Minister for Foreign Affairs of Iraq, requesting the convening of an immediate meeting of the Council to deal with an act of aggression by Israel against Iraq with far-reaching consequences for international peace and security. He reported that on Sunday, 7 June 1981, at 1837 hours, Israeli war-planes had raided Baghdad and that their objective had been to destroy the Iraqi nuclear reactor installations. The Foreign Minister also drew attention to the fact that whereas Iraq, the victim of the attack, was a party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons, Israel had consistently refused to sign and ratify the Treaty. He concluded that the international community could not remain silent regarding the serious escalation of aggression, which Israel had already admitted.

In a letter dated 8 June 1981, the representative of Israel drew attention to his Government’s announcement that on 7 June the Israel Air Force had launched a raid on the atomic reactor Osirak, near Baghdad, and had destroyed the reactor, which reportedly had been designed to produce atomic bombs to be used against Israel.

At its 2280th meeting, on 12 June 1981, the Council included the letter dated 8 June 1981 from the representative of Iraq in its agenda. Following the adoption of the agenda, the following were invited, at their request, to participate without vote in the discussion of the item: at the 2280th meeting, the representatives of Algeria, Brazil, Cuba, India, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Pakistan, Romania, the Sudan, Turkey and Yugoslavia; at the 2281st meeting, the representatives of Bulgaria, Guyana, Somalia, Viet Nam and Zambia; at the 2282nd meeting, the representatives of Bangladesh, Czechoslovakia, Egypt, Hungary, Mongolia, Sierra Leone and the Syrian Arab Republic; at the 2283rd meeting, the representatives of Indonesia, Italy, Morocco, Poland and Yemen; at the 2284th meeting, the representatives of Nicaragua and Sri Lanka; at the 2285th meeting, the representative of Malaysia; and at the 2288th meeting, the representative of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya.

At the 2280th meeting, the Council also decided, following a short discussion and a vote, in accordance with the Council’s usual practice, to invite the representative of the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) to participate in the debate.

At the same meeting, the Council also decided to extend an invitation to Mr. Chedli Klibi under rule 39 of the provisional rules of procedure. A similar invitation was extended, at the 2284th meeting, to Mr. Sigvard Eklund, Director-General of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and, at the 2286th meeting, to Mr. Clovis Maksoud.

The Council considered the item at its 2288th to 2288th meetings, from 12 to 19 June 1981.

At the 2280th meeting, the Foreign Minister of Iraq offered a detailed description of the Israeli air raid against the Iraqi nuclear installations near Baghdad and of the circumstances surrounding that act of aggression. He charged that Israel had persistently striven to obtain a nuclear military capacity and that with the support of the United States and through occasionally questionable operations it had managed to produce several nuclear bombs of at least the strength of the bombs dropped on Hiroshima. He also pointed out that while the Iraqi Government had faithfully adhered to the Treaty on the Non-Prolifera-
tion of Nuclear Weapons and had complied with its provisions as well as with the safeguards as administered by IAEA, Israel had refused to accede to the Treaty and the international control of atomic energy. He described the programme undertaken by his Government with regard to the development of atomic energy. He described the programme undertaken by his

Treaty and the international control of atomic energy. He described the programme undertaken by his Government to disrupt and undermine those legitimate activities. He further reviewed the position taken by the General Assembly at several sessions regarding the patterns of military and nuclear collaboration with Israel and suggested that the Israeli attack on Osirak should be condemned as a clear-cut act of aggression and that mandatory sanctions under Chapter VII of the Charter should be imposed in order to interrupt the flow of military co-operation and assistance between Israel and some States and to bring about Israel’s compliance with the system of IAEA inspections and safeguards.

At the same meeting, the representative of Israel stated that the raid against the Iraqi atomic reactor Osirak had been an act of self-preservation with which Israel had exercised its right of self-defence as understood in international law and as preserved in Article 51 of the Charter. He accused Iraq of harbouring a long-standing intention to destroy the State of Israel and cited Iraq’s rejection of all United Nations proposals to resolve the Middle Eastern problem peacefully, in particular Council resolutions 242 (1967) and 338 (1973). He charged that, in recent years, Iraq had entered the nuclear armaments field methodically and had purposefully built up a nuclear-weapons capability. The situation had developed to the point where the reactor was to go critical in a matter of weeks, which had forced the Israeli Government to act with dispatch. In order to avert even greater pain to the civilian population in Baghdad, the Israeli Government had decided to strike the nuclear facility before it could become an immediate and great menace to Israel. The representative of Israel cited several legal authorities supporting the view that legitimate self-defence included the right to forestall a surprise attack and described the Israeli action as fully within the provisions of Article 51 of the Charter. He denied Iraq’s charges that its nuclear installations had been attacked prior to June 1981 and renewed his Government’s suggestion that a nuclear-weapon-free zone be established in the Middle East.

At the 2288th meeting, on 19 June 1981, the President drew attention to a draft resolution prepared in the course of consultations.

Mr. Sigvard Eklund, Director-General of IAEA, reported that the Board of Governors of IAEA had considered the Israeli attack on Osirak as a special item during its regular session and viewed the matter with great apprehension. He offered a detailed description of the existing nuclear facilities in Iraq and informed the Council that Iraq had complied fully with the inspections required periodically under the safeguards programme of the Agency. In view of the Israeli action and the rationale put forward for that drastic step he concluded that the raid on Osirak constituted an attack on the safeguards system of IAEA.

The representative of the United States stated that the Israeli attack on the Iraqi reactor raised troubling questions that exacerbated the problems of the Middle East. Her Government, without diminishing in any way its friendship and alliance with Israel, felt that the means chosen by Israel hurt the peace and security of the area and that Israel had not exhausted the available diplomatic approaches; thereby, the regional confidence that was essential for the peace process had been damaged. She agreed that Israel should be condemned, that IAEA should be strengthened and that Israel’s neighbours should recognize Israel’s right to exist and should enter into negotiations to resolve their differences. She emphasized that the negotiations of the last few days were geared towards an outcome that would protect the vital interests of all parties. In conclusion, she made special mention of the cooperative spirit and good faith of the Israeli Foreign Minister and expressed hope that the results would move the turbulent Middle East closer to the time when all parties could turn their energies and resources from war to peace.

At the 2288th meeting, the President put the draft resolution to the vote: it received 15 votes in favour and was adopted unanimously as resolution 487 (1981). It reads as follows:

The Security Council,

Having considered the agenda contained in document S/Agenda/2280,

Having noted the contents of the letter dated 8 June 1981 from the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Iraq,

Having noted the contents of the letter dated 8 June 1981 from the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Iraq,

Having noted the contents of the letter dated 8 June 1981 from the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Iraq,

Taking note of the statement made by the Director-General of the International Atomic Energy Agency to the Agency's Board of Governors on the subject on 9 June 1981 and his statement to the Security Council at its 2288th meeting on 19 June 1981,

Taking note of the statement made by the Director-General of the International Atomic Energy Agency to the Agency's Board of Governors on the subject on 9 June 1981 and his statement to the Security Council at its 2288th meeting on 19 June 1981,

Taking note also of the resolution adopted by the Board of Governors of the Agency on 12 June 1981 on the "military attack on Iraq's nuclear research centre and its implications for the Agency",

Fully aware of the fact that Iraq has been a party to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons since it came into force in 1970, that in accordance with that Treaty Iraq has accepted Agency safeguards on all its nuclear activities, and that the Agency has testified that these safeguards have been satisfactorily applied to date,

Noting furthermore that Israel has not adhered to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons,

Deeply concerned about the danger to international peace and security created by the premeditated Israeli air attack on Iraqi nuclear installations on 7 June 1981, which could at any time explode the situation in the area, with grave consequences for the vital interests of all States,

Considering that, under the terms of Article 2, paragraph 4, of the Charter of the United Nations, "all members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any State, or in any other manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations":

1. Strongly condemns the military attack by Israel in clear violation of the Charter of the United Nations and the norms of international conduct;
2. Calls upon Israel to refrain in the future from any such acts or threats thereof;
3. Further considers that the said attack constitutes a serious threat to the entire safeguards regime of the International Atomic Energy Agency, which is the foundation of the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons;
4. Fully recognizes the inalienable sovereign right of Iraq and all other States, especially the developing countries, to establish programmes of technological and nuclear development to develop their economy and industry for peaceful purposes in accordance with their present and future needs and consistent with the internationally accepted objectives of preventing nuclear-weapons proliferation;
5. Calls upon Israel urgently to place its nuclear facilities under the safeguards of the International Atomic Energy Agency;
6. **Considers** that Iraq is entitled to appropriate redress for the destruction it has suffered, responsibility for which has been acknowledged by Israel;

7. **Requests** the Secretary-General to keep the Security Council regularly informed of the implementation of the present resolution.

Following the adoption of the resolution, the Minister for Foreign Affairs of Iraq deplored that the inclusion of *decisive* measures, including sanctions under Chapter VII of the Charter, had not been possible. He expressed great dismay regarding the attitude shown by the United States in supporting and protecting Israel.”

---

**Chapter VIII. Maintenance of international peace and security**

6. **LETTER DATED 1 SEPTEMBER 1980 FROM THE PERMANENT REPRESENTATIVE OF MALTA TO THE UNITED NATIONS ADDRESSED TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL**

In a letter dated 14 January 1981, the representative of Malta referred to paragraph 5 of the Secretary-General’s report of 13 November 1980, which stated that the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya had undertaken unconditionally to submit the original text of the agreement to the People’s Congresses for ratification with a view to exchanging the instruments of ratification and formulating the joint notification to the Registrar of IJC during the first two weeks of December 1980, and charged that the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya not only had delayed ratification but had laid down a new condition. The Government of Malta viewed it as a failure by the Libyan Government to comply fully with its solemn undertaking given to the Security Council and the Secretary-General, and requested the Council urgently to take all necessary action within its powers as the guardian of international peace and security and as the protector of the legitimate peaceful activities of small, unarmed countries.

In a letter dated 21 July 1981, the representative of Malta requested the President of the Council to convene a meeting with a view to condemning the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and urging it not to perform further acts of molestation.

At its 2294th meeting, on 30 July 1981, the Council resumed its consideration of the item, which had been included in its agenda at its 2246th meeting. The President invited the representatives of Malta and the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to participate in the discussion without the right to vote, in accordance with the decision taken at the 2246th meeting. The Council considered the matter at its 2294th meeting.

The Secretary-General stated that since he had received the letter dated 14 January 1981 from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, his special representative and he had maintained close contact with both parties with a view to assisting them in finalizing the exchange of instrument of ratification and Joint notification to IJC as provided for in the special agreement. In late March, following his representative’s suggestions, a delegation from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya not only had delayed ratification but had laid down a new condition. The Government of Malta viewed it as a failure by the Libyan Government to comply fully with its solemn undertaking given to the Security Council and the Secretary-General, and requested the Council urgently to take all necessary action within its powers as the guardian of international peace and security and as the protector of the legitimate peaceful activities of small, unarmed countries.

In a letter dated 21 July 1981, the representative of Malta requested the President of the Council to convene a meeting with a view to condemning the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and urging it not to perform further acts of molestation.

At its 2294th meeting, on 30 July 1981, the Council resumed its consideration of the item, which had been included in its agenda at its 2246th meeting. The President invited the representatives of Malta and the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya to participate in the discussion without the right to vote, in accordance with the decision taken at the 2246th meeting. The Council considered the matter at its 2294th meeting.

The Secretary-General stated that since he had received the letter dated 14 January 1981 from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, his special representative and he had maintained close contact with both parties with a view to assisting them in finalizing the exchange of instrument of ratification and Joint notification to IJC as provided for in the special agreement. In late March, following his representative’s suggestions, a delegation from the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya visited Malta where inconclusive discussions had been held between the parties, and subsequent efforts had so far not succeeded. Malta held that the presence in the instrument of ratification submitted by the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya of what it considered to be implicit conditions regarding the question of *drilling* was unacceptable, whereas the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya had stated that its instrument of ratification, while referring to the People’s Congresses as the highest authority competent to ratify international agreements, did not contain any additions or amendments to the special

---
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