Case No.: UNDT/NBI/2018/097

Order No.: 081 (NBI/2020)
Date: 29 April 2020

Original: English

**Before:** Judge Eleanor Donaldson-Honeywell

Registry: Nairobi

**Registrar:** Abena Kwakye-Berko

**PARIS** 

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS

**ORDER ON CASE MANAGEMENT** 

## **Counsel for the Applicant:**

Mohamed Abdou, OSLA

## **Counsel for the Respondent:**

Susan Maddox, AAS/ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat Elizabeth Gall, AAS/ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2018/097

Order No.: 081 (NBI/2020)

**Introduction and Procedural History** 

1. The Applicant served as a Security Officer at the United Nations

Multidimensional Integrated Stabilisation Mission in Mali ("MINUSMA"). He held a

fixed term appointment at the FS-5 level and was based in Mopti.

2. On 18 September 2018, the Applicant challenged the Respondent's decision to

separate him from service with compensation in lieu of notice and termination

indemnity in accordance with Staff Rule 10.2(a)(viii). It is the Applicant's case that the

impugned decision is fraught with irregularities, manifestly disproportionate and is

largely based on an improper assessment of the facts and circumstances of what

transpired.

3. The Respondent filed his reply to the application on 18 October 2018.

The Respondent submits that the impugned decision was based on clear and convincing

evidence that the Applicant's conduct amounted to serious misconduct in violation of

staff regulations 1.2(b), 1.2(f) and 1.2(q); the MINUSMA Code of Conduct (Code of

Conduct); sections 1.53 and 4.44(a) of the United Nations Department's Manual of

Instruction on Use of Force Equipment Including Firearms of 2 May 2014 (UN

Firearms Manual); and sections 3.2, 4.8.3, 4,91, and 4.9.10 of MINUSMA Standard

Operating Procedure SOP/SEC-007/14 (Weapons and Training SOP) of August 2014

(MINUSMA Weapons and Training SOP).

4. The Tribunal held a case management discussion on 28 April 2020.

The Discussion

5. Both parties informed the Tribunal that they are amenable to this matter being

resolved inter partes. The Tribunal encouraged the parties to embark on settlement

discussions in good faith and reminded them that it would be in the interest of both the

Page 2 of 4

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2018/097

Order No.: 081 (NBI/2020)

Applicant and the Organization for this matter to be resolved without recourse to litigation.

6. There was some discussion on whether an oral hearing would be necessary. The

Applicant is of the view that an oral hearing is necessary and listed the witnesses he

wishes to call to testify on the facts – and the inferences drawn from those facts – which

are disputed.

7. The Respondent is of the view that this matter can be decided on the papers as

the Applicant is not seeking to adduce new evidence.

8. The Tribunal reminded the parties that the timelines set in this case must be

strictly adhered to given the length of the undersigned Judge's deployment.

**Orders** 

9. The Tribunal makes the following Orders:

a. The parties will jointly advise the Tribunal on the status of their

settlement discussions by Friday, 8 May 2020;

b. The Applicant will file his list of witnesses with a summary of their

intended testimony, and the purpose for which they are being called, for

the Tribunal's consideration by Wednesday, 6 May 2020.

10. The Tribunal commends the parties for their willingness to engage in

discussions for resolution of this matter outside of the adversarial process.

Page 3 of 4

Case No. UNDT/NBI/2018/097

Order No.: 081 (NBI/2020)

(Signed)

Judge Eleanor Donaldson-Honeywell

Dated this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2020

Entered in the Register on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of April 2020

(Signed)

Abena Kwakye-Berko, Registrar, Nairobi