

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2018/041

Order No.: 20 (NY/2020)
Date: 4 February 2020

Original: English

**Before:** Judge Joelle Adda

**Registry:** New York

**Registrar:** Nerea Suero Fontecha

**ZONG** 

v.

# SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

# **ORDER**

## **CASE MANAGEMENT**

# **Counsel for Applicant:**

Self-represented

# **Counsel for Respondent:**

Elizabeth Gall, ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

### Introduction

- 1. On 28 September 2018, the Applicant filed an application contesting the decision not to extend her temporary appointment as a Language Service Assistant, GS-4, Department of General Assembly and Conference Management ("DGACM") beyond 19 September 2018. The case was originally assigned to Judge Alessandra Greceanu.
- 2. By Order No. 227 (NY/2018) of 7 November 2018, the Tribunal directed the parties to advise the Tribunal whether they wished to adduce additional evidence.
- 3. On 21 November 2018, in response to Order No. 227 (NY/2018), the Applicant requested a hearing.
- 4. On the same day, the Respondent stated that he did not request the production of any additional evidence and considered that the case may be decided on the papers before the Dispute Tribunal.
- 5. Following the end of Judge Greceanu's term with the Tribunal, the case was assigned to the undersigned Judge on 20 January 2020.

#### Consideration

6. In her request for a hearing, the Applicant stated: "I believe the process of my performance evaluation is flawed, and the [First Reporting Officer] and [Second Reporting Officer] are biased. Keeping giving me negative performance evaluations is not in good faith, and it is an act of officer harassment itself, and violates the aim of having a performance evaluation. There must be a place for me to talk and find justice; therefore, I would like to discuss the appropriate remedy including compensation during a hearing".

Case No. UNDT/NY/2018/041

Order No. 20 (NY/2020)

7. The Tribunal notes that the Applicant does not identify any evidence that she

wishes to present in court and merely reiterates arguments she already put to the

Tribunal in her previous submissions. Having examined the parties' submissions as

well as the abundant evidence already in the file, the Tribunal considers it has before

it all the evidence required to fairly adjudicate this matter.

8. In light thereof and pursuant to art. 19 of the Rules of Procedure, for a fair and

efficient disposal of the case,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

9. The Applicant's request for a hearing is rejected.

10. Not requiring any further evidence or submissions from the parties, the

Tribunal will proceed to adjudicate this case on the papers.

(Signed)

Judge Joelle Adda

Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of February 2020

Page 3 of 3