

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2021/007

Order No.: 27 (NY/2021)
Date: 19 March 2021

Original: English

Before: Judge Joelle Adda

Registry: New York

Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

DITMAN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER

ON MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:

ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

- 1. On 19 March 2021, the Applicant filed a "motion for extension of time to file an application" in which she seeks extension of time to challenge the "calculation of the step upon promotion from G-6/10 to P-2".
- 2. The Applicant states that the contested decision was made in May 2019, that she requested management evaluation of the decision on 18 March 2021 and that she has not yet received a response from the Management Evaluation Unit. She adds that she was notified of the calculation of her step on 5 March 2020.
- 3. The Applicant states that she returned from maternity leave to the P-2 level post on 13 May [year not specified]. She was a nursing mother, and during the pandemic, her life and work balance were "hectic".
- 4. The Applicant therefore moves the Tribunal to allow her to contest the administrative decision at this time.
- 5. The Tribunal understands that the Applicant is requesting a waiver of the deadline to request management evaluation. The Tribual notes, however, that it lacks jurisdiction to suspend or waive deadlines for management evaluation under art. 8.3 of its Statute as the Appeals Tribunal has recalled on numerous occasions (see for instance, *Atuya* 2020-UNAT-984, paras. 35-36).
- 6. In the present case, the Applicant was notified of the contested decision, at the latest, in March 2020, but only requested management evaluation in March 2021. She therefore missed the 60-day deadline prescribed in staff rule 11.2(c).
- 7. The Tribunal therefore lacks jurisdiction to review the contested decision. The request for waiver of deadline is therefore rejected.

- 8. Given that the present case is summarily dismissed, the Tribunal does not require a response from the Respondent.
- 9. In light of the above,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 10. The motion for extension of time is rejected;
- 11. Case No. UNDT/NY/2021/007 is closed.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$