



UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2025/051
Order No.: 112 (NBI/2025)
Date: 28 July 2025
Original: English

Before: Duty Judge
Registry: Nairobi
Registrar: Wanda L. Carter

SAKHI

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**ORDER
ON CASE MANAGEMENT**

Counsel for Applicant:

Self-represented

Counsel for Respondent:

Nicole Wynn, AS/ALD/OHR/UN Secretariat

Victoria Mujunga, AS/ALD/OHR/UN Secretariat

Introduction

1. The Applicant is a Management and Programme Analyst at the United Nations Mission in South Sudan (UNMISS). He serves on a continuing appointment at the FS-6 level, and is based in Juba, South Sudan.
2. On 1 May 2025, he filed an application with the United Nations Dispute Tribunal sitting in Nairobi challenging the decision to close his formal report alleging harassment, abuse of authority and discrimination against five current and former UNMISS staff members with no further action.
3. The Respondent filed his reply on 6 June 2025, taking the position that the impugned decision was properly made and that the remedy requested is beyond the authority of the Tribunal.
4. On 30 June 2025, the Applicant filed a motion for leave to file a rejoinder to the reply. Attached to his motion was the rejoinder itself.
5. Subsequently, on 14 July 2025, he filed motions for anonymity and a motion for disclosure. Both of these motions were served on the Respondent on 18 July 2025.

Consideration

6. There are three interlocutory motions pending before the Tribunal.
7. First, it is important to remind the parties that Practice Direction No. 5, para. 6, provides that

Where the motion is contested, either as to law or fact, the opposing party may file a response. Unless otherwise directed by the Tribunal, a response to a motion filed by a party **shall be filed within five working days** of service of the motion on that party. [Emphasis added]

8. The Tribunal notes in this case, that the Respondent has not filed a timely response to any of the Applicant's motions. Thus, they may be deemed to be uncontested.

9. As a result, the Applicant's Motion for Leave to File a Rejoinder is granted and the proposed rejoinder accepted.

10. However, regarding the Applicant's Motion for Anonymity and Motion for Disclosure, the Tribunal understands that recently there have been technical challenges with the e-filing portal. Thus, the responses which were due on 25 July 2025, may be filed by the close of business on Tuesday, 29 July 2025.

Conclusion

11. The Tribunal ORDERS that:

- a. The Applicant's Motion to File a Rejoinder is GRANTED;
- b. The Rejoinder is admitted to the record;
- c. Any responses to the Applicant's Motions for Anonymity and Disclosure shall be filed by no later than the close of business on **Tuesday, 29 July 2025.**

(Signed)

Judge Sean Wallace (Duty Judge)

Dated this 28th day of July 2025

Entered in the Register on this 28th day of July 2025

(Signed)

Wanda L. Carter, Registrar, Nairobi