Realizing the Millennium Development Goals through socially inclusive macroeconomic policies

Country Study

Assessing Development Strategies to Achieve the MDGs in

The Republic of Tunisia

Mohamed A. Chemingui Economic Affairs Officer Economic Development and NEPAD Division Economic Comission for Africa (ECA) United Nations mchemingui@uneca.org

Marco V. Sánchez Economic Affairs Officers Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA) United Nations sanchez-cantillo@un.org

United Nations Department for Social and Economic Affairs October 2011 This (unedited) report was elaborated as part of the capacity-development project "Realizing the Millennium Development Goals through socially-inclusive macroeconomic policies", which was implemented by the Development Policy an Analysis Division of the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DPAD/UN-DESA), in close collaboration with the World Bank, United Nations Economic Commission for Africa (UN-ECA) and the Regional Bureau for Arab States (RBAS).

The overall objective of the project was to strengthen the capacity of policymakers to formulate and evaluate socially-inclusive macroeconomic policies aimed at facilitating the achievement of the MDGs through the adaptation of an integrated modelling framework to country-specific conditions. The methodological framework is based on the adaptation of the economy-wide model system, known as Maquette for MDGs Simulation (MAMS) – a dynamic computable general equilibrium (CGE) model that includes a special module for the "production" of services associated with the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). It also compromises methodologies at the micro level to identify determinants of MDG achievement, on the one hand, and to quantify effects on poverty and inequality, on the other.

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the United Nations and the World Bank or their member states.

© "Realizing the Millennium Development Goals through socially inclusive macroeconomic policies" Project (http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/capacity_building.html).

Abstract

Tunisia was one of the fastest growing economies in the MENA region and managed to divert important amounts of resources to key social sectors before the recent global financing crisis and political revolution unfolded. MDG progress was remarkable and even turned out to be immune to the global financing crisis owing to countercyclical public spending. The revolution unfolded in late 2010, just when the economy was recovering from the global financing crisis. In spite of observed progress, this paper's scenario analysis, which is based on a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model, shows that public spending would need to rise by 5.7-7.1 percent of GDP per annum to meet the MDGs in primary education, child and maternal mortality, and water and sanitation by 2015. Domestic resource mobilization (borrowing or taxation) to finance the new spending would be costly, owing to crowding out of private spending in MDG sectors that would require the government to scale up even more spending. These financing mechanisms would be economically and politically infeasible at a juncture of political reconstruction and a decline in national savings. Should foreign borrowing alone be instead used, the government debt would increase 5 percent of GDP or more by 2015. Relying only on grant aid would imply mobilization of US\$2.7-US\$3.9 billion per annum, depending on MDG-spending growth after 2011. The mobilization of foreign resources would in any case be unavoidable to pursue an MDG strategy. But this strategy should not be expected to act as a catalyst to economic recovery in the short term owing to the macroeconomic trade-offs it would trigger-and productivity gains from greater MDG achievement would materialize in the longer term. The government also needs to engage in more active production sector and labour market policies that enable economic growth and reduce unemployment. Fiscal reforms should gradually replace dependency on foreign resources with increased tax revenue and also help to reduce inequality.

1. Introduction

Before its recent revolution, Tunisia had shown to be one of the fastest growing economies in North Africa and the Middle East (MENA) since the 1990s. According to the World Bank, GNI per capita in current US\$ reached 4,070 in 2010, which is around three times higher than 30 years ago. Indicators of social and economic wellbeing have also improved significantly during the same period. Almost all Tunisian children attend school compared to only 80 percent for the MENA region as a whole and female labour participation is high in a regional context. In Tunisia, more children survive their first few years, and life expectancy is higher than the average for both lower-middle-income and MENA countries. In 2005 only 3.8 percent of the population lived in extreme poverty according to the lower national poverty line. Inequality has also dropped steadily since the 1990s, leading the Gini coefficient to hover around 0.400 in 2005, having reached 0.409 in 2000 and 0.417 in 1995. As a result of these social and economic achievements, the Tunisian middle class has expanded to amount for nearly 81 percent of the population; development that had, no doubt, contributed to Tunisia's stability before the recent revolution.

Over the past two decades, the poor have reaped benefits from the growth strategy, especially through their participation in the labour market and the accumulation of productive assets, mainly human capital. The growth strategy has been based especially on the development of labour-intensive and export-oriented manufacturing activities through which it has played an important role in accelerating economic activity and increasing employment for low- to middle-skilled workers, mainly females. Not only has the growth performance been essential in providing job opportunities for the population, mainly the poor among them, but it has also enabled the government to mobilize resources for financing social spending in order to couple the growth strategy with pro-poor policies. Next to higher employment, productivity has also enabled more growth during the past two decades. Complementarily, the diversification of the economy and the crucial role played by domestic demand, especially consumption—boosted by inflows of remittances, have made it possible to compensate for episodes of less foreign demand since the 1990s.

Unsurprisingly, then, Tunisia has made considerable progress towards the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) agreed upon in the 2000 Millennium Declaration of the United Nations. Prior to the unfolding of the recent global financing crisis to a lower extent, and recent political upheaval mainly, Tunisia seemed to be on track to timely meet the majority of the targets at the aggregated national level.

Progress in MDG indicators seen up until 2007 is not expected to have slowed down owing to the global financing crisis that unfolded in the second half of 2008, simply because the government maintained a countercyclical spending policy that also helped to compensate for any reduction in private demand for MDG-related services (i.e., education, health, and water and sanitation). This "countercyclicality" of public policy would come at a price, though, since for the first time since the mid-1980s Tunisia had opted to allow its budget deficit, excluding grants and privatization, to hover around 3.0 percent of GDP in 2009 and 2010. The Tunisian economy grew at a rate of 4.6 percent in 2008 compared with 6.3 percent a year earlier, and the effects of the global financing crisis continued to be

felt in 2009 when the economy grew by 3.1 percent. And just when the economy was showing symptoms of recovery and GDP grew by 3.8 percent in 2010, a revolution erupted in response to expressed discontent of the population over high rates of unemployment and skill mismatches in the labour market—reflecting inequality of opportunities—, food inflation, overwhelming government control and perceived corruption and lack of freedom of speech and other political freedoms, and poor living conditions. This revolutin has raised new challenges on how the economy would be affected in the short to medium terms and how a fast recovery could be achieved to be back to decent economic growth. The latest official estimates show that the economy contracted by 3 percent during the first half of 2011 and the government deficit rose to 5 percent of GDP as resul of lower fiscal receipts and higher social transfers. Constitutional amendments could pave the way for a full-blown election and against this backdrop the international donor community has committed to help in the form of loans and grants for political and economic reconstruction. Economic growth is expected to resume at a pace of 3 percent more or less by 2012 if the social tensions are resolved and investment is resumed.

The countercyclical policies that Tunisia implemented in the midst of the global financing crisis and their sustainability in times when economic activity is sluggish have been critical to maintaining MDG progress on track. Areas where progress had been remarkable before the global financing crisis unfolded include extreme poverty reduction (MDG 1), universal primary education for boys and girls (MDG 2), reduction of child mortality (MDG 4), access to drinking water (MDG 7a) and basic sanitation (MDG 7b). In view of this progress, the government had set more ambitions targets for these goals before the revolution unfolded and had added new progress indicators such as, for example, the quality of education. Water and basic sanitaion service delivery had also been shielded against the global financing crisis as this is provided exclusively by two public enterprises with independent budgets and quiennal programs of investments. Unfortunately, reducing maternal mortality by two thirds (MDG 5) even before the crises presented the greatest challenge for Tunisia and the prospects for this goal to be achieved remain grim. The likelihood of achieving this goal will depend on significantly improving access to parental care and reaching out to rural areas. The government budget for 2009 and 2010 provided additional resources for improving parental care mainly at the most defavored regions of the country. Again, it remains to be seen if MDG progress is derailed by the recent political events that, as said, have stung economic growth in Tunisia and created a marked sense of instability.

In view of the above, it becomes imperative for Tunisia to have answers to the following questions: is the country on track towards the MDGs when proper consideration is given to the effects of the recent global financing crisis and political upheaval? If the answer to this question is no, the following additional questions are worth answering: how much additional public spending would need to be scaled up to achieve the MDGs? What financing mechanisms for the additional public spending requirements would be feasible for Tunisia given the existing macroeconomic constraints? What macroeconomic trade-offs would the MDG-financing strategy trigger on the economy? And, to what extent will increased MDG spending operate as an effective counter-cyclical response to add to the current efforts on the government aiming at economic recovery?

This paper has been elaborated to respond to these questions using a computable general equilibrium (CGE) model called MAMS (Maquette for MDG Simulation), which has been calibrated to a Tunisian dataset. This model has the unique characteristic of including a module of determinants for MDGs 2 (primary education completion), 4 (child mortality), 5 (maternal mortality), 7a (drinking water coverage) and 7b (basic sanitation coverage), which are here subject to study. This model is explained in detail in Lofgren and Diaz-Bonilla (2010) and here only some of its salient features are spelled out. MDG 1 (extreme poverty reduction) is an exception in that MAMS does not identify any public spending that can be directly associated with that goal and being a macroeconomic model it further lacks sufficient detailed specifications of the income distribution in order to analyze poverty changes in a rigorous manner. In the above said project (see footnote 1), most country applications of MAMS have combined this model and a microsimulation approach that helps to determine how changes in the labour market as generated by MAMS affect poverty and inequality when these changes are imposed into a micro dataset, with and without increases in public spending aimed at meeting the other MDGs.¹ Due to a lack of access to a detailed household survey, the microsimulation approach could not be applied for Tunisia. Even though MDG 1 had already been met in Tunisia, it would have been interesting to determine if this poverty reduction goal would continue to be achieved considering the effects of the global financing crisis and mainly those of the political revolution.

The paper includes 5 more sections. The main reforms and macroeconomic policy, performance and vulnerabilities of the 1990-2008 period are discussed in section 2. Some reference to the global economic and political crises of the 2009-11 period is also made in this section. Section 3 is on social policy aspects and the progress made towards meeting the MDGs and the prospects of achieving the pre-established targets in the future. The main principles of MAMS as well as the main steps for implementing it with Tunisian data are explained in the fourth section, followed in the same section by the analysis of results generated through different scenarios. Finaly, section 5 presents the main conclusions and some policy recommendations.

2. Reforms and macroeconomic policy, performance, and vulnerabilities

2.1. Main economic reforms and policies

The beginning of the implementation of the structural adjustment program (SAP) in mid-1986 represents a turning point in economic policymaking in Tunisia since its independence. This program was aimed at: (i) preserving the stability of the macroeconomic framework and financial balances, (ii) integrating Tunisia into the world economy, (iii) redistributing income by reconciling social and economic policies and (iv) widening the middle class and reducing poverty. The reforms were expected to boost economic growth that would trickle down in favour of the population, in order to improve income levels and employment.

¹ For more details on this methodology, see Vos and Sánchez (2010).

The SAP has laid out the principle strategic directions of the economy up until the unfolding of the global financing crisis in late 2008. A key pillar of this program's reforms has been the integration of the Tunisian economy into the world economy. The orientation towards the liberalization program has been intensified since the country's accession to the WTO in 1995 and the implementation of a number of free trade agreements (FTAs) with main partners. The association agreement with the European Union (EU), which began to be fully implemented in 2008, represents the major trade agreement signed by Tunisia. In parallel, a host of programs and economic policy actions aiming at reinforcing competitiveness have been committed during the same period. They have sought the consolidation of tax and financial legislations reforms; more deregulation of investments, trade and prices; rebalancing of the roles of the public and private sectors; modernisation in the administration of the financial and banking systems; improvements in infrastructure; and development of human resources and upgrading of firms and production sectors.

In spite of the gradual liberalization of trade in manufacturing, the offshore export sector has been benefiting from very generous government incentives since the 1970s up until now, particularly a special duty-free regime on imported inputs as well as a corporate income tax holiday for 10 years. Policies that have protected capital-intensive activities from competition, through a high taxation of imports and a complex system of non-tariff barriers, may though have hurt the poor through the development of import-substitution capital intensive-activities rather than labour-intensive activities. However, the export incentives seem to have corrected these biases to a large extent through fostering the development of labour-intensive light manufacturing sectors that have boosted growth and employment, with favourable results in terms of poverty reduction. Nonetheless, exchange rate policy has not been active in improving the international competitiveness of exports, as further explained below.

The control of inflation represents an important instrument for fighting poverty in Tunisia since the income of poor households tends to be less indexed to consumer price changes compared to the income of non-poor households, such that it would more likely lose purchasing power faster in tandem with growing consumer prices. The Tunisian government managed to keep inflation under control through a better management of monetary and fiscal policies. The average inflation rate was close to 5 percent in the 1990s and it declined subsequently to less than 3 percent in the period 2000-07. In 2008, and due to higher food and energy prices, inflation rose up to 5 percent but declined in 2009 to 3.7 percent (IMF, 2010). The budget deficit as a share of GDP has also been brought down from an annual average of almost 5 percent in the 1980s—when social spending and generous increases in government salaries resulted in macroeconomic disequilibria—to less than 3 percent, on average, in 2000-08. By 2010 the current account deficit had been brought down to less than 3 percent of GDP, way below the record for the 1995-2007 period. These results are a clear indication of better macroeconomic management and a more stable macroeconomic environment.

2.2. Evolution of the economy since 1990s

Tunisia witnessed good economic performance between 1990 and 2007. GDP grew on average by 3.9 percent per annum in 1990-95. When reforms matured and their effects became apparent, growth went up to 5.6 percent per annum during the second half of the 1990s and it subsequenly declined slightly to 4.9 percent per annum during the period 2000-07. As a result of the global financing crisis, growth dropped to 4.5 percent in 2008 and 3.1 percent in 2009. The economy recovered slightly in 2010, when output grew by 3.8 percent. Up to this point, the growth outlook was relatively healthier compared to the dire economic situation that many other parts of the world were facing. Nonetheless, the political turmoil that erupted in late 2010 and continued to unfold in early 2011, triggered by discontent of the population over unemployment and corruption, translated into economic instability and growth deceleration. As a result, growth has declined by 3 percent during the first half of 2011 and it is expected it will not exceed 1 percent by the end of the year.

The positive growth performance achieved up until 2007 had been driven by a development model that combined prudent macroeconomic management and direct government involvement in productive decisions through a complex system of incentives and ad hoc interventions. However, the effectiveness of this model to further propel growth has been challenged by high unemployment, vulnerability to external shocks, and low competitition in services sectors. The global financing crisis came to make these challenges more apparent, and many of the problems were magnified as a result of the revolution, clearly the high level of youth unemployment which hovered 25 percent by the end of 2010.

Good growth performance in 1990-2007

Tunisia has by and large experienced good growth performance since the past decade, with total and per capita GDP growing at an average annual rate of 4.8 and 7.2 percent, respectively, in the period 1990-2007. Growth has not been steady, though, owing to major shifts in economic policy and external shocks driven by volatility in international prices and changes in the world's demand for Tunisian exports. Furthermore, growth has also fluctuated as result of changes in weather conditions, particularly the drought of 1990-95, which led to a reduction in agricultural output and increased rural poverty. Even so, overall GDP per capita rose by about 1.2 percent per annum during that six-year period. The economy was spurred by exports and picked up steam during the second half of the 1990s, when it recorded a high increase in per capita GDP of 4.2 percent per annum that had not been seen since the 1970s.

Sector	Average contribution to GDP	Average annual growth rate
Agriculture	13.4	2.8
Industry	29.2	4.2
- manufacturing	17.6	4.7
- construction	4.6	5.8
- gas, electricity and water	6.2	2.1
- mining and quarrying	0.8	1.6
Services	57.4	5.6

Table 1 Tunisia: Sectoral composition of GDP, 1990-2007 (Percentage)

Source: Authors' estimates based on data from the World Bank's World Development Indicators.

The sectoral composition of GDP reveals four major trends for the period 1990-2007 (Table 1). First, the services sector—which accounts for about 57 percent of GDP— has provided the largest contribution. Such a result is ascribable mainly to the emergence of new sectors with high potential in the services activities. The share of knowledge-intensive activities in GDP, for example, improved rapidly, going up to 20.3 percent in 2000 from 12.8 in 1997. These activities include financial services, health, education, data processing, telecommunications and services rendered to the companies. The advancement of education and health services has been a positive development for MDG achievement. Tourism has become a key driver of the Tunisian economy, making a significant contribution to GDP, but its value-added has grew only by 4 percent on average over the 1990-2007 period compared with more than 10 percent over the 1970s and 1980s. In 2009, this sector's contribution to GDP reached 5 percent and its share to current receipts represented 11.6 percent. Moreover, this sector accounted for 450.000 jobs in 2009, the equivalent to 12 percent of the employed population.

Second, output growth in manufacturing has been larger than in the overall industrial sector (4.7 versus 4.2 percent, on average, in 1990-2007). However, manufacturing's share in total GDP averaged only 17.6 percent over the same period, which is considered much smaller than in fast growing economies. The fastest growth has been seen for electrical and mechanical industries (7.3 percent on average compared with 3.9 for textiles and garment industries over the period 1990-2007). Excluding the food industry, which to a large extent depends on agricultural domestic output, the rest of manufacturing value-added grew by 5.1 percent in 1990-2007. Manufacturing's share in total employment has nonetheless remained stable at 32.3 percent in spite of this sector's GDP growth. The textiles and clothing sub-sectors have been the most important employers, though their share in total employment has decreased and it is likely to decrease further as a result of growing competition (ETF, 2007). However, the fast growth of this sector has facilitated the absorption of a large active female population with low skills.

Third, export-oriented sectors, textile and electromechanical equipment industries in particular, have been weakly integrated with the rest of the economy. In view of this, they have generated low value-added thus limiting their contribution to growth. Overall, growth has decelerated in traditional manufacturing activities (textiles and clothing) and in activities producing for the domestic market.

Fourth, agriculture's contribution to GDP has fluctuated notably. On average, it was 13.4 percent in 1990-2007, but it has shown to be on average below 10 percent in the period 2005-09.

In times when the international economic situation has become unfavourable to Tunisia, domestic demand has constituted an important factor for the observed increase in GDP. During the past two decades, private consumption has intensively contributed to growth and through this it has to some extent compensated for the decline in foreign demand during periods of international crisis such as, for example, the period when the first gulf war took place in 1991.

Private consumption remains the main driver of growth and occupies a central place in Tunisia's development strategy. By increasing at an average rate of 4.7 percent per annum during the period 1990-2007, private consumption accounted for 62 percent of GDP during the same period, which is higher than the contribution of exports.

In spite of successive privatization plans initiated since the adoption of the SAP, public consumption also remains high at 15 percent of GDP in 2007 compared with 16 percent in 1990. Over the period 1990-2007, public consumption grew by 4.4 percent per annum and, as further shown below, this has positively affected progress in terms of meeting the MDGs.

Exports are the second driver of growth owing to the export-led growth strategy that has been implemented since independence in the mid-1950s. Oil and other mining products made up for the bulk of exports during the first two decades, followed by labour-intensive manufacturing products during the following decades. A competitive export-oriented manufacturing sector was developed with participation of foreign firms that were attracted by fiscal incentives. The role of this sector continues to be crucial for growth. Exports of goods and services grew by 5 percent per annum during the period 1990-2007, which was slighly more than GDP growth during the same period (Table 2). Through such growth pace, the average contribution of exports to GDP reached 43.7 percent over the period 1990-2007. This result was also influenced by an increase in Tunisia's share of the European market, and the diversification of markets in general and of products. The export supply has been diversifying to high value-added activities in agriculture, agribusiness industries, mechanical and engineering industries, electrical and electronic industries and services.

GDP growth rate	4.8
GDP per capita growth rate	7.2
Inflation rate	3.9
Population growth rate	1.3
Labour force growth rate	3.1
Domestic demand growth rate	4.6
Domestic demand as share of GDP	77.6
Exports growth rate	5.0
Exports as share of GDP	43.7
Investment growth rate	4.0
Investment as share of GDP	23.3

Table 2Tunisia: Growth performance and key macroeconomic indicators, 1990-
2007 (Period annual averages in percent)

Source: Authors' estimates based on data from the National Institute of Statistics (www.ins.nat.tn). Note: all figures are measured at constant prices.

Gross fixed capital formation is the third driver of growth with an average contribution to GDP estimated at 23.3 percent during the period 1990-2007. Over the same period, total investment increased by 4 percent per annum at constant prices. Despite the several policy reforms implemented to increase the role of the private sector in the economy, the public sector still plays a crucial role to boost investment. The share of public investment in total investment represented 17.8 percent in 2008. This has been important to maintain relatively sufficient capital stocks for the provision of MDG-related services.

In addition to the above factors, total factor productivity (TFP) has also contributed to observed growth. It accounted for roughly a quarter of GDP growth (including the contribution of human capital) during the period 1980-2000. This contribution went up from 41.5 percent in 1997-2001 to 46.9 percent in 2002-06 (Isaksson and Hee Ng, 2006). Successive reforms to education and training systems that were aimed at providing both required skills and competencies and a more favourable work environment for better resource use, likely boosted productivity. This achievement has also likely been driven by better economic performance, more exposure to new communication and information technologies as Tunisia has been integrating more into the world economy, and more support for research and innovation to diversify products and improve competitiveness.

Growth mildly affected by the global financing crisis

As said, GDP growth rates declined to 4.5 percent in 2008 and 3.1 percent in 2009, respectivelly, from 6.4 percent in 2007. This was a clear manifestation of the effects of the global financing crisis on Tunisia's economy. However, the external position of the country remained solid during the 2008-9 biennium. The resilence of tourism receipts and remittances of Tunisian workers from abroad, and foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, enabled the country to record confortable reserve levels totaling some US\$ 9 billion at end of May 2009, which represent around 5.6 months of imports (IMF, 2009ab). The current account deficit was 4.2 percent of GDP in 2008 owing to rising imports of commodities and capital goods, and it continued to be largely financed by FDI inflows. The fiscal

position improved markedly in 2008 as the deficit fell to 1.2 percent of GDP, which brought the public debt ratio down to 47.5 percent of GDP from 58.1 percent in 2005. At the same time, the external debt has continued to decline: it went to 53.7 percent of GDP by late 2008 down from 65.3 percent in 2005.

Tunisia has deployed measures to contain the impact that the global financing crisis has had on its trading partners. These measures include a fiscal stimulus package of about 1.4 percent of GDP and a more accommodating monetary policy by the Central Bank of Tunisia (CBT). The fiscal stimulus package has been geared at supporting the export sector without deliberately boosting internal demand to avoid any deterioration of the trade deficit. In response to exporting firms facing a contaction of demand, the Tunisian parliament approved a bill in December 2008 that provides for a series of measures to prop up export-oriented businesses, including a 50 percent export insurance to be paid for by the government and a 50 percent state reimbursement of social security expenses related to the reduction of working hours (from 48 to 40 per week). This package was revised in June 2009, when the government adopted an amendment that foresees limited relief from corporate tax and VAT for those companies with business volume contracting by more than 15 percent in 2009. However, the implementation of this fiscal package was considered to be very slow and, therefore, it was deemed inefficient (IMF, 2009ab). On monetary policy, the CBT reduced interest rates, implemented policies to increase credit and liquidity in the banking system, and doubled its capital to finance small and medium enterprises aiming at boosting domestic investment. As a result of this stimulus package, the government led the budget deficit before grants and privatization to represent 3.0 percent of GDP in 2009 from only 1 percent in 2008, while keeping a close eye on macroeconomic stability, the external position, the public debt ratio, and inflation.

The economy has reaped benefits from the adoption of the stimulus policies: it managed to grow by 3.1 percent in 2009 at the same time that most of its main trading partners were witnessing economic recession. This relatively good performance was mainly achieved through a better resistance of domestic demand, including household consumption and private investment, in addition to more government demand. Gross fixed capital formation represented 27.5 percent of GDP in 2009, 1.8 percentage points more than in 2007, and 62 percent of this new investment was contributed by the domestic private sector and 27.1 percent by FDI. Private consumption decelerated notably but it still managed to represent around 62 percent of GDP in 2009, having likely been encouraged by remittances from abroad which declined only by less than 1 percent in local currency compared with their 2008 level. The impact of the global financing crisis was mainly manifested in trade. In 2009 export and import values (in US\$) declined compared to 2008, but the trade deficit fell from -8.9 percent of GDP in 2008 to -8.5 in 2009 (IMF, 2010). Moreover, the overall impact on the current account deficit was also positive as this went down from -3.8 percent of GDP in 2008 to -2.8 percent in 2009, owing to net capital inflows and a continued reduction in the foreign debt service. Thus, the general balance of payments posted an impressive surplus of US\$1,619 million in 2009, which however represent a slight decline compared with 2008 (US\$1,662), which helped to boost the level of net assets in foreign currency. Facilitated by the absence of additional foreign borrowing, the external debt position improved, as indicated earlier. On the fiscal front, in spite of a sharp increase in

outlays by the general equalization fund and subsidies for fuel and transport, the budget deficit (net of debt service payments and exclusive of privatization and grants) was kept relatively low and it actually went down to only 1.0 percent of GDP in 2008, owing mainly to higher tax revenues and the rationalization of public expenditure. The budget deficit worsen as result of lower economic growth and the adoption of the stimulus program in response to the global financing crisis, leveling off at 3 percent of GDP in 2009.

The prospects for 2010 were conditioned by uncertainties on the expected global recovery, which could particularly have affected exports, tourism receipts and workers' remittances from abroad. The 2010 budget law maintained a supportive fiscal policy to ensure that the current economic recovery would not be undermined by an early withdrawal of the fiscal stimulus measures introduced in 2009. As said, the economy managed to recover by 3.8 percent in 2010, just to find itself derailed again as a result of the revolution.

Growth has been pro-poor

Tunisian public policy has targeted growth in tandem with poverty alleviation. Integrated rural development programs have been put in place to provide the infrastructure needed to develop agriculture and integrate this sector more with urban areas. More investment in education, health and birth control programs has helped to increase the quality of human capital significantly, both in rural and urban areas. The strategy of industrial development based on light manufacturing and export growth as well as the development of tourism has had a high pay-off in terms of employment creation, particularly for low-skilled workers. The development of other capital-intensive activities, such as automobile assembly, has however negatively affected efficiency, growth, job creation and poverty. The education strategy pursued in the first two decades after independence (the 1960s and 1970s) was very selective with respect to passing grades and graduating from primary school, enabling the availability of a relatively competent civil service and a working force whose recruitment has helped to boost growth and reduce poverty.

Six household expenditure surveys have been conducted in Tunisia every five years since 1980. Poverty incidence calculated from them and economic growth figures seem to be highly correlated. In this respect five periods can be identified. During the period 1980-85, poor economic management and inefficient investment projects undertaken by the public sector resulted in lower economic performance compared to the 1970s. However, poverty dropped sharply as a result of higher agricultural growth and as the government raised salaries, including the minimum wage for farm workers at unprecedented rates, and granted record food subsidies. The incidence of poverty continued its sharp decline during 1985-90 as the stabilization policies and the SAP were adopted, particularly in rural Tunisia where it dropped by as much as six points and agricultural value added continue to grow, even though the economic adjustment needed to correct the macroeconomic disequilibria of the first half of the 1990s induced a sharp decline in investment that resulted in a moderate slowdown in growth. The reduction of poverty likely was associated with a sharp decline in inequality: the Gini coefficient dropped to 0.40 in 1990 from about 0.45 in 1985. A third stage, 1990-95, witnessed the incidence of rural poverty going up by 2.7 points for the first time since 1980, while urban poverty declined slightly. GDP grew

faster than in the previous period, but agricultural output contracted due to three consecutive years of drought that put rural poverty on the increase. Fourth, in the period 1995-2000, rural poverty decreased notably as agricultural output rose and growth accelerated at the fastest pace seen since the late-1970s. Lastly, in the period 2000-05, poverty continued on the decline in spite of the fact that inequality, on the contrary, deteriorated. In this period, rural households were most negatively affected by declining producer prices whereas, on the other hand, urban households witnessed successive rises in wages and improvements in capital incomes owing to sustained economic growth as further explained below. Poverty is expected to increase for 2011 as a consequence of the revolution's effects on employment and growth

Changes in poverty have been decomposed to gauge the extent to which these have been explained by variations in mean incomes (growth effect) and income inequality (distribution effect). This kind of analysis allows us to determine if these two factors have worked in the same or the opposite direction, and the extent to which growth has been propoor. Ayadi et al. (2005) and Lahouel (2007) have shown that the growth effect has been the major determinant of the continuous reduction in the poverty headcount from 1980 to 2000. The distribution effect has generally been either weak or contrary to the growth effect. Complementary evidence is given in the study of Chemingui and Bchir (2008) who found a computed growth elasticity of poverty reduction in the -3.5 to -4.8 range for the 1985-2000 period (that is, during that period any 1 percentage point increase in economic growth would reduce the number of poor by 3.5 to 4.8 percent).

2.4. Vulnerabilities and economic constraints

The Tunisian government in all fairness can claim some notable achievements in the area of macroeconomic stability—having tamed inflation and reduced public deficits before the stimulus policies were enacted—but there are still some concerns. First, Tunisia's tight fiscal policies have permitted to reduce inflation but economic growth may likely have been higher without them. Second, tight moneraty policy has also led to a persistently overvalued currency, making it more difficult for producers to compete with other developing country exporters, such as China. Third, fiscal discipline aimed at controlling the public deficit and the external debt has likely prevented the country from enjoying higher and sustained economic growth through a bolder attempt of scaling up public spending, mainly to improve infrastructure. Fourth, government regulation of many activities represents a real obstacle towards improving domestic competition that would be healthier for economic growth.

Health of public finances

The health of the public finances has been fragile to mandatory expenditures. Fluctuations in international prices of key commodities such as oil and food have also borne a cost on the government budget and the financial balances of public companies operating in these sectors. The phasing out of tariff protection on imports from the EU and other trading partners has also translated into considerable reductions of revenues from duties. But

reforms to the VAT system and a better collection of taxes on income and profits have allowed the government to compensate for revenue loses (Table 3).

Table 3Tunisia: Structure of public revenues, 1995-2009 (Percent of total and
GDP)

	1995	2000	2005	2009
Percent of tax revenue				
- Direct taxes (on income and profit)	23.9	30.0	36.5	39.8
- Import taxes	22.8	12.1	6.4	4.5
- VAT	26.5	33.2	29.1	29.1
- Taxes on consumption	17.6	17.6	15.33	13.7
- Other taxes	9.1	7.1	12.6	13.0
Percent of GDP				
- Import taxes	4.5	2.4	1.2	0.9
- Tax revenue	19.9	19.8	20.6	19.9

Source: National Institute of Statistics of Tunisia (INS, in French).

However, the government has had to rely relatively more on domestic borrowing to finance its deficit even though the level of national savings is relatively low (Table 4). Domestic borrowing has recently been more seriously constrained, though, not only because the domestic bond market is relatively underdeveloped but also because workers' remittances from abroad, which have come to represent nearly half of national savings, have been on the decline since the global financing crisis unfolded and more intensively in 2011 as a result of the revolution and civil war in Libia, the main destination of Tunisian migrants.

	1995	1996	1997	1998	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Overall deficit (excluding grants	-4,5	-5,1	-4,2	-2,8	-3,2	-3,6	-3,1	-1,0	-3,0
and privatizations)									
Overall deficit (including grants	-4,1	-4,9	-3,8	-0,6	-2,6	-3,0	-2,7	-0,4	-2,7
and privatizations)									
Government borrowing	4,1	4,8	3,8	0,6	-2,6	-3,0	-2,7	0,4	2,7
- Foreign	2,9	2,7	2,4	0,0	0,8	-0,3	-0,7	0,2	0,0
- Domestic	1,2	2,1	1,4	0,6	1.8	3,3	3,4	0,2	2,7
Central government debt	58,4	60,6	62,5	58,1	58,3	53,7	50,0	43,3	42,8
- Foreign	38,4	36,5	38,8	35,3	21,0	21,6	20,9	17,0	17,7
- Domestic	20,0	24,1	23,7	22,8	37,2	32,1	29,1	26,3	25,0

Table 4Tunisia: Government deficit, borrowing and debt, 1995-2009 (Percent of
GDP)

Source: INS.

Maintenance of the budget deficit within tolerable limits has been seen as a precondition to achieve sustained growth and improve the effectiveness of state intervention to support economic and social development. For this reason, the government has opted to decrease its budget deficit as a percentage of GDP (excluding privatization and grants), with good results, had not been for the global financing crisis in response to which stimulus policies put the government deficit back to 3 percent of GDP in 2009, from just 1 percent a year earlier (Table 4). Improvements in the fiscal stance have been possible through: reforming taxes to rationalize tax incentives and continue with the progressive reduction of the inclusive tax regime; improving the allocation of public resources; enhancing the private-public partnership in the field of infrastructure and delivery of some services previously provided solely by the state; and limiting fuel subsidies through the continued control of energy use and the progressive adjustment of domestic prices as the economic situation requires. This fiscal discipline has translated into a reduction of the government debt to 42.8 percent of GDP in 2009 from 58.3 percent in 2005 (Table 4). There remains to be seen if the declining trend of the debt can no longer be sustain owing to the political crisis.

External shocks

Tunisia's engagement in trade liberalization introduced two important challenges to the economy. There was concern about the likely impact of tariff reductions on tax revenue on the one hand, and the possible impact of increased imports on the trade balance and the balance of payments, on the other. However, export promotion policies combined with higher inflows of remittances and FDI enabled a reduction of the current-account deficit—to 2.4 percent of GDP in 2007, from 5.8 percent in the period 1992-96—and an increase in foreign-exchange reserves to be able to cover more than 5 months of imports of goods by late 2007—compared to only 2 months in 1996. Finally, as said, foregone import-tariff revenue has been compensated by new revenue resulting from a more sound policy of domestic taxation, mainly through reinforcing VAT collection.

In addition to trade liberalization, Tunisia's economy has been exposed to higher world prices for imported products (mainly food and energy). The relatively high level of diversification has helped the Tunisian economy become less vulnerable to world price shocks. Tunisia exports regime is more diversified than in most other MENA countries and it comprises agricultural goods, energy, some mining products, services, and various manufactred products.

Capital controls for both residents and nonresidents have also allowed the country to reduce the vulnerability to capital flows. More in general, good macroeconomic management has permitted Tunisia to more adequately deal with external shocks. Starting in 1986, when a sharp fall in oil prices nearly precipitated a balance of payment crisis, the authorities have followed consistent stabilization policies and have adopted gradual adjustment measures, including through expenditure restraint, revenue measures, and control of monetary aggregates. The exchange rate has been managed flexibly, while maintaining overall real stability.

Economic imbalances and their financing

By various indicators, Tunisia is a moderately indebted country. Total external debt was estimated at 54.9 percent of GDP by the end of 2007, which is slighly higher than the average annual of 52 percent of GDP of the early 1990s. The debt service for principal and interests as a share of exports of goods and services was about 13 percent by the end of 2007 compared to 25 percent on average for the 1990s.

To ensure that external balances remain sustainable in the future, and avoid higher indeptedness, Tunisia is pursuing a three-pronged strategy. It is encouraging export development (through the *mise à niveau* or upgrading program), inflows of FDI (including through privatization) and workers' remittances to stengthen foreign-exchange generating capacity. It is also trying to deepen the domestic financial markets to ensure adequate budgetary financing from domestic sources. Last but not least, Tunisia only resorts to international capital markets carefully and strategically in order to maintain its past record as a responsible and reliable debtor that is able to borrow at low emerging market spreads.

In the medium term, the main risk to Tunisia's external outlook would come from a failure of this three-pronged strategy. A serious deterioration of external balances—that could be brought about by a concurrent surge in imports from the EU, a drop in exports because of weak international demand and domestic competitiveness, or less FDI or workers' remittances—would lead to significantly larger borrowing requirements accompanied by worsening borrowing conditions for emerging economies. Not only could this result in an increase of external indebtedness but the external debt profile could also deteriorate through unfavourable rates and terms structure. Simulation results presented below however show that the government would need new debt to finance public spending that needs to be scaled up to achieve some MDGs, should it find it unfeasible to mobilize resources domestically or unlikely to be fully supported by international donnors.

3. Social policy and progress towards the MDGs

3.1. Evolution and structure of public spending

During the period 1991-2008, public spending (current and capital) averaged 36.4 percent of GDP, compared to 38.7 percent during the period 1991-2000. Around 48 percent of total budget expenditure has been allocated to current spending. Nonetheless, the share of current spending increased towards the end of that period and on average reached 49 percent per annum in 2001-08, 4 percentage points more than in 1991-2000. All current spendings have grown fast, especially salaries and wages which account for the builk of total spending (on average, around 66 percent). As a result, total current spending increased by 8 percent per annum over the period 2006-08.

Since independence, the Tunisian government has been paying special attention to social sectors with a view to improve the social conditions of the population. Total public spending on education and health, the two main social sectors, has however followed an

unsteady trend. First, it fell from 27.3 percent of total public spending in 1990 to 21.2 percent in 2000, in order to revert this trend to jump up to 29.7 percent in 2008 (Table 5). However, the share of public spending allocated to the health sector has recorded an impressive decline from over 10 percent during the 1970s and 1980s to a meager 5.7 percent in 2008. This is the direct result of a voluntary policy of supporting the development of a private health system for which Tunisians have shown growing preference as they have witnessed improved healthcare results. Nonetheless, as will be shown below, the country will still need public interventions to achieve health-related development goals, especially that of maternal mortality.

	1990	2000	2006	2007	2008
Spending on education	20.7	16.0	25.1	25.2	24.0
Spending on health	6.6	5.2	6.1	6.3	5.7
Spending on agriculture and water mobilization	6.1	4.8	4.4	4.1	5.1
Spending on electrification	4.1	4.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Food subsidies	8.8	5.6	2.8	2.7	7.6
Transfers and other subsidies (energy)	11.5	15.9	11.3	10.5	12.3

Table 5Tunisia: Government spending on transfers and social services, 1990-2008 (Percent of total government
spending)

Source: Authors' calculations based on data from INS.

Convinced of the very important repercussions that developing the education sector may have for human capital investment and unemployment reduction, the government has alloted notable amounts of public spending to this sector since independence. Primary and seconday education are accessible and free of charge to all individuals while a partial contribution is paid by students at the tertiary level. Public spending on education on all education levels has historically been high. Its share in total public spending climbed from 20.7 percent in 1990 to around, on average, 25 percent over the period 2006-08. Over the period 1990-2008, little more than 91 percent of this spending has been to pay for recurrent activities though, which is an indication that infrastructure may be lagging behing in the education sector. It is only in 2009 that capital spending increased sharply with the implementation of the fiscal stimulus package of about 1.4 percent of GDP to invest in public infrastructure, health and education, and to provide direct support to exporting firms affected by the crisis.

Public spending has also been important for agricultural development and water mobilisation and distribution. Most of this spending is alloted for investing in irrigation, drainage, and reuse of treated wastewater, on the one hand, and for mobilizing and distributing drinking water, on the other. The share of public spending alloted to these activities covered about 5.1 percent of total public spending in 2008, which is about a percentage point below the share of 1990. However, it is important to clarify that public spending on mobilization and distribution of safe drinking water is managed by a public enterprise called National Enterprise of Mobilization and Distribution of Water (SONEDE) and a large share of the cost of investments is recovered through periodical bills paid by households. Only farmers are paying a small part of the effective cost of distribution of water used for irrigation while investment cost is entirely paid the government.

The same effort is also made by the government regarding the production and distribution of electricity through a public enterprise named Tunisian Enterprise of Electricity and Gas (STEG), which produces and distributes electricity with a full cost-recovery policy from the private sector.

The government keeps food subsidies it has granted since the 1970s, in order to maintain domestic food prices at low levels. This policy was universal for all household types at the outset until reforms in the 1990s and 2000s allowed for targeting products of basic consumption for the poor. Despite the various reforms the cost of this policy represented 7.6 percent of total government spending in 2008 against only 2.8 percent in 2006. The high increase in government financial support for household food consumption increased in 2007 and 2008 as result of the food crisis (that is, when world food prices of 2008 tripled those of 2000).

Finaly, it is important to indicate that the government raised transfers, including social security, faster than it increased total expenditure as a result of a challenging progressive reallocation of resources from tradicional social sectors to newer ones. In 1980, the government allocated around 7.5 percent of its resources to social security. Two decades later, this share had jumped to 15.9 and 12.3 percent in 2000 and 2008, respectively, and it is expected it will increase owing to the demographic transition of the population.

3.2. Social policy and poverty reduction

Improved living conditions are the main manifestation that social achievements have been at the height of the government's commitment to ensure comprehensive development with interdependent dimensions. Since independence, social policy in Tunisia has been aimed at improving the purchasing power of the population, reducing unemployment and poverty, improving skills through the development of education and health systems, and improving access to social services and gender equality.

In the period 1990-2008, people's incomes benefited from continuous monitoring facilited by social negotiations, the revision of collective agreements, reforms to remuneration scales, the revision of the minimum wage, and the amendment to the labour code. The purchasing power of minimum wage workers has been preserved owing to successives revaluations of this wage category. At the same time, the average purchasing power underwent an improvement of about 2 percentage points annually over the period 1990-2006, due to a continued increase in wages but also to the control of inflation.

The government has also intervened intensively to limit the negative repercussions of economic deregulation on the vulnerable social sectors with the help of a package of social protection instruments. Welfare transfers doubled between 1996 and 2005 to adopt such social protection policies with an average cost estimated at 0.4 percent of GDP (Bibi

and Chatti, 2007). Also, the government continued to subsidize food consumption in spite of the relatively low level of international prices for most agricultural products before the recent food crisis of 2007-08 and even though subsidies have largely decreased since the implementation of the structural adjustment program. Since the mid-1990s, these subsidies have been more targeted to products consumed by the poor, thus contributing significantly to poverty reduction, particularly in urban areas. The government also implemented many programs of public works to benefit the unemployed poor with a cost estimated at 0.12 percent of GDP. Finally, the government is providing almost universal health care to the population with various levels of personal contributions to the real costs of the service delivered. The health care system is estimated to cost to the government around 5.2 percent of the country's GDP (Bibi and Chatti, 2007)

The results recorded in terms of wages, incomes and welfare transfers have improved living conditions. Not only has the poverty rate declined, as further shown in the below, but the share of rudimentary houses has shrunk from 2.7 percent in 1994 to 0.8 percent in 2004, and there has been a noticeable 80 percent increase in the rate of household ownership. As will also be indicated below, the coverage of utility-service indicators (i.e., drinking water, basic sanitation, and electrification) has increased notably, too, particularly in the rural areas.

Even though the education system has serious weaknesses, it has provided the poor with significant opportunities to improve their living conditions. Several indicators that will be presented below show that there has been remarkable progress in education; for example, a sharp increase in the average number of years of education among the active population. Progress has been higher in coastal regions but this has by and large been shared among the population, including regions such as the centre-west where poverty is concentrated.

The health system has remained basically public, although the private system has undergone a fast development in recent years, as mentioned earlier. With the implementation of the National Funds of Health Insurance in 2007, both rich and poor people have the same access to health services and health policy seems to have translated into better health and social security indicators (see next subsection).

Family planning and birth control programs, which Tunisia embarked on as early as the beginning of the 1960s, in spite of the fact that this was very unusual for a Muslim country, have no doubt played a very important role in moderating population growth over the last three decades, from around 3 percent at the beginning of the 1960s to around 1.1 percent in 2007. This sharp drop in population growth may have had influence on poverty alleviation.

Rural development programs have also been a major component of government policy for the last two decades, providing infrastructure, water supply, electrification, roads, etc. Moreover, direct social transfers have been provided for more than 100,000 households. In 2010, public social expenditures, including direct transfers, accounted for 18 percent of the GDP.

3.3. Evolution of the MDGs over 1990-2005

Tunisia has made considerable progress in achieving MDGs, though it may not be fully ready to meet all targets by 2015 under current public spending policies. Until 2005, progress in achieving the target of reducing maternal mortality by two thirds was being insufficient and here is where the country faces one of its bigest MDG challenges. Areas where progress has on the contrary been remarkable include poverty reduction, universal primary education as measured by the primary completion rate for boys and girls, and child mortality. Progress before the global financing crisis and the political revolution had prompted the government to raise its ambitions to achieve these goals, adding new progress indicators such as the quality of education.

The Tunisian government has aknowledged that progress at the national level is not always matched at the sub-regional level where disparities do exist. While some regions in the country have experienced remarkable strides in development, others are still lagging behind according to the most recent UNDP report available (UNDP, 2004). In order to truly address development issues and alleviate poverty for all Tunisians by 2015, more attention would need to be given to the specific challenges facing each of the individual sub-regions. A review of progress made in achieving various of the MDGs and the prospects to achieve them by 2015 is provided in what follows. Table 6 shows the progress made in achieving various MDGs until 2005—the base year of a CGE analysis presented in section 4—or circa. This progress is compared to the targets set for 2015 in order to indicate if, based on a linear continuation of past trends, Tunisia would seem on track to timely meeting the targets without further policy efforts.

	1990	2005	2015
	(or circa)	(or circa)	target
MDG 1: Poverty headcount ratio (percent of population)			
- National poverty line	6.7	3.8	3.4
- US\$1.25-a-day poverty line (PPP)	5.9	2.5(2000)	3.0
MDG 2: Enrolment rate (percent)			
- Children aged 6 years	96.3	99.2 (2008-09)	100.0
- Children aged between 6 and 11 years	88.1	97.7 (2008-09)	100.0
MDG 4: under-five mortality rate (per 1,000 live births)	37.3	22.1 (2002)	16.6
		18.4 (2007)	
MDG 5: maternal mortality rate (per 100,000 live	74.8	54.8 (2000)	18.7
births)		× ,	
MDG 7a: Access to clean water (percent of population)	75.0	97.8 (2004)	$100.0^{1/}$
MDG 7b: Access to basic sanitation (percent of urban	59.9	70.4 (2002)	$100.0^{1/}$
population)	(1994)	78.3 (2004)	

Table 6 Tunisia: MDG achievement and targets for 2015

¹⁷ A national, full coverage target is used in the table and in the policy scenario analysis as further shown below. The international target of reducing by half the proportion of the population without coverage (from base year) would be 98.9 per cent for clean water and 89.2 percent for basic sanitation in both urban and rural areas.

Source: INS (various publications), World Bank (2007), and UNDP (2004).

MDG 1: Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger

Social achievements and equity are among Tunisia's greatest assets. Not only have these helped ensure internal cohesion but they have also distinguished Tunisia from other countries in the region. Pro-poor economic growth and social policies, along with lower population growth, as indicated earlier, have all translated into an impressive record of poverty reduction over the years. The incidence of extreme poverty (using the national poverty line) went down from 40 percent in 1960 to 12.9 percent by 1980 and further down to 6.7 percent by 1990 (Table 7). This reduction has been possible during a period in which income equality declined—according to the Gini coefficient. However, the rhythm at which extreme poverty incidence had been declining decelerated during the first half of the 1990s when income equality increased. The extreme poverty incidence regained its declining trend between 1995 and 2005 where it reached at its lowest level (3.8 percent). In this period, incomes also became more fairly distributed. Average per capita expenditures for the lowest decile of the population moved closer to mean expenditures for the country as a whole. In absolute terms, the number of poor increased from 600,000 in 1990 to 690,000 in 1995 and fell to 400,000 in 2000 (World Bank, 2003). Nonetheless, many households can be vulnerable to sliding back into poverty as their consumption is closely distributed around the poverty line.

As for extreme poverty using the international US\$1.25 a day poverty line, it went down from 5.9 percent in 1990 to 2.5 percent in 2000, overachieving the 2015 international target of about 3.0 percent as set for MDG 1 in Table 6. Having also been able to reduce extreme poverty to 3.8 percent in 2005, as mesured by the national poverty line (Table 7), a decent economic recovery after the global economy crisis and the revolution, without any marked deterioration in income inequality, would likely take Tunisian to achieve the 3.4 percent national target by 2015 (Tables 6 and 7).

	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005
Extreme poverty incidence						
(percent of the population)						
Urban	11.8	8.4	7.3	7.1	4.9	1.9
Rural	14.1	7.0	5.7	4.9	2.9	7.1
Total	12.9	7.7	6.7	6.2	4.2	3.8
Gini coefficient						
Urban	0.418	0.432	0.374	0.389	0.391	n.a.
Rural	0.412	0.379	0.354	0.353	0.358	n.a.
Total	0.455	0.453	0.401	0.417	0.409	0.400

 Table 7
 Tunisia: Poverty incidence and inequality^{1/}, 1980-2005

¹/ Both poverty incidence and inequality are computed based on consumption per capita data. The national extreme poverty line is used to calculate the poverty incidence. Source: INS.

MDG 2: Achieve universal primary education

The objective of education for all has been consolidated progressively through enourmous efforts since Tunisia's independence. Even during the period in which the SAP was introduced did public spending on education remained of paramount importance. In 2005, the government spent on education the equivalent to 7.3 percent of GDP, which corresponds to 20.8 percent of total government expenditures. government expenditures on education over the period 1990-2007 vary between 6 and 7.5 percent of GDP, and this share stablized at around 7 percent for 2008-09-according to the World Bank's World Development Indicators. However, these efforts have not led to achieving the goal of education for all as the share of students that do not complete the primary cycle, though small, has not declined as much as expected. The adoption of the education reform in July 1991 yielded good results, though, through guarantying 9 years of basic education for all children aged 6 to 16, allowing a reduction in the dropout rate, and increasing schooling of children aged 6 to 12^2 . The enrolment rate for both boys and girls aged 6 passed from around 96.3 percent in 1990-91 to 99 percent in 1999-2000 and 99.2 percent in 2008-09 (Table 6). For children aged 6 to 11, the rate of scholling went up from 88.1 percent in 1990-91 to 97.1 percent in 1999-2000 and 97.7 percent in 2008-09. This basically means repetition and dropout are not seriously afflicting primary completion rates in Tunisia.

In spite of this achievement, the most important setback of this reform seems to be the decline in the quality of education as increasing the quantity of students has been a priority. The illiteracy rate is also still high in Tunisia. According to the last results of the general census on population and housing published in 2005, 22.9 percent of the population aged 10 and over is illiterate against 46.2 percent in 1984 and 31.7 percent in 1994. However, illiteracy in Tunisia is still relatively high among old people. The literacy rate for young aged 15 to 24 is estimated at 94.4 percent in 2004 against 88.2 percent one decade before.

Given observed progress in primary education, Tunisia is on track to achieve the goal of education for all and the country is now improving its education strategy with measures aiming at improving the quality of education through the reinforcement of technical and vocational education training (TVET). However, when a more strict measurement is used for MDG 2, for example, the on-time completion rate for the cohort of children 6 to 11, the picture changes slightly. As further indicated below, additional fiscal efforts would be needed to achieve MDG 2 along this, more strict measure of progress in schooling.

MDG 3: Promote gender equality and empower women

Since independence Tunisian legislation has also helped to ensure the durability and irreversibility of women's acquired rights. Furthermore, these rights have been evolving and adapting constantly to the social changes taking place in the country. Hence, different

² The reform introduced in July 1991 stipulated that "the state guarantees, free of charge, to all school-age children, the right to schooling and the maximum equal opportunity to enjoy this right for as long as they are able to regularly attend their schools according to the regulations in force".

amendments to the personal status code promulgated in 1956 and modified in 1993 have been aimed at expurgating all forms of discrimination against women. Thus, Tunisia is one of the most advanced countries in the region as far as women's rights are concerned. Gender parity is respected in access to health care and education. Currently, the rates of schooling both at primary and secondary as well as tertiary levels do not discriminate girls who in fact account for more than 50 percent of enrolled students.

Moreover, the labour market participation is accesible to both males and females who do receive the same treatment regarding wages and regulations. However, women only make up for 26 percent of the working population, and they tend to be mostly employed by the public sector where their participation is higher than that of males, contrary to what is seen in the private sector.

MDG 4: Reduce child mortality

There has been a significant drop in child mortality over the last decade due to the modernization and coverage of the health system and the various changes occurred in the Tunisian society. The continued improvement in living standards as result of declining poverty has been a major determinant of the reduction in the under-five mortality rate, which has been systematically diminished from 37.3 per 1,000 live births in 1990 to 18.4 in 2007, falling short of achieving the MDG target for merely less than 2 deaths per 1,000 live births (Table 6). By this measure the country is pretty much on track to achieve MDG 4 by 2015, but this will depend on the ability of the country to reduce the remaining disparities between rural and urban areas in terms of child mortality which are still a major concern for policymakers, and for which some extra spending will likely be needed. In fact, child mortality in rural areas is estimated to double that of urban areas.

MDG 5: Reduce maternal mortality

In this field policy has been based on the concept of family planning, which later on evolved into the concept of mother-and-child health care, and which finally included the aspect of reproductive health based on the promotion, prevention and management of women's health in general and the mother's health more in particular. The maternal mortality rate was estimated at 54.8 per 100,000 live births in 2000, having systematically fallen by 20 deaths per 100,000 live births since 1990 (Table 6). But this progress seems to be insufficient to put the country on track in achieving the 18.7 maternal mortality rate by 2015 (that is, reducing this rate by three quarters between 1990 and 2015). According to UNDP (2004), maternal mortality would only reach 33.6 per 100,000 live births in 2015 if past trends would continue and are linearly extrapolated into the future. The main reason behind the lack of progress in reducing maternal mortality is the poor quality of emergency health care and deficient road and transport infrastructure in the rural areas. In fact, many women who hemorrhaged after giving delivery died while waiting to be transfered to a better-equipped hospital while others reach health centers already too late to be saved. Due to these problems, a national strategy to reduce maternal mortality had been set up since 1998. This strategy has made it possible to implement a monitoring system for maternal deaths which has enabled improvement of the regional coverage of health centers for

preventing and reducing maternal mortality, and even so the reduction of maternal mortality continues to be less than required.

MDG 7: Ensure environmental sustainability

With almost 98 percent of the population having access to safe drinking water, Tunisia has made a remarkable improvement towards achieving full coverage, moving up from only 75 percent in 1990 (Table 6). The country is pretty much on track to achieve this MDG (7a) but to ensure that such will be the case will depend on the rapidity and efficiency of ongoing investmens to improve the water distribution network in defavored regions³ and getting illegal urbanization under control in both urban and rural areas. Tunisia's government has seriously adopted development strategies at its regions' level to improve the living conditions of its population, including through better access to basic public services such as drinking water, electrification, health services, sanitation and education. According to the population census for 2004, 97.8 percent of the total population had access to safe drinking water against only 84.6 percent for the rural population. In fact, official statistics confirm that disparities still preval among areas and regions of the country with 10 percent of the population leaving in the North West and Centre West having no access to drinking water.

Regarding the share of the population with access to the a basic sanitation network, official statistics confirm a considerable improvement in this area at the national level from 59.9 percent in 1994 to 78.3 percent in 2004 (Table 6). But achieving this MDG (7b) will be more challenging for Tunisia, considering that by 2004 there was still a gap of nearly 11 percent of the population without basic sanitation. And the problem is that there are still considerable regional variations. Coverage of the service varies from 10 percent in some cities of the South to 96.3 percent in the governorate of Tunis. The future progress to meet the target will depend also on ongoing investments that are expected to extend the sanitation network. It is worth noting that the connection to a sanitation network is costly and depends on the proximity of the existing network to a given private residence. For this reason, many households prefer to wait until the number of private residences is large enough to reduce the cost of getting connected to a sanitation network and it is only then that they do request it. Thus, the achievement of this goal depends also on urbanization policies and the awarness that may be created among households about the health-related importance of taking part of a sanitation network.

In all, Tunisia will most likely have to scale up public policies to close some existing MDG gaps that, though small, their financing may be costly. The challenges to achieve MDG 5 seem to be particularly staggering. Up to 2009, countercyclical public policies had enabled the country to remain afloat in achieving the MDGs during the global financing crisis. But both GDP growth and public spending are expected to decrease in

³ These investments come as part of the ongoing economic and social development plan (2010-14), which the government is implementing through its two concerned public enterprises (ONAS and SONEDE) as well as the Solidarity Fund.

2010-11 as a result of the political revolution. So there also remains to be seen how past progress achieved have been affected by the political crisis.

4. Policy scenario analysis

A series of scenarios are evaluated in this section to answer the key questions of this paper; clearly, what has been the impact of the political crisis on the MDGs? The second question is whether under a foreseen economic recovery the country would be expected to achieve the MDGs should it be able to continue with the countercyclical public policies seen before the political crises. The mobilization of resources to finance new public spending could face constraints, though. The economy may not be healthy enough to generate the required level of incomes and savings. Externally, lenders and investors may sense political uncertainties as risky which leaves the country at the mercy of international donors. How much additional public spending would be needed to achieve the MDGs, and how this could be financed without triggering unfavourable macro-economic trade-offs to the economy at large would be a third key question. Finally, what would happen should the countercyclical public policies seen before the political crises not be continued under a foreseen economic recovery path?

4.1. Modelling framework

The MAMS framework is used to address these questions. This is a dynamic-recursive CGE model which is described in length in Lofgren and Diaz-Bonilla (2010). The use of a CGE model is justified to the extent that the pursuit of a strategy towards the achievement of the MDGs will likely have strong effects throughout the economy. Such strategy would undoubtedly affect demand and supply in the different markets (goods and services, factors and foreign exchange), and the related adjustments may imply important trade-offs throughout the period for achieving the MDGs. MAMS, in particular, also takes into consideration the possible synergies between the different goals. Such synergies may influence the required expansion of services (for example, greater coverage of drinking water supply may reduce the need for health service expansion) or the speed at which the various MDGs are achieved.

The strategy adopted to finance the required public spending would also affect the outcomes. For example, foreign financing may induce real exchange rate effects while financing through domestic taxes could reduce private consumption demand, among other things, and domestic borrowing could crowd out credit resources for private investment. Tunisian policymakers could thus face important trade-offs depending on the financing of MDG achievement and the space they would have to scale up public spending. No doubt increased public spending is essential for achieving the MDGs, but adjustments in the real exchange rate, real wages and other relative prices may increase the unit costs for achieving the MDGs along with the costs for other sectors, or discourage exports, thereby widening the external deficit that needs to be financed, and so on. The productivity gains from greater MDG achievement will take some time to materialize and are thus unlikely to impact growth visibly in the short and medium terms. Therefore, it is critical that short-run trade-offs not offset potential economic and social gains in the longer run.

The MAMS framework has been built from a fairly standard CGE framework with dynamic-recursive features but incorporates a special module which specifies the main determinants of MDG achievement and the direct impact of enhanced public expenditures on MDG-related infrastructure and services (see Lofgren and Diaz-Bonilla, 2010). MAMS considers specific targets for achieving universal primary education (MDG 2), reducing under-five and maternal mortality (MDGs 4 and 5) and increasing access to safe water and basic sanitation (MDGs 7a and 7b). In the case of MDG 2, the primary completion rate is the indicator used for universal primary education, and this is constructed by the model using data on student behaviour (enrolment, repetition, graduation). A target is set for completion on time, without repetition, for the relevant country-specific age cohort for primary school. Student behaviour, in turn, depends on the quality of education (service delivery per student), income incentives (the expected wage premium from education), the under-five mortality rate (a proxy for the health status of the potential student population), household consumption per capita (a proxy for the capacity to pay for education and for opportunity costs) and the level of public infrastructure (a proxy for the effective distance to school). Under-five and maternal mortality are considered to be determined by the availability of public and private health services per capita, household consumption per capita, the level of public infrastructure (a proxy for the effective distance to health centres and hospitals), and the coverage of water and sanitation services. Access to water and sanitation, on the other hand, depends on household consumption per capita, the provision of such services by public or private providers and the level of public infrastructure.

The effectiveness of the determinants of MDG achievement follows a non-linear pattern. Logistic functions for the "production" of social services are generated in such way that each determinant becomes relatively less effective as progress towards the 2015 target is made. These social services may be provided publicly or privately; nonetheless, it is only new government investment and current expenditures that will lead to a policy-driven increase in the supply of MDG-related services and public infrastructure. For this to take place, the government has to mobilize sufficient domestic or foreign resources to finance those new investments and expenditures.

In the below we tried to answer the above-posed questions by running and analysing a number of alternative policy scenarios with the application of MAMS with a Tunisian dataset. These policy scenarios are compared to a baseline scenario, which aims to replicate observed performance and policy stance in recent years. The common denominator in each of these policy scenarios is that—unlike in the baseline—MDG spending is scaled up in such a way that MDGs 2, 4, 5 and 7 are achieved by 2015.⁴ All these MDG scenarios are performed under alternative financing rules, that is to say, the required increase in public spending is financed through, alternatively, increased foreign grant aid, foreign borrowing, domestic borrowing or taxation. These scenarios allow us to assess the MDG-related spending requirements and macroeconomic trade-offs by

⁴ There are two kinds of policy scenarios: one simulates the achievement of each MDG target separately (or two simultaneously, as in the case of the health or the sanitation goals), whereas in the other, public spending is scaled up as much as required to ensure the simultaneous achievement of all MDG targets—excluding that of poverty reduction. We focus on the second kind of scenarios in this paper.

comparing results of different MDG achievement and financing settings and those of the baseline scenario.

4.2. Model calibration

The accounting consistency and framework of MAMS and its main structural parameters are provided by a Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) with some of the following especial features: (i) separate accounts for public and private activities/commodities for education (by cycle), health, water and sanitation, and other public infrastructure are used; (ii) capital stocks are included for each government activity—to which the national accounts attribute operating surplus; (iii) domestic and foreign interest payments are separated from institutional transfers; (iv) savings and capital accounts are specified for each institution, including the rest of the world; (v) in addition to investment demand, investment by sector of destination is also specified; and, (vi) labour is classified by educational level . In the particular case of Tunisia, the SAM was built for 2005, using official national accounts data from the Central Bank and Tunisian Employment Survey from the National Institute of Statistics.

Two-Stage Least Squares (TSLS) and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) were used to estimate an import-demand function by commodity, an export-supply function by commodity, and demand functions for factors and intermediate goods by activity, in order to estimate the elasticities of substitution (and transformation) used in the model, using time series for 1972-2006 for quantity data and price deflators from the Central Bank of Tunisia, following specifications used in Sánchez (2004). Also, a commodity-based expenditure demand function was also estimated to calibrate the Linear Expenditure System (LES) of the model, using 6,000 observations from National Institute of Statistics' Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES) for 2005.⁵ After applying some needed fine-tuning to the initial estimates, the elasticity values used for the calibration of the model's constant-elasticity of substitution and transformation functions and the expenditure system are presented in Appendix A1. Using OLS and a time series for 1971-2007, the elasticity of savings with respect to per-capita income was estimated to be about 0.95—after controlling for a dummy variable in 1974 (oil shock), an autoregressive and a constant variable. A value of as low as 0.0006 was used for the elasticity of TFP with respect to trade openness, based on the study of Chaffai et al. (2006). In the absence of data for government capital stocks, historical government investment data from the Central Bank of Tunisia, a depreciation rate of about 2.5 percent—as used in Agénor et al. (2005) for government capital in education, health, infrastructure-and a net profit rate of about

⁵ Both the construction of the SAM and the estimation of substitution and expenditure elasticities were carried out at the initial stages of the project for which this paper has been prepared, by Moncef Youzbachi and Nidhal Ben Cheikh at that moment from, respectively, the Ministry of Development and International Cooperation, and Jameleddine Boumedie from the Tunisian Superior Institute of Statistics and Information Analysis (ESSAI). The SAM suffered minor adjustments by the authors of this paper to update some macroeconomic balances and make it more suitable for the calibration of MAMS. The SAM and the estimation results for the elasticities are available upon request to the authors of this paper. Using data for 1970-2007 from World Development Indicators (WDI) from the World Bank, and the specification that *frisch* = -36 · $ypc^{-0.36}$ (where *ypc* stands for income per capita), the Frisch parameter for household LES demand was estimated to be equal to -3.1.

16 percent—as found by Nehru and Dhareshwar (1993) for developing countries—were used to construct base-year government stock data.⁶

Base-year employment by labour type and activity, unemployment by type of labour, and labour force participation rates were obtained from the Tunisian Employment Survey for various years. Population data for different cohorts were compiled from the United Nations Population Division Database. All other information on national accounts—including GDP and government consumption growth, fiscal accounts, and domestic and foreign debt flows and stocks—was obtained from Tunisia's Central Bank.

The calibration of the MDG module of MAMS turned out to be more cumbersome due to lack of data. MDG indicators and 2015 targets used in MAMS are consistent with those presented in Table 6. All education related data (enrolment, repetition, graduation) used to construct the indicator associated with MDG 2 were compiled using NIS's data (for primary and secondary) and the Ministry of Education (for tertiary). In this application of MAMS with Tunisian data, primary education comprises only 6 grades, whereas secondary and tertiary include, respectively, 7 and 3 grades. In other words, the new definition whereby the first cycle is basic education and comprises 9 grades is not being used. Targeting getting almost all boys and girls in the age cohort to entry and pass all grades of basic education, without repetition, would be overambitious given the relatively short time span from 2005 (the base year of the model) to 2015 (the target year of the MDGs).

Two additional key pieces of information were used to calibrate the MDG module in MAMS and, more specifically, the logistic functions from which MDG indicators are generated, including for education. On the one hand are the so-called MDG scenario parameters which serve as starting points that help identify a projected outcome for each MDG indicator for an expected year (2010 for MDG 2 given the primary cycle last 6 years and 2015 for all other goals) given a set of values for determinants under which—it is believed—the projected outcome could be achieved. The elasticities of the MDGs with respect to its determinants are the other piece of information. All this information is also useful in the model to provide the logistic functions with an inflection point from which returns to sector-specific spending—and other determinants—begin to decrease. The model recalculates the initial elasticities in consistency with the shape of the logistic functions.

To estimate the MDG scenario parameters we relied heavily on unit cost estimates and financing gap studies obtained from World Bank (2003), for water and sanitation; the World Bank's Road Costs Knowledge System (*ROCKS*) database, for public infrastructure; and the World Health Organization (WHO), for mortality rates. The student behaviour related determinants are estimated using the data from the UNESCO database. We found that public spending per student would barely have to change to achieve the MDGs and this is consistent with the progress shown by completion rates that are publicly

⁶ It is assumed that over a period of 5 years investment spending has permitted the capital stock to grow at the same real rate as the production of government services using the capital stock in question. On the basis of this assumption, it is possible to derive capital stocks for the initial year (5 years ago) and the base year.

available (and the trend for MDG 2 that the model generates, as will be seen below). Health spending per-capita would have to be about 43 percent larger between 2005 and 2015 to achieve MDGs 4 and 5, whereas spending in water and sanitation in 2015 would have to be about 23 percent above the value observed in 2005—likely due to existing gaps, especially in the rural areas. Lastly, we found that other public infrastructure—not directly linked to education, health, and water and sanitation—would have to increase by about 19 percent from 2005 and 2015 in order to achieve the MDGs under study. All these—partial equilibrium or sectoral—requirements are taken and reestimated by MAMS in a general equilibrium setting.

Neither data were available to estimate the elasticities of the MDG indicators with respect to the set of determinants nor no study for Tunisia reports useful parameter values to the knowledge of the authors of this paper. To try and overcome this limitation, elasticities estimated for Yemen and Jordan and other developing countries (as reported in Sánchez and Sbrana, 2009ab; Sbrana, 2009; Lofgren, 2010; Kaldewei and Pitterle, 2011) were used as starting points. Through sensitivity analysis these initial elasticity values were adjusted until, given all other information that was being used for the calibration, a continuation of the trend shown by MDG indicators since around the year 2000 was being reproduced. In the case of MDG 2, as will be seen in the below, the model constructs a primary completion rate close to 98 percent for boys and girls aged between 6 and 11, equating this to reported enrolment rates, thus assuming that repetition and drop-out rates are nil in Tunisia. This may be a strong assumption in the way the model is being calibrated but difficult to be otherwise in view of a lack of official data on primary completion rates.

4.3. Baseline scenarios

Main assumptions

Taking real values of 2005, three alternative baseline scenarios were generated for Tunisia once the model was fully calibrated and solved. The so-called "countercyclical" and "procyclical" baseline scenarios assume observed growth rates for both GDP and government consumption in 2006-10. They also project a marked GDP growth deceleration in 2011 owing mostly to the political crisis and, subsequently, a recovery of GDP at a rate of 5.5 percent per annum by the end of the simulation period (Figure 1). These two scenarios also show that economic growth was already on the decline since 2008 when the global financing crisis erupted but this crisis barely affected the MDGs during 2008-9 because public spending grew by 4.6 and 7 percent. Both scenarios, then, include the effects of the global economic and political crises. The difference between these two scenarios is in 2010-15: government consumption grows at the GDP growth rate in the pro-cyclical scenario, whereas the countercyclical scenario assumes government consumption continues to grow by 7 percent, as seen in 2009. Analysis of the countercyclical baseline scenario enables us to respond to how far the government would get in terms of MDG achievement by 2015, should its spending continue to increase at the pace of 2009. What

gaps the country would face if government spending rather follows the GDP cycle become apparent when the pro-cyclical and the countercyclical scenarios are compared.

A third, so-called "pre-crisis" baseline scenario is generated to determine what impact recent political turmoil may have had on the MDGs under certain modelling assumptions. For this scenario the GDP growth rate of 2010 (that is, 3.8 percent), before Tunisians witnessed the adverse effects on the political crisis, is maintained for 2011-12. GDP grows as in the "crisis" scenarios between 2013 and 2015. Government spending is assumed to trend as in the countercyclical baseline scenario. To gauge the effects of the political crisis on the MDGs, a comparison between the pre-crisis and the countercyclical scenarios is made. This implicitly assumes that the impact of the political crisis on the MDGs is fundamentally transmitted through GDP growth—which is expected to affect, for example, household consumption per capita, a key determinant of the MDGs. Again, government spending would not be a transmission mechanism of the political crisis because it is being assumed that continues to grow at the pace observed for 2009.

Figure 1 Tunisia: Growth rate of real GDP and government consumption in alternative baseline scenarios, 2006-15 (Percent)



Source: Central Bank of Tunisia and authors' assumptions based on a plausible scenario for 2011-15.

Notice that both real GDP growth and real government consumption growth are being imposed on the three baseline scenarios. Real GDP growth is merely imposed through a calibration procedure, adjusting productivity, but GDP is fully endogenous in the model.⁷ This means that for the pre-crisis baseline scenario, in particular, we are simply

⁷ GDP growth is driven by factor stocks and efficiency (endogenous and exogenous) parameters, investment and depreciation for the different capital types, by a combination of demographic factors and the functioning of the educational system for the different labour categories, and by an exogenous growth rate for natural resources used in some sectors. The aggregate growth trajectory is imposed through the production function.

assuming that the effects of the political crisis take place through productivity losses, without exogenously updating any other parameter. Productivity losses affect the level of production and, as a result, factor employment and household income and consumption per capita—the later being one of the key determinants of the MDGs. In the case of government spending, it is assumed that consumption and other components of recurrent spending grow at a given rate per annum, which is part of the closure rules of the model. Government investment spending depends on the demand for capital in the public services sector and this, in turn, depends on government consumption.

Other macroeconomic closure rules used to generate the baseline scenarios are as follows. Government investment spending is covered through current savings and fixed levels of borrowing (domestic and external) and tax rates. Any remaining imbalances are covered by foreign transfers from abroad (i.e., foreign aid) which basically assume their true value as the calibration of the model entailed imposing observed trends for the levels of borrowing and tax revenues. The real exchange rate adjusts to clear the current account of the balance of payments. Private savings rates adjusts such that private investment equals total savings given a fixed ratio of private investment to GDP.

The model distinguishes three types of workers: those who have not completed secondary education (unskilled), those with at least completed secondary education (semi-skilled), and those who have completed some degree in tertiary education (skilled). In all of the scenarios, if the unemployment rate by type of worker exceeds a minimum unemployment rate, the real wage (with respect to the consumer price index) is equivalent to a "reservation wage" such that the market reaches equilibrium through adjustments in the unemployment rate is at the minimum rate, the labour market reaches equilibrium through adjustments in the real wage. For other factors (capital and natural resources), equilibrium is given by flexible wages (or rents).

The baseline scenarios reflect the actual aggregate functioning of the Tunisian economy during the period 2006-10 and project this through 2015—based on existing data available for the preparation of this paper. Take, for example, some results of the procyclical baseline scenario (Table 8). The real exchange rate appreciates on average by 0.6 percent per annum in the baseline, in consistency with more spending in non-tradables (clearly, government consumption), though it is worth mentioning that the actual trend of the real exchange rate is not being reproduced as world prices are not updated due to lack of information. As a result of this, exports fall as a percentage of GDP whereas imports remain on the increase—and foreign savings become larger relative to GDP. In consistency with past trends, government debts decline over time. Tax revenue is assumed

The model is first run assuming that the quantity of value added (that is, real GDP at factor cost) is exogenous while the exogenous component of the efficiency parameter temporarily becomes endogenous. In this run, the observed trajectory of real GDP is imposed on the quantity of value added and this is achieved by proportionally scaling the efficiency parameter for all production activities. This run becomes the starting point to solve the model again and generate the baseline scenario, assuming that the quantity of value added is endogenous and the exogenous component of the efficiency parameter remains exogenous, though this is exogenously set to vary yearly to enable reproduction of the observed trajectory of aggregate real GDP growth.

to remain at around 22 percent after 2010. Foreign transfers to the government increase with respect to the base year to clear the government budget.

	2005	2010	2015
National accounts			
Consumption – private	63.4	69.3	72.6
Consumption - government	15.7	16.6	17.3
Investment – private	12.9	12.9	12.9
Investment - government	9.6	10.0	10.2
Exports	50.0	39.8	34.6
Imports	-50.6	-48.5	-47.6
Government			
Foreign debt	53.9	39.0	31.9
Domestic debt	2.8	1.7	1.4
Tax revenue	18.7	21.9	21.9
Foreign transfers	0.8	5.1	4.9

Table 8Tunisia: Selected macroeconomic and government indicators for the pro-
cyclical baseline scenario, 2005-15 (Percent of GDP)

Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

Will the MDGs be achieved under a continuation of public spending policies?

If public consumption in 2010-15 continues to grow at the relatively high pace seen in 2009 (that is, 7 percent per annum), and public investment follows suit, as assumed under the countercyclical baseline scenario, progress in achieving the MDGs would be notable but Tunisia would fall short of meeting the targets for primary education, mortality rates, and water and sanitation coverage by 2015 (Figure 2). The main gaps would be seen for maternal mortality and the coverage of basic sanitation, and these would be slightly higher under the public spending assumptions of the pro-cyclical baseline scenario. The comparison of the MDG indicator trends of the countercyclical baseline scenario and those of the pre-crisis baseline scenario show that the impact of the political crisis on the MDGs has been modest, as it is being assumed that public spending would in any case have continued to grow at a relatively high pace without the political crisis. Also, the differences between these two scenarios is small in terms of the MDGs, because GDP growth, which is 4.2 percent in the countercyclical baseline scenario, is only 0.3 percentage points higher when we assumed a situation without political crisis (Table 9). As a consequence, employment is slightly higher and this boots household income and spending moderately. As said, the later is one of the determinants of the MDGs. Private consumption grows by 5.9 and 6.3 percent per annum under the countercyclical and pre-crisis baseline scenarios, respectively. But even though the MDG indicators show very small variations under both scenarios, as further shown below, such small differences have implications in terms of the additional public spending that would be required to meet the MDG targets.



Figure 2 Tunisia: MDG achievement under the alternative baseline scenarios, 2005-15 (MDG indicators and 2015 targets)

Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

Table 9Tunisia: GDP, consumption and investment in the baseline scenarios, 2006-
15 (Percentage annual growth)

	countercyclical	pro-cyclical	pre-crisis
Consumption – private	5.9	5.9	6.3
Consumption – government	6.1	4.3	6.1
Fixed investment – private	5.1	4.8	5.4
Fixed investment - government	7.8	5.3	7.7
GDP at market prices	4.2	4.3	4.5

Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

4.4. MDG-financing scenarios

Main assumptions

The MDG-financing scenarios were generated to make comparisons with therespective—baseline scenario. In these new scenarios, public spending increases as much as required to meet the targets for primary education, mortality rates, and water and sanitation. To achieve this, some of the closure rules and conditions of the baseline scenarios change. Government spending by MDG sector becomes endogenous and no longer increases at a given growth rate, as in the baseline scenarios. The model remains fully determined (that is, the number of endogenous variables is exactly equal to the number of equations) by assuming that the corresponding MDG indicator is exogenous and follows a non-linear trend that, given diminishing marginal returns to variations in the MDG determinants, leads to fully meeting the targets by 2015. In both baseline and MDG scenarios one financing variable clears the government budget, while three other remain fixed and are updated depending on a rule (for example, a percentage of GDP can be imposed exogenously on a year-on-year basis). Foreign transfers from abroad (the equivalente to grand aid) clear the government budget in the baseline scenarios, as indicated. This rule remains unchanged under the MDG scenarios whereby transfers from abroad also finance the additional MDG public spending. It does however change when the financing mechanism alternatively is domestic borrowing, domestic taxation or foreign borrowing in which case one of these three becomes the clearing variable of the budget. Another change in these scenarios-with respect to the baselines-is that the model becomes savings-driven; that is, private investment adjusts endogenously, even as a share of GDP, to maintain balance between total savings and total investment.

How much would it cost to achieve the MDGs?

In the MDG-financing scenarios, public spending (current and capital) increases endogenously right after the base year of the simulation period, in order to achieve the MDGs for primary education, child and maternal mortality, and water and sanitation. In the case of Tunisia's MAMS, the base year is 2005 such that public spending scales up starting in 2006. For this reason, in this paper the additional public spending required to achieve the MDGs includes what Tunisia's government should have spent but did not spent in 2006-10 to have been fully on track in meeting the MDG targets. To this we add the additional spending the government will have to incur in 2011-15 to meet the targets.⁸ Foreign transfers to the government (ftr), domestic direct taxation (tax), foreign borrowing (fb) and domestic borrowing (db) are the financing mechanisms under study and additional public spending required to achieve the MDGs can be much or less depending on the choice of these financing mechanisms.

⁸ The additional public spending requirement to achieve the MDGs is defined as the public spending on MDG-related sectors in the MDG-financing scenarios minus the public spending on MDG-related sectors in the corresponding baseline scenario. Annual averages for the additional public spending requirement for 2006-10 and 2011-15 are calculated and subsequently added.

As can be seen in Table 10, the mortality goals would be the most costly to finance (more than 3 percent of GDP per annum), followed by the primary education goal. This is consistent with the earlier analysis in which MDG gaps were presented to be widest for maternal mortality. Taking the assumptions of the countercyclical baseline scenario as our first benchmark, public spending would need to increase between 5.7 to 6.2 percent of GDP per annum in order to achieve the MDGs under study. New spending requirements would be little more than 2 percent of GDP for investment, thus reflecting the deficient state of infrastructure in the social sectors.

The cost of financing the MDGs is about 1.5 percent of GDP higher under the assumptions of the pro-cyclical baseline scenario. This may be taken as an indication that a continued effort to maintaining countercyclical spending may pay off in comparison to what the government would have to spend later at an incremental marginal cost, if it disrupted spending when GDP was on the decline. How feasible it would be for the government to maintain countercyclical spending is the other question that one needs to ask. The other finding is that, in terms of additional public spending required to achieve the MDGs, the effect of the revolution would be very modest (that is, around 0.4 percent of GDP per annum) if public spending continued to be countercyclical. If Tunisia's government cannot maintain pre-crisis MDG spending levels, and public spending rather falls in 2011 and recovers in 2012 in tandem with GDP, as assumed in this scenario analysis, the political crisis would make the government incur almost 2 percent of GDP per annum more in spending to meet the MDGs. Again, it seems that a good strategy to minimize the future cost of achieving the MDGs would be to maintain high public spending growth now in spite of the economic problems that the political crisis may have caused. However, the mobilization of resources to finance such high countercyclical spending may face constraints that one needs to keep in mind, as further explained below.

	Co	ounter	cyclic	al	I	Pro-cy	clical			Pre-c	risis	
	ftr	tax	fb	db	ftr	tax	fb	db	ftr	tax	fb	db
Primary education	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.5	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8
- current	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4
- capital	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
Health	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.9	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.3
- current	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.5	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.1
- capital	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.2
Water and sanitation	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8
- current	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
- capital	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Total	5.7	6.1	5.7	6.2	7.1	7.6	7.1	7.8	5.3	5.7	5.3	5.9
- current	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.9	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.6	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.7
- capital	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.2

Table 10Tunisia: Additional annual public spending requirements to meet the
MDG targets in financing scenarios¹⁷ (deviation from baseline scenario,
percent of GDP)

^{1/} Additional public spending and acronyms are defined in the text.

Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

Macroeconomic trade-offs of MDG financing

In all MDG-financing scenarios the injection of public spending triggers a real exchange rate appreciation (Table 11). This is consistent with the fact that for low- and even middleincome countries like Tunisia, large-scale investments for the achievement of the MDGs could meet severe skilled-labour constraints in the short-to-medium run, in spite of the high levels of education of the population. Public expenditures centred on meeting the MDGs in the form of expanding basic social services in health and education would put intense pressure on a pool of teachers, doctors and other trained workers that is likely to be limited. Constraints on skilled labour could then lead to upward pressure on the skill premium for such workers, which in turn would increase the overall labour costs for the public sector and the cost of achieving the MDGs.⁹ As a result, "non-tradables" such as MDG-related services become relatively more expensive than "tradables" as public spending is scaled up and this translates into a real exchange rate appreciation. This penalizes export sectors regardless of the financing mechanism of public spending, which in the case of Tunisia affects GDP growth, though this trade off is more marked when foreign resources are used owing to the associated inflow of foreing exchange (Table 11). In the MDG-financing scenarios exports grow less than in the baseline and only when foreign resources are used to finance the new public spending would imports increase slightly more than in the baseline (that is, merely 0.1 percentage points per annum). As a result, trade volumes in the MDG-financing scenarios increase at a lower pace compared to the baseline scenario. In MAMS, trade openness is represented by the ratio of trade volumes to GDP and is one of the determinants of factor productivity. Thus, the deceleration of GDP growth in the MDG-financing scenarios is also explained by a reduction in factor productivity relative to the baseline. Higher factor employment in the MDG sectors is insufficient to revert the reduction in GDP.

GDP growth is slightly less, though, when the new public spending is financed through domestic borrowing or increased tax revenues as these financing mechanisms also penalize private spending. On one hand, borrowing domestically implies the government takes a (larger) share of private savings to finance the budget, thus crowding out private investment and, as a result, private consumption and imports. On the other hand, increased taxation reduces the amount of disposable income households can spend to consume which subsequently reflects also in reduced private spending and imports. Due to the macroeconomic trade-offs it triggers, the MDG strategy cannot be expected to bring about a stimulus to the economy in the short term. Moreover, as said earlier, the productivity gains from greater MDG achievement will take some time to materialize and are thus unlikely to impact growth visibly in the short and medium terms. Not only would GDP growth be less than in the baseline and foreign-financing scenarios but the reduction in private spending in education and health services in the domestic-financing scenarios would have to be fully compensated by even more public spending to meet the MDG targets. Domestic borrowing mainly, but also increased taxation to a lesser extent, would

⁹ In fact, it was noted that for the highly skilled workers wage growth is about 1 percentage point higher in the MDG financing scenarios compared to the baseline scenarios. Wage growth is only slightly higher for the other, less skillful workers, and it is actually less for the unskilled workers in the scenarios with domestic borrowing and increased taxation where GDP growth decelerates, as further explained below.

push up public spending requirements to meet the MDGs in a range of 0.4 to 0.7 percent of GDP per annum (see Table 10).

	C	Countercyclical				Pro-cyclical					Pre-crisis			
	ftr	tax	fb	db	_	ftr	tax	fb	db	ft	r	tax	fb	db
Absorption	0.2	-0.2	0.2	-0.2		0.3	-0.3	0.3	-0.3	().2	-0.2	0.2	-0.2
Consumption - private	0.1	-0.5	0.1	-0.6		0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.9	().1	-0.5	0.1	-0.6
Consumption - government	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4		2.0	2.2	2.0	2.2	1	.3	1.4	1.3	1.4
Fixed investment - private	-0.1	-0.4	-0.1	-0.3		-0.1	-0.5	-0.1	-0.4	-().1	-0.4	-0.1	-0.3
Fixed investment – government ^{1/}	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.9		1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.0
Exports	-1.2	-1.0	-1.2	-0.8		-1.7	-1.4	-1.7	-1.0	-]	.1	-1.0	-1.1	-0.8
Imports	0.1	-0.4	0.1	-0.5		0.0	-0.8	0.0	-0.7	().1	-0.4	0.1	-0.5
GDP at market prices	-0.2	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3		-0.2	-0.4	-0.2	-0.3	-().2	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3
Total factor employment (index)	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	().1	0.0	0.1	0.0
Total factor productivity (index)	-0.2	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3		-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-().2	-0.3	-0.2	-0.3
Real exchange rate (index)	-0.5	-0.3	-0.5	-0.2		-0.6	-0.2	-0.6	-0.2	-().5	-0.2	-0.5	-0.2

Table 11Tunisia: Real macroeconomic indicators in MDG-financing scenarios,
2006-15 (deviation from baseline scenario, percentage annual growth)

^{1/} Government fixed investment was calculated for two sub-periods: 2006-8, when capital spending is frontloaded to raise capital stocks in MDG sectors, and 2009-15, when capital stocks in MDG sectors do not have to increase as much as they are already sufficient for the government to deliver required MDG services. The difference between the annual growth rates of government fixed investment for these sub-periods is presented in the table for government fixed investment.

Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

Feasibility of MDG financing

Not only would domestic borrowing or increased taxation be less desirable financing mechanisms in view of the relatively higher public spending requirements, but they would unlikely be feasible options for Tunisia. Domestic resources would not easily be mobilized to finance MDG public spending given already low savings that will perhaps be even lower under the expectation that growth would decelerate substantially in 2011 and the economy would start to recover only at a moderate pace in 2012. During this biennium unemployment will likely reach dramatically high levels, particularly for the youth, and remittances' inflows will unlikely be increasing. Furthermore, the domestic debt is fairly small as a percentage of GDP, which is not only as a result of fiscal objectives but also an indication that Tunisia's domestic bond market may not be developed enough to allocate domestic savings in amounts that are commensurate to the financing of development goals.

Tax revenue was almost 20 percent of GDP in 2009 (Table 3). Not only would this tax burden be considered relatively high for developing country standards but it has also difficult to raise it. From 1995 to 2009 tax collection has essentially remained unchanged as percent of GDP. Against this backdrop, further increases of the tax burden in the midst of forming and consolidating a new political regime and trying to recover economic

growth would most likely be politically infeasible and economically undesirable. Improving tax collection should be a priority but achieving this will require some time.

According to the simulation results, tax revenue would need to increase by more than 6 percentage points of GDP by 2015, in order for the government to be able to finance the new MDG spending under the tax financing scenario that takes the countercyclical baseline as the benchmark (Table 12). As also measured for the new MDG spending (Table 11), this accounts for both the extent to which tax revenue should have increased—but did not increase—in 2006-10 in order to have fully financed the newly required MDG spending, and the tax revenue that the government would additional have to raise to continue financing MDG spending until the targets are met by 2015. Tax revenue would even have to be about 4 percentage points of GDP higher if public spending declines in tanden with GDP in 2011—as assumed under the pro-cyclical baseline scenario, since the MDG gaps to finance under this scenario would be more pronounced.

These are macroeconomic constraints that Tunisia would likely face if the government decides to finance newly required MDG spending through domestic borrowing or increased taxation. As explained above, these are financing options that would also curtail private spending in MDG-related sectors, making the government incur more MDG spending compared to a situation where financing is through resources from abroad.

	Tax revenue	Foreign aid
	(tax financing scenario)	(ftr financing scenario)
Countercyclical baseline	6.4	6.4
Pro-cyclical baseline	10.6	8.7
Pre-crisis baseline	6.1	6.2

Table 12Tunisia: Additional annual foreign aid and tax revenue required to achieve
the MDGs in the corresponding MDG financing scenario,1/ 2011-15
(deviation from baseline scenario, percent of GDP)

^{1/} Additional foreign aid and tax revenue requirements are defined in the text. Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

Tunisia will unavoidably have to rely on foreign resources to reconstruct its political system, spur economic growth and finance development goals. Given the political changes this country is going through, which after constitutional amendments could pave the way for a full-blown election, and the good signs that economic growth may pick up steam in 2012, the international donor community has already pledged help.

According to the simulation results, following the same kind of estimation of additional financing requirements used for tax revenue, Tunisia would also need little more than 6 percent of GDP per annum in foreign aid to be able to finance public spending in primary education, health, and water and sanitation, in order to meet the targets by 2015 (Table 12). This public spending is assumed to be countercyclical meaning that, as

simulated, failure to sustain public spending for MDG sectors from 2011 would likely widen MDG gaps and increase the cost of financing the MDGs which tends to be incremental in marginal terms the closer to 2015. In fact, taking the conditions of the procyclical baseline scenario as the benchmark, we find that almost 9 percent of GDP per annum would be the amount of foreign aid required to be able to finance public spending and meet the MDGs by 2015. This would put required foreign aid to achieve the MDGs under study in the range of US\$2.7 to US\$3.9 billion per annum.¹⁰ In late May 2011 the G8 group promised US\$20 billion to Tunisia and Egypt in loans and grants over the next three years. The first tranche, of which several billions would presumably go to Tunisia, would be disbursed during the second semester of 2011. It would then be quite feasible for Tunisia to achieve the MDGs under study should this help materialize and if part of this is directed to MDG sectors in the next four years.

Non-concessional loans and other borrowing from abroad would on the contrary be at the cost of accumulating debt, but it is perhaps the only other feasible option for Tunisia to mobilize resources for MDG financing-along with using receipts from selling confiscated assets belonging to members of the ousted government, as further indicated below. Following the trend of 2005-09, the government debt is on the decline in the baseline scenarios and it levels off above 30 percent by 2015 (Figure 3). The government debt would increase to almost 62 percent of GDP under the foreign borrowing scenario that takes the countercyclical spending assumption as the reference path. This would not be such unfeasible financing mechanism if the government could live with a debt 6 percentage points of GDP higher than in 2005. It can be seen that under the assumption of pro-cyclical spending, for which we already gauged the additional public spending required to achieve the MDGs, government debt would be slightly higher compared to the countercyclical baseline scenario, reaching almost 74 percent of GDP in 2015. In other words, waiting for GDP growth to catch up again in order to resume MDG public spending would not only widen MDG gaps but also, as it becomes more costly to achieve the MDGs towards the end of the simulation period, it would put the debt burden under unsustainable territory. The upshot here is that countercyclical spending reduces the cost of the MDG financing strategy not only in terms of new public spending required but also in terms of debt accumulation, compared to a situation where public spending follows GDP downturns that increase the government efforts towards the end of the simulation period. Finally, the simulation results also show that government indebtedness would have needed to increase by only 3 percentage points of GDP by 2015, compared to 2005, if the political crisis—as simulated here-had not unfolded.

¹⁰ Estimates are based on additional foreign aid requirements presented in Table 12 and GDP at current prices (US\$44.3 billion) as recorded in the July 2011 update of IMF's World Economic Outlook.

Figure 3 Tunisia: Government debt in the baseline and foreign-borrowing (fb) scenarios, 2005, 2009, 2015 (Percent of GDP)



Source: Authors' estimates based on application of MAMS for Tunisia.

5. Conclusions and policy recommendations

Before the recent global financing crisis and political revolution, Tunisia was one of the fastest growing economies in the MENA region. Since the 1990s, the country was reaping benefits from various structural reforms, a labour-intensive and export-oriented growth strategy, the liberalisation of the economy, and a prudent management of monetary and fiscal policies. This economic model helped to propel growth, but the economy remained vulnerable to external shocks and seriously challenged by high unemployment. Given that the government has essentially continued the strategy it addopted since the 1960s, of providing social transfers and food subsidies to fight poverty and supporting education and health sectors, considerable progress in achieving the MDGs had been witnessed before the economic and political crises, and some targets—such as that of halving extreme poverty from its 1990 level—had already basically been met. In spite of progress, lack of access to parental care and insufficient health service delivery in rural areas, combined with inadequate transport infrastructure and services, have seriously imperiled further reducing maternal mortality. An important share of the population also lacks basic sanitation, especially in the rural areas.

Foreign demand for Tunisian's exports diminished as a result of the global financing crisis and this translated into sluggish growth. Even so, MDG progress was not interrupted owing to the government's countercyclical spending policy. Just when the economy started to show symptoms of recovery in 2010, discontent of the population about unemployment and corruption mainly, led to a political revolution that has raised challenges at all levels.

In this study, we used a CGE model to perform a scenario analysis with a view to shed light on the prospects and challenges Tunisia faces to achieve the MDGs. We showed that progress in achieving the MDGs would continue to be notable if public spending continues to grow as seen before the revolution but the targets for primary education, child and maternal mortality, and water and sanitation would not be met by 2015. The main gaps would be seen for maternal mortality mainly, and basic sanitation to a lower extent. MDG progress is found to be slightly less if public spending becomes pro-cyclical and follows the GDP deceleration expected for 2011. The impact of the political crisis on the MDGs— primarily transmitted through less household income and consumption per capita—would be only modest if the government manages to keep MDG spending countercyclical to sluggish GDP growth. The global financing crisis did not affect MDG achievement precisely because the government maintained spending growing at high rates in spite of the observed GDP deceleration.

Our analysis further showed that in order to meet the MDGs under study by 2015, public spending would need to rise by 5.7-7.8 percent of GDP per annum—depending on how public spending evolves after the political crisis and the mechanism used to finance it. The mortality goals would be the most expensive to finance (3.2-3.9 percent of GDP per annum), followed by the primary education goal. Little more than half of the newly required spending would be for new investment to compensate for deficiencies in infrastructure. Additional resources required would tend to be relatively less if high growth of public spending seen before the revolution is not disrupted which, in turn, would help to minimize the impact of the political crisis on the MDGs.

The injection of additional public spending could result in a small real exchange rate appreciation—if skilled-labour supply constraints lead to upward pressure on the skill premium for teachers, doctors and other trained workers, which in turn increases labour costs for the public sector—that would somewhat penalize exports and economic growth. This effect was found to be larger for scenarios in which additional MDG public spending is financed with resources from abroad owing to the inflow of foreign exchange—which likely are amongst the few financing options at Tunisia's disposal. But GDP growth was found to be even slightly less when the new public spending was financed through domestic borrowing or increased tax revenues. Domestic borrowing by the government crowds out private investment as a (larger) share of private savings is diverted to finance the budget, thus hurting private consumption and imports. Increased taxation, in turn, reduces disposable income which subsequently also translates into reduced private spending and imports. In these cases we found the government incurs an extra cost of 0.4-0.7 percent of GDP per annum in order to compensate for less private spending in MDGrelated sectors. And due to these macroeconomic trade-offs, the MDG strategy should not be expected to act as a catalyst to stimulate the economy in the short term. The productivity gains from greater MDG achievement would take some time to materialize, as typically expected from any development strategy.

Both domestic borrowing and increased taxation would be less desirable and perhaps infeasible options to finance the newly required MDG spending in Tunisia. The revolution has hurt the economy and it would be unthinkable that under such circumstances sufficient national savings could be mobilized to finance MDG public spending. Worker's remittances from abroad have been an important source of household savings but also these have been on the decline. These two mechanisms of domestic resource mobilization could actually slow down the economic recovery that Tunisians badly need. Furthermore, Tunisia's domestic bond market is not sufficiently developed to allocate domestic savings in amounts that are commensurate to the required financing of development goals. The existing tax burden hovering 20 percent of GDP would also be considered relatively high for developing country standards. Using these financing mechanisms in the midst of the formation and consolidation of a new political regime would also likely be politically infeasible.

Whether it wants it or not, Tunisia will have to rely on foreign resources to reconstruct its political system, spur economic growth and finance development goals. The use of non-concessional loans and other borrowing from abroad to achieve the MDGs would come at the cost of accumulating debt at levels that may perhaps be considered inconsistent with debt targets. According to the scenario analysis performed, relying on foreign borrowing alone to finance MDG achievement would push the government debt up to at least 62 percent of GDP by 2015—or even more if high growth of public spending seen before the political crisis is disrupted in the short term.

Acquiring new debt at such high levels may however be avoided if the international donor community honours recent pledges of grant aid that it has made. The donors' willingness to help Tunisia builds on the expectation that current political changes will translate into constitutional amendments that ultimately pave the way for a full-blown election, and economic growth will pick up steam in 2012. According to our scenario analysis, Tunisia would need at least little more than 6 percent of GDP per annum in foreign aid compared to what is currently receiving, in order to be able to finance public spending required to timely meet the goals in primary education, health, and water and sanitation, without resorting to other sources of financing. The required foreign aid to achieve the MDGs would be in the range of US\$2.7 to US\$3.9 billion per annum, depending on the pace at which MDG spending grows after the political crisis. This would pretty much fall under amounts of aid that the G8 group of rich countries has promised to grant to Tunisia and Egypt.

If foreign aid cannot be mobilized in the amounts that would be required to finance the MDG strategy, a combination of this and foreign borrowing—of levels that would not compromise debt sustainability—would be recommended. Nevertheless, domestic resource mobilization should gradually replace foreign resource mobilization, mainly through fiscal reforms that permit to enhance the efficiency of tax collection and by this means broaden the tax base. Furthermore, the fiscal constraints could be eased if the sale of assets confiscated from members of the ousted government generates resources to finance the achievement of development goals. In any case, the government will also need to engage in more active production sector and labour market policies in order to raise productivity growth, improve production capacity, and create more jobs in the economy. Only so would the economy really pick up steam and recover, and the alarming unemployment levels be reduced. The impact of economic growth on poverty reduction could also be enhanced if the said fiscal reforms are progressive and help to reduce inequality.

References

- Agénor, Pierre-Richard, Nihal Bayraktar, and Karim El Aynaoui. 2005. "Roads out of poverty: Assessing the links between aid, public investment, growth and poverty reduction". World Bank Policy Research Paper 3490.
- Ayadi, M, G. Boulila, M. Lahouel and P. Montigny (2005). "Pro-Poor Growth in Tunisia", International Development and Strategies, Paris, France
- Bibi, S. and R. Chatti. 2007. "Public Spending, Pro-Poor Growth and Poverty Reduction in Tunisia: A Multi-level Analysis". In Ali Abdel Gadir Ali and Shenggen Fan (eds.), *Public Policy and Poverty Reduction in the Arab Region*, State of Kuwait: The Arab Planning Institute, pp. 259-319.
- Chaffai, M. E., Patrick Plane and Dorra Triki Guermazi. 2006. "Total Factor Productivity in Tunisian Manufacturing Sectors: Convergence or Catch-up with OECD members". Working Paper Series, The World Bank.
- Chemingui, M.A. and H. Bchir Mohammed. 2008. "Does Multilateral Trade Liberalization Matters for Poverty Reduction in Africa". Background paper for for the International Policy Analysis Network project (in collaboration with IFPRI), Washington, D.C.
- Cornelia Kaldewei y Ingo Pitterle. 2011. "Behavioural Factors as Emerging Main Determinants of Child Mortality in Middle-Income Countries: A Case Study of Jordan". DESA Working Paper No. 103, New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Socials Affairs. Available from: http://www.un.org/esa/desa/papers/2011/wp103_2011.pdf
- ETF (European Training Foundation). 2007. "The contribution of Human Resources Development to Migration Development in Tunisia". Research Report. Available from: http://www.etf.europa.eu/pubmgmt.nsf/(getAttachment)/5CD26BB43A026CD8C1 2573DB004D8AD2/\$File/NOTE7B7KG6.pdf
- IMF. 2009a. "IMF Executive Board Concludes 2009 Article IV Consultation with Tunisia". Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- IMF. 2009b. "Statement of the IMF Mission on the 2009 Article IV Consultation with Tunisia", Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.
- IMF. 2010. "Tunisia: 2010 Article IV Consultation—Staff Report; Public Information Notice on the Executive Board Discussion; and Statement by the Executive Director for Tunisia". IMF Country Report No. 10/282, Washington, D.C.
- Isaksson, Anders and Thiam Hee Ng. 2006. "Determinants of Productivity: Cross-Country Analysis and Country Case Studies", UNIDO Working Paper, Vienna.

- Lahouel, M.H. 2007. "The Success of Pro-Poor Growth in Rural and Urban Tunisi". In T. Besley and L.J.Cord (eds.), *Delivering on the Promise of Pro-Poor Growth*. *Insights and Lessons from Countries Experiences*. Palgrave Macmillan and the World Bank, pp. 199-218.
- Lofgren, Hans and Carolina Diaz-Bonilla. 2010a. "MAMS: An economy-wide model for analysis of MDG country strategies – an application to Latin America and the Caribbean". In Marco V. Sánchez, Rob Vos, Enrique Ganuza, Hans Lofgren, and Carolina Diaz-Bonilla (eds), Public Policies for Human Development. Feasible Financing Strategies for Achieving MDGs in Latin America and the Caribbean, London: Palgrave/Macmillan.
- Lofgren, Hans. 2010. "What determines the evolution of MDG indicators? A Selective Review of the Literature", Development Prospects Group (DECPG), Washington, D.C.: the World Bank (Third Draft September 21).
- Nehru, Vikram and Ashok Dhareshwar. 1993. "A New Database on Physical Capital Stock: Sources, Methodology, and Results", *Revista de Análisis Económica*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 37-59, June.
- Sánchez, Marco V. (2004). *Rising inequality and falling poverty in Costa Rica's agriculture during trade reform. A macro-micro general equilibrium analysis*, Maastricht: Shaker.
- Sánchez, Marco V. and Giacomo Sbrana. 2009a. "Determinants of attendance in Jordan's education". Technical note prepared for the Project Assessing Development Strategies to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in the Arab region, New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Socials Affairs. Available from:
 <u>http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/capacity/country_documents/jordan sanchez_sbrana_2009.pdf</u>
- Sánchez, Marco V, Rob Vos, Enrique Ganuza, Hans Lofgren, and Carolina Diaz-Bonilla (eds). 2010. *Public Policies for Human Development. Feasible Financing Strategies for Achieving MDGs in Latin America and the Caribbean*, London: Palgrave/Macmillan.
- Sánchez, Marco V. and Giacomo Sbrana. 2009b. "Determinants of education attainment and development goals in Yemen". Background paper prepared for the Project Assessing Development Strategies to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in the Arab region, New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Socials Affairs. Available from:

http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/capacity/yemen.shtml

- Sbrana, Giacomo. 2009. "Technical note on the determinants of water and sanitation in Yemen". Technical note prepared for the Project Assessing Development Strategies to Achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) in the Arab region, New York: United Nations Department of Economic and Socials Affairs. Available from: http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/capacity/yemen.shtml
- UNDP. 2004. Rapport national sur les objectifs du millénaires, Tunis: United Nations Development Programme.

- Vos, Rob and Marco V. Sánchez. 2010. "A Non-Parametric Microsimulation Approach to Assess Changes in Inequality and Poverty". *International Journal of Microsimulation* 3(1): 8-23. Available from: http://www.microsimulation.org/IJM/V3_1/IJM_25.pdf
- World Bank. 2003. "Implementation completion report (CPL-37820 SCL-3782A SCPM-3782S CPL-37830)", Report No: 27171, Water Supply and Sewerage Project, Finance, Private Sector and Infrastructure Group, Middle East and North Africa Region, Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

World Bank. 2003. "Tunisia Poverty Update. Volume I: Main Report", Washington, D.C.

World Bank. 2007. "Tunisia: Country Assistance Strategy Document", Washington, D.C.

Appendix A1: Elasticities of substitution and household expenditure used in the calibration of MAMS

		Expenditure elasticity of			
	Factors	imports vs domestic output ^{2/}	exports vs domestic output ^{2/}	market demand ^{2/}	
Agriculture and fishing	0.74	2.06	1.11	0.81	
Food processing	1.47	1.83	0.71	0.81	
Construction materials, ceramics, glass	1.38	2.22	3.03	0.65	
Mechanical and electrical industries	1.87	2.17	1.44	0.80	
Chemicals	1.45	2.70	0.36	0.81	
Textiles, clothing and leather	0.13	1.72	1.23	1.71	
Other manufacturing	1.14	2.32	2.14	1.21	
Mining and oil refining	1.48	1.35	0.25	1.00	
Electricity	1.27	1.69	(0.62)	0.65	
Construction	1.84			0.59	
Trade	1.59		(0.62)	1.00	
Transport and communication	1.64	1.40	(0.62)	1.19	
Hotels, cafes and restaurants	1.37	(1.40)	(0.62)	1.37	
Finance and insurance	0.70	(1.40)	(0.62)	3.13	
Private primary education	0.70	(1.50)	3.40	1.62	
Private secondary education	0.70	(1.50)	(3.40)	1.62	
Private tertiary education	0.70	(1.50)	(3.40)	1.62	
Private health	0.70	(1.40)	(0.62)	1.22	
Other private services	1.30	(1.50)	(3.40)	0.98	
Water and sanitation	1.57	(1.50)	(3.40)	0.65	
Public primary education	0.70			1.62	
Public secondary education	0.70			1.62	
Public tertiary education	0.70			1.62	
Public health	0.70			1.22	
Public infrastructure	0.70			1.00	
Other government activities	0.70			1.00	

^{1/}Elasticities at the activity level. ^{2/}Elasticities at the commodity level.

Note: elasticities in parenthesis were adjusted by the authors to ensure a feasible model solution. Original estimates are available upon request.

Source: Estimates by Nidhal Ben Cheikh, Moncef Youzbachi, and Jameleddine Boumedie as part of the project for which this study was elabourated, with minor adjustments by the authors to ensure a feasible model solution.

	Spending per-capita or per- student	Other public infras- tructure	Household consumption per-capita	MDG 4	MDG 7a	MDG 7b	Wage incentives
Non-education MDGs							
MDG 4: child mortality	-0.485	-0.010	-0.100		-0.020	-0.020	
MDG 5: maternal mortality	-0.864	-0.010	-0.100		-0.005	-0.005	
MDG 7a: drinking water	0.100	0.000	0.010				
MDG 7b: basic sanitation	0.644	0.000	0.100				
Primary education							
Entry rate	1.100	0.000	0.250	-0.110			0.110
Promotion rate	0.867	0.150	0.250	-0.087			0.087
Secondary education							
Promotion rate	0.200	0.150	0.300	-0.017			0.017
Graduation & continuation	0.100	0.150	0.300	-0.020			0.030
Tertiary education							
Promotion rate	0.137	0.150	0.250	-0.014			0.014
Graduation & continuation	1.230	0.100	0.750	-0.015			0.030

Appendix A2: Elasticities of the MDGs with respect to their determinants

Source: Authors' estimates as explained in the text.