Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)
Date: 10 August 2021

Original: English

Before: Judge Agnieszka Klonowiecka-Milart

Registry: Nairobi

Registrar: Abena Kwakye-Berko

APPLICANT

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

ORDER ON AN APPLICATION FOR SUSPENSION OF ACTION PENDING MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

AND

ORDER ON THE REQUEST FOR ANONYMITY

Counsel for the Applicant:

Dorota Banaszewska, OSLA

Counsel for the Respondent:

Alan Gutman, AAS/ALD/OHR Clémentine Foizel, AAS/ALD/OHR

Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)

Introduction

1. The Applicant is a former staff member of the United Nations

Multidimensional Integrated Stabilization Mission in Mali ("MINUSMA"), based in

Bamako. The extension of her appointment is currently under dispute.

2. On 5 August 2021, the Applicant filed an application for suspension of action

before the United Nations Dispute Tribunal in Nairobi contesting MINUSMA's

decision to not pay her salary and emoluments starting from April 2021.

3. The Respondent filed a reply on 7 August 2021.

Facts

4. On 1 March 2017, the Applicant worked on a loan from MINUSMA to the

Department of Peace Operations ("DPO"). On 29 April 2019, the Applicant signed a

Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with MINUSMA, pursuant to which her

loan to DPO was extended. At the same time, the Applicant relinquished a specific

lien against her post at MINUSMA.²

5. Under paragraph 4 of the MOU, the Applicant was to retain her fixed-term

appointment while serving with DPO on temporary assignment through 29 February

 $2020.^{3}$

6. On 31 January 2020, the Applicant was notified by DPO that her loan would

not be renewed due to lack of funding for her post.⁴

7. On 12 February 2020, MINUSMA, citing the provisions of the MOU signed

in April 2019, notified the Applicant of the separation procedures and availed her the

¹ Application, section I; Reply, para. 18.

² Application, annex A.

³ Ibid, part 4.

⁴ Application, annex B.

Page 2 of 6

Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)

separation documents.⁵ This action gave rise to the Applicant's claim before the UNDT in the case UNDT/NBI/2020/039.

- 8. On 21 February 2020, the Applicant was placed on sick leave.⁶ She subsequently remained on sick leave until 11 March 2021. Her appointment was extended on humanitarian grounds pending certifications from the Medical Services. On 11 March 2021, the Medical Services rejected the Applicant's further request for certification of her sick leave.⁷
- 9. On 30 March 2021, the Applicant requested MINUSMA for a final extension of her appointment on humanitarian grounds through May 2021, as her next medical appointment was scheduled for May 2021.⁸
- 10. On 14 May and 22 May 2021, MINUSMA indicated that the Applicant would be separated effective 31 May 2021 because her medical condition no longer required her to be on sick leave, whereas there were no options available to retain her on other vacant posts.⁹
- 11. On 17 May 2021, the Tribunal issued Judgment No. UNDT/2021/055, holding that the Applicant did not relinquish her general right to return to MINUSMA and that the Administration was under an obligation to fulfil its duties of reabsorbing her or finding suitable alternative posts for her. The Tribunal rescinded the MINUSMA's decision to not renew the Applicant's appointment.¹⁰
- 12. On 16 July 2021, the Administration appealed Judgment No. UNDT/2021/055.¹¹ The Appeals Tribunal is yet to issue its judgment.¹²

⁵ Application, annex C.

⁶ Application, para. 12.

⁷ Application, para. 65.

⁸ Application, annex θ .

⁹ Application, para. 71; Application, annex K.

¹⁰ Application, para. 73.

¹¹ Application, para. 78.

¹² Reply, para.9.

Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)

13. In May and June 2021, the Applicant reached out to MINUSMA requesting

the payment of her salary and emoluments effective April 2021. The Applicant also

requested to be given work in compliance with Judgment No. UNDT/2021/055.¹³

14. On 29 June 2021, the Applicant requested management evaluation of the

contested decision. The Management Evaluation Unit is yet to respond. 14

Submissions

Receivability

Respondent's submissions

15. The Respondent submits that the application for suspension of action is not

receivable ratione materiae. The jurisdiction of the Tribunal is limited to preserving

the status quo. The status quo is that the Applicant's appointment with MINUSMA

expired on 31 March 2021. As a result, MINUSMA stopped remunerating the

Applicant. By seeking the suspension of the non-payment of salaries and emoluments

from April 2021 onwards, the Applicant is requesting the Dispute Tribunal to change

the status quo, as such an order would require the Organization to appoint the

Applicant for the contested period. Further, such an order would also result in final

relief to the Applicant in the form of payment of the contested amounts. The Tribunal

may not grant an interlocutory order which will result in the final disposition of the

application.

Applicant's submissions

16. The Applicant submits that given the continuous effect of the decision not to

pay her salary and emoluments since April 2021, her situation both de facto and de

jure is no different than a situation of a staff member placed on administrative leave

without pay. In both instances an employee, while still being a staff member, is

¹³ Ibid. para.75.

¹⁴ Application, section VI.

Page 4 of 6

Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)

deprived of income and means of livelihood-the only difference being that the

Applicant is not subject of a disciplinary investigation. Therefore, the Applicant

maintains that her application to suspend the implementation of the contested

decision is receivable.

Considerations

17. The decision impugned here is to refuse payment of the salary and

emoluments. Contrary to the Respondent's argument, the Applicant is not formally

seeking to change the status quo; rather, the Applicant's claim is based on an

assumption that she remains in employment with the Organization. Notwithstanding

the question whether or not the Applicant can demonstrate such a legal relation

throughout the period since April 2021, the legally relevant fact is that the present

application for suspension of action seeks to satisfy the principal claim. As such, the

Tribunal agrees with the Respondent that it is not receivable.¹⁵

ORDERS

18. The application is dismissed;

19. The Applicant's name shall be removed from the published version of the

Order.

(Signed)

Judge Agnieszka Klonowiecka-Milart

Dated this 10th day of August 2021

¹⁵ El-Awar UNDT/2017/023, para.22; Faye Order No. 115 (NY/2015), para. 21; Lane Order No. 31 (NY/2014), para. 12.

Page 5 of 6

Order No.: 160 (NBI/2021)

Entered in the Register on this 10th day of August 2021

(Signed)

Eric Muli, Legal Officer, for

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi