



UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2024/032
Order No.: 155 (NBI/2025)
Date: 9 September 2025
Original: English

Before: Judge Sean Wallace

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Wanda L. Carter

WYNN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**ORDER ON THE PARTIES' JOINT
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO COMPLY WITH ORDER
NO. 139 (NBI/2025)**

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-Represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Jérôme Blanchard, HRLU/UNOG

Introduction

1. On 3 July 2023, the Applicant filed an application that the Tribunal disposed of by Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029. This Judgment was subsequently appealed to the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (“UNAT”).
2. On 6 May 2024, the Applicant filed another application, registered under Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032.
3. On 6 August 2024, the Tribunal, noting that most, if not all, of the issues in the present case are the same as those addressed in Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029, it issued Order No. 106 (NBI/2024) and stayed the proceedings in Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032 pending the outcome of the above-mentioned appeal before UNAT.
4. On 19 August 2025, UNAT published its Judgment *Wynn* 2025-UNAT-1569.
5. By Order No. 139 (NBI/2025), issued on 22 August 2025, the Tribunal directed the parties to explore settlement and, if the case was not resolved, to file submissions on the application of the UNAT decision to the present case. The deadline was Monday, 8 September 2025.

On 8 September 2025, the parties filed a joint motion for extension of time to comply with Order No. 139 (NBI/2025) as both the Applicant and Counsel for the Respondent were away on leave, and the Administration required more time to review and process the amount of the Education Grant in light of *Wynn* 2025-UNAT- 1569.

Consideration

6. The Tribunal notes that the parties are seeking an extension of only 11 days, until 19 September 2025, which is not unreasonable. However, the Tribunal also observes that the Appeals Tribunal judgment conclusively resolves the issues in this case, so no additional time should be necessary if the parties give this issue their attention. Thus, this Tribunal will look unfavourably on any additional requests for extension.

Conclusion

7. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:

- a. The parties' joint motion for extension of time to comply with Order No. 139 (NBI/2025) is granted.
- b. On or before **Friday, 19 September 2025**, each party shall file submissions on the application of the UNAT decision on the present case.
- c. Of course, if the parties resolve the dispute, they need not file the submissions required in the preceding paragraph.

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace

Dated this 9th day of September 2025

Entered in the Register on this 9th day of September 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi