

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UN

UNDT/NY/2019/007 97 (NY/2020)

Date:

5 June 2020

Original:

Order No.:

English

Before: Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr.

Registry: New York

Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

RUSSO-GOT

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON AN APPLICATION FOR REVISION

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Jameel Baasit, UNOPS

Introduction

- 1. On 13 May 2020, the Tribunal issued Judgment No. UNDT/2020/072 in which it held that, "The Tribunal finds that the Applicant's claim regarding the abolition of his post is not receivable *ratione temporis*, while his claim concerning the non-renewal of his post is receivable".
- 2. On 1 June 2020, the Applicant filed an application for revision of Judgment No. UNDT/2020/072, appending four annexes.

Consideration

- 3. Article 29.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Dispute Tribunal describes when a party may apply for a revision of a judgment as follows:
 - ... Either party may apply to the Dispute Tribunal for a revision of a judgement on the basis of the discovery of a decisive fact that was, at the time the judgement was rendered, unknown to the Dispute Tribunal and to the party applying for revision, always provided that such ignorance was not due to negligence.
- 4. When reviewing that Applicant's application for revision, the Tribunal notes that it rather concerns a correction of some language and dates set out in paras. 23 and 24 of Judgment No. UNDT/2020/072, and the Tribunal will be issuing the appropriate corrigendum in accordance with art. 31 of the Rules of Procedure of the Dispute Tribunal. Otherwise, the Applicant is basically trying to reargue his case on the basis of information and documentation that was either already before the Tribunal when issuing Judgment No. UNDT/2020/072 and/or that do not convey any new and decisive fact (see, in line herewith, the leading case of the Appeals Tribunal regarding revision of judgment: *Beaudry* 2011-UNAT-129).

5. In light of the above,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

6. The Applicant's application for revision is rejected.

(Signed)

Judge Alexander W. Hunter, Jr. Dated this 5th day of June 2020