



UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.:	UNDT/NBI/2024/066
Order No.:	132 (NBI/2025)
Date:	19 August 2025
Original:	English

Before: Judge Sean Wallace

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Wanda L. Carter

DIK

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT

Counsel for Applicant:

Ludovica Moro

Counsel for Respondent:

Halil Goksan, AS/ALD/OHR/UN Secretariat

Introduction

1. By application filed on 8 November 2024, the Applicant, a former Chief of Administration working with the Economic and Social Commission for West Asia (“ESCWA”) filed an application contesting:

- a. The decision to place a reprimand letter in his official status file; and
- b. The omission to close pending investigations against him (case no. 0075/22).

2. The Respondent submitted a reply on 13 December 2024 where it argued that the contested decisions are not receivable, and if found receivable, the application lacks merit. The Respondent maintains that:

- a. The decision to place a reprimand letter in the Applicant’s official status file is not receivable *ratione materiae* because the Applicant did not request management evaluation of that decision;
- b. The Applicant’s omission claim is also not receivable *ratione materiae* because it is moot. The Office of Internal Oversight Services closed Case No. 0075/22 on 23 January 2024; and
- c. Should the Tribunal consider the Application receivable, it lacks merit.

3. By submission filed on 11 April 2025, the Applicant requested the admission of additional evidence in the form of testimony from a current security officer at ESCWA.

4. On 1 August 2025, the Applicant filed a Withdrawal of Proposed Additional Evidence indicating that he would no longer be offering evidence from the security official and expressing his regret for any inconvenience and additional work caused by his filings in this regard.

Consideration

5. Having examined the evidence on record to date, the Tribunal finds that it is sufficiently informed and that the matter can be determined without holding a hearing. Therefore, the case can proceed to the filing of closing submissions.

Conclusion

6. In view of the foregoing, it is ORDERED THAT:

- a. On or before **Wednesday, 3 September 2025**, the parties shall file their respective closing submissions.
- b. The submissions shall not exceed **five pages**, using Times New Roman, font size 12 pts and 1.5 line spacing.

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace

Dated this 19th day of August 2025

Entered in the Register on this 19th day of August 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi