UNDT/NY/2009/039/

JAB/2008/080

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2009/117

UNDT/NY/2009/022/

JAB/2008/037

Order No. 44 (NY/2010)

Date: 9 March 2010

Original: English

Before: Judge Adams

Registry: New York

Registrar: Hafida Lahiouel

BERTUCCI and ISLAM

UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

RULING

Counsel for applicant (Bertucci):

François Loriot

Counsel for respondent:

Susan Maddox, ALU

Counsel for applicant (Islam):

Bart Willemsen, OSLA

Counsel for respondent:

Stephen Margetts, ALU

Case No. UNDT/NY/2009/039/JAB/2008/080 UNDT/NY/2009/117

UNDT/NY/2009/022/JAB/2008/037

Order No. 44 (NY/2010)

1. When this matter came on for hearing this morning, I brought counsel's attention

to my two rulings in the Bertucci cases (UNDT/NY/2009/039/JAB/2008/80 and

UNDT/NY/2009/117), Orders 42 and 43 (NY/2010). Counsel for the respondent sought

time to enable him to discuss the issues with relevant persons in order to resolve the

matter if possible. I gave that adjournment. Resuming the case at 1:30 p.m., I was

informed that discussions have taken place which were as yet inconclusive but that

further consideration would be given. Of course, counsel was unable to indicate what the

outcome of that further consideration might be. A confrontation between the Tribunal

and the respondent on a matter so fundamental as the issue here is obviously undesirable.

I take some comfort in the apparent appreciation of its seriousness. It has been suggested

to those instructing counsel for the respondent that a week is necessary for adequate

reconsideration to occur. The question of principle is simple and easily understood. I am

unable to see how any reasonable consideration of it could take so long. However, in

light of counsel's application, I propose to allow the present case to proceed for today

along normal lines. If by the end of the day, counsel for the respondent wishes to tender

any evidence, that will be received on the *voir dire*, and the decision as to its admissibility made depending on whether the respondent continues to maintain its

disobedient stance. It seems likely that this case will not finish today and hopefully the

respondent will purge its disobedience.

2. At the close of the day's proceedings, the Tribunal ordered that the officer who

made the decision that Tribunal's Order 40 (NY/2010) would not be complied with is to

appear before me at 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, 10 March 2010.

(Signed)

Judge Adams

Dated this 10th day of March 2010