



UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.:	UNDT/NBI/2024/032
Order No.:	139 (NBI/2025)
Date:	22 August 2025
Original:	English

Before: Judge Sean Wallace

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Wanda L. Carter

WYNN

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**ORDER
ON CASE MANAGEMENT**

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-Represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Jérôme Blanchard, HRLU/UNOG

Introduction

1. On 3 July 2023, the Applicant filed an application that the Tribunal disposed of by Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029. This Judgment was subsequently appealed to the United Nations Appeals Tribunal (“UNAT”).

2. On 6 May 2024, the Applicant filed another application, registered under Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032, to contest a decision she describes in the following terms:

On 3 November 2023, Ms. Bibi Rabea Damaree, informed me that I would receive USD 9143.52 for education grant advance which excluded certain fees which should have been deemed admissible as enrolment-related.

3. On 18 June 2024, the Respondent filed a reply.

4. On 6 August 2024, the Tribunal, noting that most, if not all, of the issues in the present case are the same as those addressed in Judgment *Wynn* UNDT/2024/029, it issued Order No. 106 (NBI/2024) and stayed the proceedings in Case No. UNDT/NBI/2024/032 pending the outcome of the above-mentioned appeal before UNAT.

Consideration

Application of the UNAT decision to the present case

5. The Tribunal notes that, on 19 August 2025, UNAT published Judgment *Wynn* 2025-UNAT-1569. The Tribunal further observes that in the judgment, UNAT has made findings that are relevant to the determination of the present case. Accordingly, there is a need for the parties to file submissions on the application of the UNAT decision on the present case.

Amicable settlement

6. Recalling that the General Assembly has consistently encouraged alternative dispute resolution, the Tribunal finds it also appropriate to encourage the parties to explore the possibility of having the dispute between them resolved without recourse to further litigation. This is particularly appropriate in this case where the

Appeals Tribunal has now conclusively determined which fees are admissible (the Student Transition fee, the Library fee, and the Technology fee) and which are not (The Arts Program fee, the University fee, the Activity fee, the Student Transition fee, and the Health fee).

Conclusion

7. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:

- a. On or before **Monday, 8 September 2025**, each party shall file submissions on the application of the UNAT decision on the present case; and
- b. The parties shall explore resolving the dispute amicably and revert to the Tribunal in this respect by **Monday, 8 September 2025**. Of course, if the parties resolve the dispute, they need not file the submissions required in the preceding paragraph.

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace

Dated this 22nd day of August 2025

Entered in the Register on this 22nd day of August 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi