14. Peace and Security in Africa

Overview

During the period under review, the Security Council held eleven meetings and issued seven presidential statements under the item entitled “Peace and Security in Africa”. Sub-agenda items discussed in this period included, inter alia, the impact of transnational organized crime on peace, security and stability in West Africa and the Sahel region, the challenges of the fight against terrorism in Africa in the context of maintaining international peace and security, and combating drug trafficking in the Sahel and West Africa. Against the backdrop of the crisis in Mali and the impact of the crisis in Libya on the Sahel region, the Council dwelled on the challenges facing the region and requested the Secretary-General to develop an integrated strategy for the Sahel, which was presented to the Council in the report of the Secretary-General of 14 June 2013.1

The table at the end of the section lists the meetings at which this item was considered, and gives information on, inter alia, invitees, speakers and decisions adopted.

Briefing on the assessment of the impact of the Libyan crisis on the Sahel region

On 26 January 2012, the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs briefed the Council on the mission dispatched by the Secretary-General from 7 to 23 December 2011 to assess the impact of the Libyan crisis on the Sahel region. He mentioned that most of the challenges pre-dated the Libyan conflict and added that underlying structural

---

1 S/2013/354.
challenges and a looming food crisis in the area compounded the immediate problems affecting the region, and that the mission had encountered strong appeals for the United Nations to find a framework for reconciliation between all the regions affected by the outbreak of the crisis. He then summarized the main recommendations of the mission. While acknowledging the structural limitations for coordinated action existing in the African continent, he was encouraged by the readiness of countries inside and outside the region to work together to develop a more comprehensive and coherent approach.²

Speakers generally agreed with the assessment of the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs that most of the problems of the Sahel region predated the Libyan crisis. However, numerous speakers expressed a particular concern that such problems had been exacerbated by the Libyan crisis.³ This notwithstanding, there was an overwhelming consensus regarding the need to tackle the security situation and challenges affecting the Sahel region, including weapon proliferation, drug trafficking and transnational organized crime, terrorism, food shortages and underdevelopment. Whilst reiterating the need for international support and assistance, many speakers emphasized the primary responsibility of the Governments concerned. Most speakers appreciated and supported the recommendations of the assessment team. They emphasized the need for further cooperation and for the United Nations to adopt a more integrated approach to help the States of the region in the areas of security and development.

Debate and decision on the impact of transnational organized crime on peace, security and stability in West Africa and the Sahel region

On 21 February 2012, the Council held a high-level open debate on the impact of transnational organized crime on peace, security and stability in West Africa and the

² S/ PV.6709, pp. 2-3.
³ Ibid., p. 4 (Pakistan); p. 6 (United Kingdom); p. 7 (India); p. 9 (Colombia); p. 11 (United States); pp. 12 (Portugal); p. 14 (Guatemala); p. 15 (Morocco); p. 16 (Togo); p. 17 (South Africa); p. 18 (Niger); and p. 18 (Mali).
Sahel region. The debate was held at the initiative of the Presidency of Togo in the Council.  

During the debate, speakers underlined the magnitude and complexity of the challenges confronting the region and expressed concern over the growth of transnational organized crime. Many speakers opined that those difficulties were further exacerbated by the effect of the Libyan crisis. As mentioned by the representative of Pakistan, the Libyan crisis had been for the Sahel region “like a genie in a bottle. The bottle has been uncorked and the genie is out”. Most speakers suggested that, in light of the challenges, a multi-faceted and holistic approach was necessary. Many speakers highlighted the need for further resources as well as for further national, regional and international cooperation.

At the meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement expressing concern about the serious threats to international peace and stability posed by transnational organized crime, in particular in West Africa and the Sahel region. In the same statement, the Council also mentioned its strong concern about the increasing violence perpetrated by armed groups in the region. The Council further encouraged coordination of actions by the United Nations as well as by Member States in fighting threats that may threaten the security of countries on its agenda. The Council stressed the importance of implementing relevant international agreements and of strengthening international, regional and transregional cooperation. It requested the Secretary-General to consider these threats as a factor in conflict prevention strategies, conflict analysis, integrated missions assessment, planning and peacebuilding support and to consider including in his reports analysis of the role played by these threats in situations on the agenda of the Council.

---

4 S/2012/83.  
5 S/PV.6717, p. 19.  
6 S/PRST/2012/2.
Briefing on progress towards a United Nations integrated strategy for the Sahel

On 17 September 2012, the Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs briefed the Council on the progress in developing an integrated strategy for the Sahel encompassing security, governance, development, human rights and humanitarian dimensions, as requested of the Secretary-General in resolution 2056 (2012). In his briefing, the Under-Secretary-General mentioned that the Sahel region had long been characterized by cyclical instability and unconstitutional changes of Government. He added that states in the region suffered from extreme poverty with human development levels among the lowest in the world and that the region suffered from fractures rooted in societal divides. He added that weak institutions, porous borders, human rights violations and harsh climatic conditions contributed to the challenges of the region. He further underlined how critical it was that the international community dealt effectively with the underlying structural causes of vulnerability in the Sahel and, in that context, he mentioned that the integrated strategy provided a conceptual framework and strategic priorities to guide United Nations engagement in the Sahel at the regional level, setting the basis for consultations with regional organizations, Governments and key actors.\(^7\)

The representative of Côte d’Ivoire spoke on behalf of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) mainly focusing on the situation in Mali. He denounced that little progress had been achieved on the political process leading up to the holding of free, fair, transparent and credible elections and highlighted the dire security situation in the north, which had been exacerbated as a result of the political paralysis in Bamako. He provided an overview of trends in Mali from the stand point of ECOWAS and briefed the Council on the actions undertaken so far. In closing, he mentioned that ECOWAS was working on building the necessary consensus for the deployment of an ECOWAS led stabilization force in Mali.\(^8\)

---

\(^7\) S/PV.6836, pp. 2-3.
\(^8\) Ibid., pp. 4-6.
On 10 December 2012, the Council held a high-level meeting on the situation in the Sahel, at the initiative of the Presidency of Morocco in the Council. At the meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement in which it reiterated the grave concern about the consequences of instability in the north of Mali on the Sahel region and beyond. It also expressed concern about the serious threats posed by transnational organized crime in the Sahel region. The Council welcomed the initiatives of regional organizations and the United Nations to tackle the complex multidimensional challenges facing the region. In the same statement, the Council reiterated the need for an enhanced, comprehensive and more regional approach to the provision of humanitarian assistance and recognized that the strengthening of the State institutions, economic and social development, respect for human rights and the rule of law were necessary to ensure long-term security, development and stability in the Sahel region. The Council encouraged the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel to pursue his efforts in order to coordinate bilateral, interregional and international response and support for the Sahel region and, in this regard, reiterated its call to the Secretary-General and his Special Envoy to finalize as soon as possible the United Nations integrated strategy for the Sahel region, as requested in resolution 2056 (2012).

The Secretary-General addressed the Council and noted that as acute as the problems were in Mali, it was but a part of a systemic crisis across the entire Sahel region. He underlined that political turmoil, terrorist activity, drug trafficking and arms smuggling were spilling over borders and threatening peace and security. He further added that extreme climatic conditions and fragile economies “only add to that toxic brew of vulnerability”. In response to those challenges, he emphasized the need to strengthen resilience across the region in a coordinated manner. He noted further the recognition by the Council, in resolution 2056 (2012), of the need for an integrated strategy addressing all dimensions of the crisis. In this regard, he mentioned the

9 S/2012/906.
11 For further information, see the present part, sect. 16, with regard to the situation in Mali.
appointment of the Special Envoy for the Sahel and his focus on four key issues, namely, security, governance, humanitarian requirements and development.  

The Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel explained in turn that the integrated strategy could not be “an occasion to only write an elegant paper” but would be instead a set of actions tailored to face dynamically evolving problems. He said that key to the strategy was close cooperation among all those who were facing the Sahel challenge. He added that in developing an integrated strategy interlocutors would not be only politicians but the whole of society; he mentioned that to be operational and effective, substantial resources were needed and expressed his intention to exert all possible efforts to mobilize these resources.

During the meeting, speakers echoed the Secretary-General in emphasizing the importance of building resilience in the region. In that connection, many speakers underlined the importance of an integrated strategy for the Sahel and urged for it to be developed as soon as possible in a holistic and coordinated manner. Many speakers dwelled on the situation in Mali as a symptomatic case within the region, and some called for the deployment of an international support mission to restore the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Mali. Some speakers highlighted the key effect of the Libyan crisis as a catalyst of the crisis in Mali and the Sahel and cautioned that the Libyan crisis was still unfolding.

**Briefing and decision on the prevention of conflicts in Africa**

On 15 April 2013, the Council held a high-level briefing on the prevention of conflicts in Africa at the initiative of the Presidency of Rwanda in the Council. At the start of the meeting, the President of the Council expressed hope that it would be an occasion for the Council to revisit the concept and practice of conflict prevention as
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12 S/PV.6882, p. 4.
13 Ibid., pp. 5-6.
14 Ibid., p. 10 (Côte d'Ivoire); p.12 (Colombia); p. 14 (Togo); p. 24 (South Africa); and p. 27 (India). For further information on the deployment of an international force in Mali, see the present part, sect. 16, with regard to the situation in Mali.
15 Ibid., pp. 20-21 (Russian Federation); and pp. 22-23 (Pakistan).
16 S/2013/204.
currently understood within the United Nations system. He further added that the briefing was aimed at examining how to move from day-to-day management of conflicts to develop a culture of conflict prevention through skills, mechanisms and institutions that addressed the root causes of conflicts in Africa.\textsuperscript{17}

The Secretary-General in his address to the Council, noted that conflicts bread where there was poor governance, abuse of human rights and grievances over the unequal distribution of resources, wealth and power. He emphasized the importance for mediation efforts to ensure that peace agreements were not just pacts between political elites but that they also dealt with the underlying causes of conflict and allowed all stakeholders to participate. In addition, he mentioned the relevance of regional action in addressing or preventing conflicts and the critical nature of ensuring that affected communities owned and lead conflict prevention initiatives. He also noted that prevention also demanded addressing the culture of impunity surrounding sexual violence which affected more than isolated individuals, since it was an assault on the peace and security of entire communities.\textsuperscript{18}

During the meeting, the representative of Togo dwelled on some of the root causes of conflicts in Africa.\textsuperscript{19} The representative of Ethiopia, on behalf of the African Union, made reference to the 1998 report of the Secretary-General\textsuperscript{20} on the causes of conflict and the promotion of durable peace and sustainable development in Africa as perhaps the best starting point for the discussion on the root causes of conflicts in Africa.\textsuperscript{21} Most speakers emphasized the need for regional and subregional organizations to take initiative in the areas of preventive diplomacy and the peaceful settlement of disputes. While several speakers regretted the absence of an explicit reference to the International Criminal Court (ICC) in the draft presidential statement,\textsuperscript{22} others accused the ICC of being subject to political manipulation and not playing a constructive role in

\textsuperscript{17} S/PV.6946, p. 2.  
\textsuperscript{18} Ibid., pp. 2-4.  
\textsuperscript{19} Ibid., pp. 4-5.  
\textsuperscript{20} S/1998/318.  
\textsuperscript{21} S/PV.6946, p. 6.  
\textsuperscript{22} Ibid., p. 11 (Guatemala); p. 13 (Argentina); p. 18 (Luxembourg); and p. 25 (France).
preventing conflict. Some speakers also made reference to the principle of the responsibility to protect and emphasized its dual role in recalling the responsibility of Governments to their populations and the responsibility of the international community to support and assist States in that task.

At the meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement noting that consistent with its functions in relation to international peace and security, it sought to remain engaged in all stages of the conflict cycle and in exploring ways of preventing the escalation of disputes into armed conflict or a relapse into armed conflict. The Council also recognized the importance of a comprehensive strategy comprising operational and structural measures for prevention of armed conflict and underlined the importance of partnership and cooperation between regional and subregional organizations in supporting conflict prevention and peacebuilding. The Council emphasized the need for States to comply with their obligations under international law and reaffirmed its strong opposition to impunity for serious violations of international humanitarian law highlighting the role of the international criminal justice system.

**Briefing and decision on the question of combating terrorism in Africa**

On 13 May 2013, the Council held a high level briefing on the question of combating terrorism in Africa in the context of the maintenance of international peace and security. The briefing was held at the initiative of the Presidency of Togo in the Council.

The Secretary-General addressed the Council first and highlighted that terrorism thrives where borders are weakest. He added that the lack of development and the absence of the rule of law allowed terrorist groups to recruit across communities and build their ranks. He noted that the international community and the United Nations had to do more to strengthen the capacities of affected Member States and welcomed the...
initiative of several regional and subregional organizations to formulate counterterrorism strategies.  

During the debate that followed, many speakers mentioned the very challenging circumstances affecting certain regions in Africa as well as the underlying causes of such circumstances. Most speakers emphasized the need for a comprehensive and multidimensional approach to fighting against the scourge of terrorism, including law enforcement, border control, capacity building, humanitarian assistance and coordination and cooperation at the regional level. In that connection, many speakers recalled the United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy.

At the meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement recognizing that terrorism would not be defeated by military force or security forces, law enforcement measures, and intelligence operations alone. The Council underscored that the long term fight against terrorism must adopt a comprehensive approach. The Council reaffirmed that terrorism cannot and should not be associated with any religion, nationality or civilization and it noted the changing nature of terrorism in Africa and its connection with transnational organized crime. In the same statement, the Council recalled its own resolutions as well as other counterterrorism instruments and considered sanctions as an important tool in countering terrorism. The Council underlined the importance of strengthening cooperation among Member States and with United Nations entities with a view to enhancing their individual capabilities. It invited the Secretary-General to submit, within six months, a concise report providing a comprehensive survey and assessment on the United Nations work to help States and subregional and regional entities in Africa in fighting terrorism with a view to continuing consideration of possible steps in this regard.  

________________________

27 S/PV.6965, p. 3.
28 S/PRST/2013/5
Briefing and decision on the United Nations integrated strategy for the Sahel

On 26 June and 16 July 2013, the Council held two meetings to consider the report of the Secretary-General on the situation in the Sahel region.\textsuperscript{29} In the first meeting, the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel region briefed the Council on the report of the Secretary-General. He explained that the challenges facing the people of the Sahel were immense and that only a strategy going beyond the then existing efforts would allow the Governments of the region to overcome those challenges. He mentioned that a wider vision was necessary, and presented his “four-by-four strategy” based on the four pillars suggested by the Secretary-General, namely governance, security, humanitarian requirements and development. He further explained the elements of all four pillars in that strategy and clarified that the strategy focused on five key Sahelian countries in the greatest need, specifically Mali, Burkina Faso, Mauritania, the Niger and Chad.\textsuperscript{30}

At the second meeting, on 16 July 2013, the Council issued a presidential statement welcoming the development of the United Nations Integrated Strategy for the Sahel, as requested by resolution \textbf{2056 (2012)}, and the three strategic goals defining the strategy.\textsuperscript{31} The Security Council further welcomed the efforts of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel region in the development of the United Nations Strategy and in drawing attention on the situation in the Sahel and encouraged him to foster more coherent and coordinated United Nations support for the Sahel region. The Council stressed the importance of a coordinated approach by all concerned United Nations entities with a view to maximizing synergies. It also stressed the importance of national and regional ownership of the United Nations Strategy and recognized the importance of implementing the strategy in close consultation with the States of the Sahel, West Africa and the Maghreb as well as with regional and subregional organizations, bilateral donors and partners. The Council requested the Secretary-General to keep it informed of the

\textsuperscript{29}S/2013/354.  
\textsuperscript{30}S/PV.6988, pp. 2-4.  
\textsuperscript{31}S/PRST/2013/10. See for further information on the three strategic goals, \textbf{S/2013/354}, para. 27.
progress toward implementation of the Strategy by no later than 31 December 2013, and to present a written report no later than 30 June 2014.

**Rejection of draft resolution to defer the investigation and prosecution of Kenyan leaders by the International Criminal Court**

On 15 November 2013, the Security Council held a meeting to consider the identical letters dated 21 October 2013 from the Permanent Representative of Kenya to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General and the President of the Security Council, respectively.  

In those letters Kenya sought a decision by the Security Council that no investigations or prosecutions would be initiated with regard to the cases pending at the ICC relating to the situation in the Republic of Kenya concerning the President and Deputy President of Kenya.

At the meeting, a draft resolution was put to a vote and not adopted because it failed to obtain the required number of affirmative votes, with 7 votes in favour and 8 abstentions. The draft resolution would have requested the ICC to defer the investigation and prosecution against the President and Deputy President of Kenya in accordance with Article 16 of the Rome Statute of the Court.

During the meeting, the members of the Council explained the rationale for their respective votes. While those that abstained considered that the continuation of the ICC proceedings did not constitute in and of itself a threat to international peace and security in accordance with the tenor of Article 16 of the Rome Statute, those that voted in favour argued that African countries had presented a compelling argument in favour of the draft resolution and the deferral. Many speakers emphasized the futility of the vote and the negative consequences that it had on the relationships between the ICC and the Council, as well as between the African Union and the Council. The representative of Luxembourg highlighted that resorting to Article 16 was not necessary because there
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32 [S/2013/624](#).
33 [S/PV.7060](#), p. 2.
34 [S/2013/660](#).
35 See, for more information on the vote, the table at the end of the section below.
were other resources available to address the concerns of Kenya and the African Union.\textsuperscript{36} While the representative of Togo hoped that the outcome of the vote would not have a negative impact on relations between Africa and the Security Council,\textsuperscript{37} the representative of Rwanda affirmed that the vote undermined the principle of sovereign equality of States enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations.\textsuperscript{38} The representative of Kenya asserted that the turn of events at the Council was “sad, absurd and confounding” and that it did nothing for building confidence and solidarity with the Council at a time when the usefulness of the Council was under question.\textsuperscript{39} The representative of Ethiopia noted that this was no longer a Kenyan matter but an African issue and that the position of African leaders was that the continuation of the ICC proceedings constituted a threat to the peace and security of the region in Kenya. He added that the response of the Council bound Africa to conclude that a few members of the Council had difficulty in seeing Africa exercise ownership of its policies and strategies for peace and security of the continent.\textsuperscript{40}

\textbf{Briefing on the Sahel}

On 12 December 2013, the Council held a meeting on the Sahel. The Secretary-General addressed the Council first, briefing on his visit to the region together with representatives of the African Union, the World Bank, the African Development Bank and the European Union. He affirmed that he had returned from the visit with a clear sense of the need to do much more to fight poverty, empower women, provide employment opportunities for young people and ensure that all the people of the Sahel had what they needed to build a better future.\textsuperscript{41}

The President of the World Bank also briefed the Council on his conclusions on the visit to the region. In his address to the Council, he emphasized the need for a

\textsuperscript{36} \textit{S/PV.7060}, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{37} Ibid., p. 10.
\textsuperscript{38} Ibid., p. 10.
\textsuperscript{39} Ibid., p. 13.
\textsuperscript{40} Ibid. pp. 15-16.
\textsuperscript{41} \textit{S/PV.7081}, pp. 2-3.
coordinated regional approach to tackling the major development challenges of the region.\textsuperscript{42}

The Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel briefed the Council on the progress in the implementation of the United Nations Integrated Strategy for the Sahel. He highlighted that many factors would continue to impact efforts in the Sahel and needed to be taken into account when implementing the strategy. He indicated that the region would have to compete for attention given other serious crises around the world, and ascertained that the attention over the Sahel region was decreasing. He also underlined that the current global economic climate limited traditional funding mechanisms and donors. In that context, he described the efforts made to raise the profile of the challenges facing the Sahel and the awareness that the Sahel was a global problem. He recalled that security, governance and development were linked and mentioned that in terms of security, problems had no border and solutions should neither. In this connection, he voiced the request of African countries to involve surrounding countries, and not only those specifically involved, when dealing with security issues. He also urged the Security Council to take into account the need for governance reforms in the region in all decisions dealing with terrorism and conflicts in the Sahel.\textsuperscript{43}

The Permanent Observer of the African Union to the United Nations described the activities undertaken by his organization to strengthen security cooperation among the countries of the Sahelo-Saharan region through the Nouakchott Process. He explained that it was launched as a means of coordinating forms of action among intelligence and security services of the countries of the region. He noted, however, that long-term socioeconomic development was of crucial importance to create lasting stability in the Sahelo-Saharan region.\textsuperscript{44}

During the meeting, speakers praised the United Nations Integrated Strategy for the Sahel as well as the efforts of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General. Most speakers agreed on the root causes of the situation of the Sahel as well as on the strategies to reverse course and support the States of the Sahel, emphasizing the need for

\textsuperscript{42} Ibid., pp. 3.
\textsuperscript{43} Ibid., pp. 4-5.
\textsuperscript{44} Ibid., pp. 6-7.
coordination among stakeholders, particularly among the States of the region and subregional organizations.

At the meeting, the Security Council issued a presidential statement reiterating most of the contents of the presidential statement of 16 July 2013.\textsuperscript{45} The Council also welcomed the efforts of the Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel, and reiterated the importance of a coordinated approach in the implementation of the United Nations Strategy.\textsuperscript{46}

**Debate and decision on drug trafficking and its consequences for peace and security in West Africa and the Sahel**

On 18 December 2013, the Council held a meeting to discuss the combating of drug trafficking and its consequences for peace and security in West Africa and the Sahel. The meeting was held at the initiative of the Presidency of France in the Security Council.\textsuperscript{47}

The Secretary-General opened the discussion by noting that around the world drug trafficking and transnational organized crime threatened security, undermined respect for the rule of law and jeopardized peace and stability. He further underlined the particular challenge that these posed to West Africa and the Sahel given the magnitude of the traffic and the rising consumption of illicit drugs, which aggravated the already challenging public health environment and threatened socioeconomic development. He noted that the work on this front was guided by the conventions against organized crime, drug trafficking and corruption as well as the international instruments on terrorism. He emphasized the need to generate partnerships to support victims and advised that drug trafficking presented an enormous challenge to peace, stability and social development in West Africa and the Sahel. In the context of the discussion of the post-2015 development agenda, he highlighted that the aim should be not only to relieve citizens of the burden of crime but to lift them out of the conditions that enabled crime to grow.\textsuperscript{48}

\textsuperscript{45} S/PRST/2013/10.  
\textsuperscript{46} S/PRST/2013/20.  
\textsuperscript{47} S/2013/728.  
\textsuperscript{48} S/PV.7090, pp. 2-3.
Further to the Secretary-General, most speakers underlined the harmful effect of drug trafficking for the region. Many speakers echoed the Secretary-General in expressing their alarm concerning the increase of drug consumption which posed a genuine threat to the region. The Executive Director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) welcomed the discussion of this subject as an opportunity to assess priorities and challenges, enhance synergies and decide on the best strategies.\textsuperscript{49} Most speakers expressed their concern regarding the ties between drug trafficking, organized crime and terrorism. They agreed also on the basic analysis of the circumstances underlying the advance of these scourges and some recalled the need for a holistic approach not limited to law enforcement and repressive measures.\textsuperscript{50} Many speakers urged cooperation at the national, regional and international levels.

At the meeting, the Council issued a presidential statement expressing growing concern about the serious threats posed by drug trafficking and related transnational organized crime to international peace and stability in West Africa and the Sahel region. In the same statement, the Council called on States that had not yet ratified or implemented the relevant international conventions to do so and reaffirmed that responses to drug trafficking needed to be addressed in full conformity with the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations, international law and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The Council further stressed the importance of strengthening transregional and international cooperation on the basis of a common and shared responsibility to counter the world drug problem, and called on Member States of West Africa and the Sahel region to strengthen border management to effectively constrain the spread of transnational threats such as drug trafficking.\textsuperscript{51}

\textsuperscript{49} Ibid., p. 4.
\textsuperscript{50} Ibid., p 7. (United Kingdom); and p. 24 (African Union).
\textsuperscript{51} S/PRST/2013/22.
## Meetings: peace and security in Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting and date</th>
<th>Sub item</th>
<th>Other documents</th>
<th>Rule 37 invitations</th>
<th>Rule 39 and other invitations</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6709 26 January 2012</td>
<td>Letter dated 17 January 2012 from the Secretary-General addressed to the President of the Security Council (S/2012/42)</td>
<td>Chad, Mali and Niger</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs, all Council members and all invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6717 and S/PV.6717 (Resumption I) 21 February 2012</td>
<td>The impact of transnational organized crime on peace, security and stability in West Africa and the Sahel region</td>
<td>22 Member States</td>
<td>Executive Director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Special Representative of the Chairperson of the African Union Commission for Counter-Terrorism Cooperation, Deputy Secretary-General of the External Service of the European Union</td>
<td>Secretary-General, all Council members and all invitees</td>
<td>S/PRST/2012/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6836 17 September 2012</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS)</td>
<td>Under-Secretary-General for Political Affairs</td>
<td>All invitees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6882 10 December 2012</td>
<td>The Sahel: Towards a more comprehensive and coordinated approach</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS), Chad (on behalf of Community of Sahelo-Saharan States (CEN-SAD))</td>
<td>Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Permanent Observer of the African Union, Chief Operating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting and date</th>
<th>Sub item</th>
<th>Other documents</th>
<th>Rule 37 invitations</th>
<th>Rule 39 and other invitations</th>
<th>Speakers</th>
<th>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>S/PV.6946</strong></td>
<td>Prevention of Conflicts in Africa: addressing the root causes</td>
<td>Letter dated 2 April 2013 from the Permanent Representative of Rwanda to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General (<a href="http://www.un.org/en/sc/repertoire">S/2013/204</a>)</td>
<td>Ethiopia (on behalf of the Chairperson of the African Union)</td>
<td>Officer of the European External Action Service of the European Union, Director of Political Affairs in the Arab Maghreb Union, Director of the Human Development Group in the Africa Region of the World Bank, Special Adviser to the President of the African Development Bank, Permanent Observer of the Organization of Islamic Cooperation</td>
<td>Secretary-General, all Council members and invitee</td>
<td><strong>S/PRST/2013/4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S/PV.6965</strong></td>
<td>The challenges of the fight against terrorism in Africa in the context of maintaining international peace and security</td>
<td>Letter dated 30 April 2013 from the Permanent Representative of Togo to Algeria, Benin, Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS), Ethiopia (on behalf of the Intergovernmental Authority on Development</td>
<td>Director-General of the Intergovernmental Action Group against Money Laundering in West Africa, Permanent Observer of the African Union, Head of the Delegation of the European Union to the</td>
<td>Secretar...</td>
<td><strong>S/PRST/2013/5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting and date</td>
<td>Sub item</td>
<td>Other documents</td>
<td>Rule 37 invitations</td>
<td>Rule 39 and other invitations</td>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.6988 26 June 2013</td>
<td>Report of the Secretary-General on the situation in the Sahel region (S/2013/354)</td>
<td>Draft resolution (S/2013/660) submitted by 14 Member States</td>
<td>Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel</td>
<td>Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel</td>
<td>All Council members, Kenya, Ethiopia</td>
<td>Draft resolution (S/2013/660) not adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.7060 15 November 2013</td>
<td>Identical letters dated 21 October 2013 from the Permanent Representative of Kenya to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General and the President of the Security Council (S/2013/624)</td>
<td>Letter dated 5 December 2013 on behalf of ECOWAS</td>
<td>President of the World Bank, Special Envoy of the Secretary-General for the Sahel, Permanent Observer of the African Union, European Union Special Representative for the Sahel</td>
<td>Secretary-General, all Council members and all invitees</td>
<td>S/PRST/2013/20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S/PV.7081 12 December 2013</td>
<td>Combating drug trafficking in the Sahel and in West Africa</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS)</td>
<td>Executive Director of the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Special Representative of the Secretary-General and</td>
<td>Secretary-General, all Council members and all invitees</td>
<td>S/PRST/2012/22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Meeting and date | Sub item | Other documents | Rule 37 invitations | Rule 39 and other invitations | Speakers | Decision and vote (for-against-abstaining)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2013 from the Permanent Representative of France to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General (S/2013/728) | Head of the United Nations Office for West Africa, Permanent Observer of the African Union | |

---

### Notes

a. Algeria, Australia, Benin, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Canada, Côte d’Ivoire (on behalf of ECOWAS), Egypt, Finland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Luxembourg, Mali, Mauritania, Nigeria, Norway, Senegal, Sudan, Tunisia, Turkey and Uganda.

b. Togo (President and President of the Security Council), France (Minister of State responsible for French nationals abroad), Morocco (Minister Delegate for Foreign Affairs and Cooperation), Russian Federation (Special Envoy of the President), United States (Permanent Representative and Member of President’s Cabinet).

c. Côte d’Ivoire was represented by its Minister of Foreign Affairs.

d. Three Council members were represented at the ministerial level: Morocco (Minister for Foreign Affairs and Cooperation and President of the Council); Colombia (Minister for Foreign Affairs) and Togo (Minister of State, Minister for Foreign Affairs and Cooperation).

e. Two Council members were represented at the ministerial level: Rwanda (Minister of Foreign Affairs and President of the Security Council) and Togo (Minister of State, Minister for Foreign Affairs and Cooperation).

f. Togo (President, President of the Security Council), Argentina (Secretary for Foreign Affairs), Morocco (Minister of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation), Luxembourg (Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Foreign Affairs), Republic of Korea (Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs), Rwanda (Permanent Representative and Member of President’s Cabinet), United States (Permanent Representative and Member of President’s Cabinet).

g. Although invited under rule 37, the representative of Somalia did not make a statement.

h. Azerbaijan, Burundi, Ethiopia, Gabon, Ghana, Kenya, Mauritania, Mauritius, Morocco, Namibia, Rwanda, Senegal, Togo, Uganda

i. Burundi, Gabon, Ghana, Ethiopia (on behalf of the African Union), Kenya, Mauritania, Mauritius, Namibia, Senegal, Togo, and Uganda.

j. For: Azerbaijan, China, Morocco, Pakistan, Russian Federation, Rwanda, Togo; against: none; abstaining: Argentina, Australia, France, Guatemala, Luxembourg, Republic of Korea, United Kingdom, United States

k. Guatemala was represented by its Minister of Foreign Affairs.