Thank you, Mr. Chair,

Allow me to express my gratitude and appreciation to you, the Bureau members, Co-Facilitators, and the Secretariat for your efforts in organizing the program and modalities of this meeting.

I also wish to extend my appreciation to all delegates attending this second resumed session.

The active engagement of member states will reflect our unified commitment to navigating the complexities of the ILC Draft Articles on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Humanity.

The depth of our discussions will guide us toward a mutual understanding, and to reconcile, where possible, any divergent perspectives, and ensure the draft’s ultimate acceptance and endorsement by the General Assembly.

Indonesia is steadfast in its commitment to upholding international law and fostering an environment where justice and adherence to treaty obligations are paramount.

This commitment is vital for the maintenance of international peace and security.

My delegation welcomes the opportunity to contribute our substantive exchanges on the ILC Draft Articles through an interactive dialogue.

Please rest assure of Indonesia’s support to engage constructively during the discussions of the five clusters.

Mr. Chair,

Indonesia’s dedication to human dignity, the sanctity of life, and the principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights remains unavering.
Our foundational philosophy, Pancasila, places humanity at its core, emphasizing a just and civilized humanity.

We are dedicated to preventing actions that undermine the essence of human dignity.

Crimes against humanity represent not only violations against individuals but also an affront to our collective humanities.

It is imperative that the international community unite to cooperate in the prevention of these crimes, supporting the states which have the primary jurisdiction to hold offenders accountable, and ensure justice for the victims.

Against this backdrop, Mr. Chair,

Allow me to offer two observations on our First Thematic Cluster, specifically concerning the Preambular Paragraphs and Article 1.

FIRST, regarding Preambular Paragraph 1, my delegation proposes changing the phrase “children, women, and men” to “people”.

We need not to mention particular groups in the Draft Article, considering Crimes against Humanity is a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, regardless of their groups. I repeat, against any civilian population.

SECONDLY, we believe that referencing the Rome Statute in Preambular Paragraph 7 is unnecessary.

The commentary on this paragraph suggests its inclusion is indicative as a threshold matter.

However, if this Draft Article’s scope aligns with that of the Rome Statute concerning crimes against humanity, then delineating the sources, rationale, and rationale for criminalization is redundant.

Moreover, the inclusion of Preambular Paragraph 7 might imply the existence, if any, discrepancies between the draft and with the Rome Statute, which could be misleading not only about the content of the draft, but against the propriety of our own work which has begun years ago.

I thank you.
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