



Before: Judge Joelle Adda

Registry: New York

Registrar: Isaac Endeley

STOCKHOLDER

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**ORDER ON AN APPLICATION FOR
SUSPENSION OF ACTION PENDING
MANAGEMENT EVALUATION**

Counsel for Applicant:
Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:
Elizabeth Brown, UNHCR

Introduction

1. On 19 March 2024, the Applicant, a staff member with the United Nations Refugee Agency (“UNHCR”), filed an application for suspension of action in which he contested the decision to terminate his appointment the following day, 20 March 2024.

2. The Applicant did not use the application form provided on the Dispute Tribunal’s website as per art. 8.1 of its Rules of Procedure or submit it through the eFiling portal in accordance with art. 10 of its Practice Direction No. 4 (Filing of applications and replies). Instead, he sent the Dispute Tribunal’s Registry in Geneva an email in which he requested the suspension of the impugned decision and attached to it his request for management evaluation. As the Applicant stated his duty station as Canada in the management evaluation request, the Registry in Geneva forwarded the application to the Registry in New York for its further processing.

3. By email of the same date (19 March 2024), the Registry in New York notified the Applicant, copying in Counsel for the Respondent, that upon the instruction of the Duty Judge, his email of 19 March 2024 was considered to be a filing of an application for suspension of action in accordance with art. 6 of the Rules of Procedure of the Dispute Tribunal read together with art. 2 of its Statute and art. 13 of the same Rules of Procedure. For the Registry to open the case, the Applicant was instructed to file a proper application in accordance with art. 8 of the Dispute Tribunal’s Rules of Procedure for the Registry to transmit a copy of it to the Respondent by 20 March 2024. At the same time, the Duty Judge suspended the impugned decision during her consideration of the suspension of action in accordance with the Appeals Tribunal’s judgment in the case of *Villamorán* 2011-UNAT-160.

4. By email of 20 March 2024, the Respondent notified the Tribunal that he had voluntarily suspended the impugned decision during the management evaluation for which reason he considered the application for suspension of action moot.

5. By email of 20 March 2024, after having previously submitted various documentation, the Applicant confirmed receipt of the Respondent's decision to suspend the implementation of the impugned decision.

Consideration

6. As the Respondent by email of 20 March 2024 stated that he has decided to voluntarily suspend the impugned decision during the management evaluation, the application for suspension of action has become moot.

Conclusion

7. In light of the foregoing, the application for suspension of action is dismissed.

(Signed)

Judge Joelle Adda

Dated this 21st day of March 2024

Entered in the Register on this 21st day of March 2024

(Signed)

Isaac Endeley, Registrar, New York