronald I. (1973). *Money and Capital in Economic Development*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.

Meehta, Meera (2003). *Meeting the Financing Challenge for Water Supply and Sanitation. Incentives to Promote Reforms, Leverage Resources, and Improve Targeting.* Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

Mehta, Meera, Virjee K. (2003). *Financing Small Water Supply and Sanitation Service Providers Exploring the Microfinance Option in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Nairobi: World Bank.

Mehta, Meera, Virjee K. (2006). *Mobilizing Finance Stable and Predictable Financing Mechanisms for water service providers at all levels*. First expert group meeting on "Financing basic utilities for all" (New York, 26-27 June 2006), Financing for Development Office, DESA.

Ministerial Declaration of the International Conference on Freshwater, Bonn (2001). http://www.water-2001.de/

Monterrey Consensus on Financing for Development 2002, A/Conf/198/11.

Moody's-website: www.moodys.com

Murphy, Richard (2005). *Paying for Local Investment: New Finance Mechanisms for Local Government*. A report for the New Economics Foundation and Policy Exchange, March 2005.

Nigam, Ashok, Rasheed, S. (1998). *Financing of Fresh Water for All: A Rights Based Approach*. New York: UNICEF.

Noel, Michel. (1999). *Building Sub-National Debt Markets in Developing and Transition Countries: A Framework for Analysis, Policy Reform and Assistance Strategy.* Debt Markets Thematic Group. Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

Odedokun, M. O. (1996). Alternative econometric approaches for analysing the role of the financial sector in economic growth: time-series evidence from LDCs. Journal of Development Economics, 50, 119-146.

Peterson, George (1996). Using Municipal Development Funds to Build Municipal Credit Markets.World Bank". Mimeo.

Peterson, George (2000). Building Local Credit Systems. World Bank, Washington, D.C.

Peterson, George (2002). *Banks or Bonds: Building a Municipal Credit Market*, paper prepared for ADB Conference on Local Senior Fellow Government Finance and Bond The Urban Institute Financing, 19-21 November, 2002, Washington, D.C.

Prahalad, C. K.(2004). *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid: Eradicating Poverty through Profits*. Wharton School Publishing.

Rajan, Raghuram G. and Luigi Zinga les (1998). *Financial Dependence and Growth*. American Economic Review 88.

Reddy, Sanjay, Vandemoortele J. (1996). User Financing of Basic Social Services. A review of theoretical arguments and empirical evidence. New York: UNICEF.

Roberts, Marc, Breitenstein A., and Roberts C. (2002). "The Ethics of Public-Private Partnerships", in Reich M (ed.), *Public Private Partnership for Public Health*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press. 67-86.

Shaw, Edward S. (1973). *Financial Deepening in Economic Development*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Singh, A. (1997). *Financial Liberalisation, the Stock market and Economic Development*. Economic Journal 107 771-782.

Snell, Suzanne (1998). Water and Sanitation Services for the Urban Poor: Small-scale Providers-Typology & Profiles. Washington D.C.: The World Bank.

Standard and Poors website: www.standardandpoors.com.

Tendler, Judith (1997). *Governing the Tropics*. Baltimore, MD: The John Hopkins University Press.

UNICEF (2004). Water and Environmental Sanitation. Eritrea. Asmara: UNICEF.

United Nations (2005). World Urbanization Prospects, The 2005 Revision. United Nations.

United Nations (2006). *Building Inclusive Financial Sectors for Development*. United Nations publications.

United Nations World Water Assessment Programme (2006). *Water: A Shared Responsibility. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2.*

USAID (1997). Municipal Bond Market Development in Developing Countries: The Experience of the U.S. Agency for International Development.

USAID FIRE Project (2001): *Lessons Learned from the Ahmedabad Municipal Bond*. Project Note No. 25.

Vaidya, Chetan and Brad Johnson (2001). *FIRE Project, Ahmedabad Municipal Bond: Lessons and Pointers*. Economic and Political Weekly, Vol. 36, No. 30, (July 28, 2001).

Van Hofwegen, Paul (2006). Enhancing Access to Finance for Local Governments Financing Water for Agriculture. Task Force on Financing Water for All. Report 1. Marseille; World Water Council.

Venkatachalam, Pritha (2005). Innovative Approaches to Municipal Infrastructure Financing: A case study on Tamil Nadu, India. Working Paper 68, DESTIN.

Vives, Antonio. (1999). *Pension Funds in Infrastructure Project Finance: Regulations and Instrument Design*. Sustainable Development Department Technical Papers Series (No. IFM-119). Infrastructure and Financial Markets. Washington, D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank.

Water Harvesting for Sustainable Development. Meeting organized by the National Confederation of Eritrean Workers. Asmara, 17-18 February 2005.

Water Policy Paper of Eritrea (DRAFT) – MoLWE, WDR, August 2004.

Winpenny, James (2003). *Financing Water for All. Report of the World Panel on Financing Water Infrastructure*. World Water Council.

WHO and UNICEF (2004). *Meeting the MDG Drinking Water and Sanitation Target. A Mid-Term Assessment of Progress*.

World Bank (2004). *Global Development Finance 2004. Harnessing Cyclical Gains for Development I.* Washington: World Bank

World Bank (2005). *Infrastructure and the World Bank: A Progress Report 2005*. Development Committee Meeting, September 6, 2005.

World Bank (2005i). *Water, Electricity and the Poor: who Benefits from Utility Subsidies.* Washington: World Bank.

World Bank (2005ii). *Capacity Building in Africa. An OED Evaluation of World Bank Support.* Washington D.C.: World Bank.

World Bank and IMF (2001). Developing Government Bond Markets: a Handbook.

World Bank Infrastructure Action Plan. www.worldbank.org/infrastructure

World Water Assessment Programme (2005). *Case study: Uganda National Water Development Report: Uganda*. Prepared for 2nd UN World Water Development Report "Water, a shared responsibility".

World Water Council (2006). *Official Development Assistance for Water from 1990 to 2004. Figures and Trends.* Florence, Clermont.