

The experience of China with the Disease Surveillance Point system (DSPs) and validation studies to evaluate the completeness of death registration

Maigeng Zhou, Peng Yin

National Center for Chronic and Noncommunicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention

Development of DSPs



1978	Founded. Pilot of Dongcheng district and Tong county in Beijing.
	71 points of 29 provinces involved.
1989	Big cities and wealthy rural areas , by volunteering.
•	Expanded to 145 points, 31 provinces. 10 million population. Multi stage stratified cluster sampling and probability proportionate
1990	to population size sampling.
	Expanded to 161 pionts (full population coverage), 31 provinces. 73 million population
2004	Multi stage stratified cluster sampling and probability proportionate to population size sampling, national representativeness.
	Expanded to 605 surveillance points, 31 provinces.
2013	323.8 million population (24.3%). Stratification adjustment, national and sub-national
0	representativeness.
	中国疾病预防控制中心

Distribution of DSPs (161 sites)



Distribution of DSPs (605 sites)





中国疾病预防控制中心

Development of DSPs



- In 2013, the National Health and Family Planning Commission (NHFPC, previously MOH) combined the DSPs and the vital registration system (hosted by previously MOH) to create an integrated national mortality surveillance system (NMSs).
- The goals were to integrate and rationalize the health resources expended on these systems and to accelerate the development of a complete vital registration and mortality surveillance system covering the entire population of China.

Policy & practice

An integrated national mortality surveillance system for death registration and mortality surveillance, China

Shiwei Liu,ª Xiaoling Wu,^b Alan D Lopez,^c Lijun Wang,ª Yue Cai,^b Andrew Page,^d Peng Yin,ª Yunning Liu,ª Yichong Li,ª Jiangmei Liu,ª Jinling Youª & Maigeng Zhouª

Abstract In China, sample-based mortality surveillance systems, such as the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention's disease surveillance points system and the Ministry of Health's vital registration system, have been used for decades to provide nationally representative data on health status for health-care decision-making and performance evaluation. However, neither system provided representative mortality and cause-of-death data at the provincial level to inform regional health service needs and policy priorities. Moreover, the systems overlapped to a considerable extent, thereby entailing a duplication of effort. In 2013, the Chinese Government combined these two systems into an integrated national mortality surveillance system to provide a provincially representative picture of total and cause-specific mortality and to accelerate the development of a comprehensive vital registration and mortality surveillance system for the whole country. This new system increased the surveillance population from 6 to 24% of the Chinese population. The number of surveillance points, each of which covered a district or county, increased from 161 to 605. To ensure representativeness at the provincial level, the 605 surveillance points were selected to cover China's 31 provinces using an iterative method involving multistage stratification that took into account the sociodemographic characteristics of the population. This paper describes the development and operation of the new national mortality surveillance system, which is expected to yield representative provincial estimates of mortality in China for the first time.

Abstracts in عربي, 中文, Français, Русский and Español at the end of each article.

Workflow of DSPs









Death cases reporting in China during 2004-2015



Year	reported number of deaths	Number of counties	Reporting rate	Garbage code rate	Crude mortality rate in DSPs	Mortality rate released by National statistics Bureau	Infant mortal ity rate in DSPs	Infant Mortality rate released by National statistics Bureau
2004	437,430	2422	82.58	20.05	6.08	6.42	11.32	21.5
2005	702,296	2295	78.25	24.68	6.08	6.51	11.32	19.0
2006	937,995	2308	79.45	22.13	5.25	6.81	9.31	17.2
2007	1,399,764	2385	81.63	14.07	5.60	6.93	9.02	15.3
2008	2,212,693	2593	84.16	7.06	5.75	7.06	8.00	14.9
2009	2,479,811	2615	84.74	5.82	5.83	7.08	6.06	13.8
2010	2,943,629	2695	87.33	5.56	5.75	7.11	5.51	13.1
2011	3,400,136	2695	87.33	5.10	5.76	7.14	5.82	12.1
2012	3,991,660	2818	91.08	6.26	5.96	7.15	6.32	10.3
2013	4,927,460	2903	93.6	5.96	5.60	7.16	4.70	9.5
2014	5,599,933	2900	93.4	3.70	5.87	7.16	4.80	8.9
2015	6,096,558	2916	93.6	3.58	5.84	-	4.80	

Under-reporting survey



- conducted every 3 years since 2009 in all 161 DSPs.
- Independent sampled survey, funded by central government.
- Cover deaths occurred during the past 3 year.
 -2009: 2006-2008
 -2012: 2009-2011
 -2015: 2012-2014

Under-reporting survey: design



- Conducted in all 161 DSPs from July to October in 2012.
- Within each DSP, three townships (in rural areas) or streets (in urban areas) were first selected as candidate field.
- One township/street was finally chosen as the field site if its economic level was similar to the DSP's average and the population size was in the middle level among all the townships/streets in the DSP.
- Covered deaths occurring from January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011
- All the residents in the selected township/street were included as the survey population.



- A list of decedents from the focal time period was created for each resident group (the smallest administrative unit) within all villages/communities in the selected townships/streets by recall of the resident group leaders.
- The initial list was checked and complemented by data from public security departments, civil affairs departments, family planning departments, and maternal and child health departments.
- Interviewers in each village/community surveyed each family which experienced a death to verify and revise relevant information on the death records.



	常住人口资料调查表									
	*发生地区 羊坊店街道 🔹 上一级 下一级									
增	加村人口记录 当发生地区选至具	体乡镇后,该按钮才可	使用)							
底是	村夕	2009年4	∓末人口	2010年年	末人口	2011年4	手末 人口	场作		
<i>m</i> 5	10-0	男性	女性	男性	女性	男性	女性	1741 F		
1	请选择 🔽							删除		
	*合 计	根据发生	地区的选择而变化							
	*年内出生数									
	*报	告地区国家	~	上一级 下一级	报告单位	诸选择	*			
	报	告日期 2012-05-29								
	i	报告人 softdev								
	*埴	表日期 2012-05-29 (•							
*填表人										
				保存返回						

D 录入调查表。

		调查表格信息
*报告地区	北京市市辖区东城区	报告单位:北京市东城区疾病预防控制中心
*调查地区	北京市市辖区东城区东华门街道	
***	韶九社区	
录入人	软件开发组	
录入日期	2012-05-03	
*填表人	test	
*埴表日期	2012-05-03	

増加个案

序号	*编号	*死者姓名	*性别	*死亡年龄	*死亡日期	*家属联系电话	*死亡原因	*ICD10	根本死因	*身份证号码	"婚姻状况	*文化程度	*职业	*死亡地点	*生前最高诊断单位	*生前最高诊断依据	*调查结局	操作
1	1	testi	男 🖌	77	2012-05-01	1111111	高血压	I10 选择 <u>清空</u>	特发性(原发性)	111111111111111	己婚 🔽	不详 💙	专业技术人员 🖌	医院病房 🔽	县区级医院 🔽	病理 💙	现场补充病例 🖌	₩.Q
2	2	test2	女 ⊻	78	2012-05-01	11111111	檍尿病	E10 选择 <u>清空</u>	旗岛素依赖型糖尿	22222222222222222	己婚 🔽	文盲或半文盲 🖌	企业管理者 🖌	医院病房 🗸	省額医院 🔽	尸检 💌	失访 💌	₩IŖ
						「東京」((A)											

|更新||返回|

	调查表格信息	
报告地区 北京市市辖区东城区	报告单位:北京市东城区疾病预防控制中心	
*调查地区 北京市市辖区东城区东华门街道		
*村 韶九社区		
录入人 软件开发组		
录入日期 2012-05-03		
*埴表人 test		
*填表日期 2012-05-03		

序号	*编号	*死者姓名	*性别	*死亡年龄	*死亡日期	*家属联系电话	*死亡原因	*ICD10	根本死因	*身份证号码	*婚姻状况	*文化程度	*記不	*死亡地点	*生前最高诊断单位	*生前最高诊断依据	调查结局	是否漏报(非漏报 卡片填写CARD_ID
1	1	testl	男	77	2012-05-01	1111111	高血压	I10	特发性(原发性)	111111111111111	已婚	不详 💌	专业技术人员 🖌	医院病房 🕑	县区级医院 🗸	病理	现场补充病例 🖌	
2	2	test2	女	78	2012-05-01	11111111	糖尿病	E10	胰岛素依赖型糖尿	222222222222222	144 🗸	文盲或半文盲 🖌	企业管理者 🖌	医院病房 🚽	省级医院 👽	尸检	失访	

更新返回

中国茨炳顶防控前甲心

Training



- China CDC organized a central training for all provincial level CDC staffs
- provincial CDC organized training for related staffs at county/district level CDC.
- The County/district CDC organized training for interviewers from all the selected townships/streets.
- Interviewers include county/district CDC staffs, township/street community health center doctors and village doctors who are familiar with the routine death cause surveillance.

Under-reporting survey is an independent data collection procedure



- initial list of deceased cases (including in-hospital and out-of-hospital deaths) from all resident groups were required for each township/village.
- The documents from other related departments were also required.
- Emphasized during all training sessions at all levels.
- An important content for supervision.



- Cases included in both systems were identified as a match when national ID matched.
- If the national ID was missing, cases with the same name, gender and age (within three years) were used to identify a match.
- After an initial computer matching process, all mismatched cases were checked and verified by a further manual checking in the DSP level.



Propensity score weighting method -based on logistic regression

CMR

Propensity score method



Model estimation

-logistic regression to the sociodemographic variables to predict the probability a respondent was included in the routine surveillance in the sampled under-reporting survey site.

Weighted estimates for deaths cases

-The probability of being reported for each observation(pi) was based on the logistic regression model of the field survey data. Weights for each case were calculated as wi=1/pi. The weighted number of deaths from 2009 to 2011 (Ts) was:

$$\Gamma_{\rm s} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm s}} w_i$$

Where Ns is the total number of death cases from the DSP 2009–2011 surveillance.

Under-reporting rate = $(T_s - N_s) * 100\%/T_s$

Uncertainty calculation



$$p \pm Z_{0.05/2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Where p is the under-reporting rate, $Z_{0.05/2}$ is 1.96, n is the total number of deaths in the under-reporting survey.

For example, in calculating the 95%CI for east region in 2009 using CMR, where p=0.102, $Z_{0.05/2}=1.96$, n=12026, using the fomula above, the 95%CI is 10.2% (9.7%, 10.18%)

Variable	Proportions in DSP dataset (Pi)	Proportions in under-reporting survey dataset (Si)	(SEPi)^2/Pi	X²	Р
Age					
0-5	0.6	13	0.817	0.312	>0.05
6-14	0.8	0.5	0.113		
15-44	8.1	8.0	0.001		
45-64	22.5	22.8	0.004		
65+	67.3	66.9	0.003		
Cause of death					
Cancer	23.8	24.1	0.004	1.239	>0.05
Cardiovascular disease	43.9	42,4	0.051		
Respiratory disease	9.0	11,1	0.490		
Nervous system disease	1.4	13	0.007		
Digestive system disease	2.4	23	0.004		
Urinary system disease	1.3	12	0.008		
Congenital anomalies	0.4	0.4	0.000		
Injury	8.2	9.0	0.078		
Infectious diseases	3.2	35	0.028		
Pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium	0.5	0.6	0.020		
Other disease	5.9	41	0.549		
Highest level of hospital where disease was diag	gnosed				
Provincial level	14.2	12.6	0.180	1.876	>0.05
City level	28.0	25.8	0.173		
County level	34.2	40. 5	1.124		
Township level	13.1	12.3	0.049		
Village level	3.9	38	0.003		
Other	5.7	4.5	0.257		
No treatment	1.0	0.7	0.090		
Diagnostic criteria					
Symptoms + physio-biochemistry	55.8	57.6	0.058	0.360	>0.05
Pathology	7.9	6.9	0.127		
Symptoms/signs	25.1	25.6	0.010		
Autopsy	0.6	0.6	0.000		
Surgery	1.7	1.4	0.053		
Inference	7.8	69	0.104		

Table 3 Test of goodness for fit of under-reporting field survey data and DSP dataset

	Crude	Propensity score	CMR	
Geographic region				
East	9.9	10.1(8.6,11.3)	9.9(9.6,10.2)	
Central	11.0	11.2(9.6,12.7)	11.0(10.7,11.3)	
West	18.4	18.8(16.5,21.0)	18.4(18.0,18.9)	
Sex				
Male	12.4	12.8(11.0,14.4)	12.4(12.3,12.8)	
Female	12.9	13.2(11.4,14.7)	12.9(12.5,13.2)	
Rural/urban				
Urban	10.7	11.3(9.6,12.8)	10.7(10.4,11.0)	
Rural	14.1	13.9 (12.1,15.6)	14.1(13.8,14.3)	
Age(years)				
0-5	19.6	23.6 (16.1,35.1)	19.6(17.3,21.7)	
6-14	19.0	16.4 (13.1,20.0)	19.0(16.3,21.6)	
15-44	14.1	14.8(12.5,16.9)	14.1(13.3,14.8)	
45-64	12.6	12.8 (11.2,14.2)	12.6(12.1,13.0)	
65+	12.2	12.5(10.9,13.7)	12.2 (12.0,12. 5)	
Total	12.6	12.6	12.9	



- used outcome (under-reporting rate) as dependent variable and other related factors as independent variables to build the models.
- took account of all the covariants which may affect both the under-reporting group and reported group and integrated the information of several major covariates into one propensity score variable.
- should include all the variables which potentially related to the outcome variable.



- represents the influence of multiple covariates for under-reporting.
- reduces the dimension of covariates and calculated under-reporting rate of each group based on the scores.

In a large sample of cases, individuals between the groups could be adjusted using propensity score, making the distribution of covariates between the groups equivalent to achieve a post-randomization.



Strengths:

-independent of routine surveillance.

-If the sample representativeness is good and the survey data quality is high, it can be used to estimate under-reporting rate very quickly and adjust the overall death rate.

Limitations:

-It is always not easy to have good representative for sampled survey and the data quality for largescale field survey is not consistently high.

-It needs manpower, money and resources and not easy to administer.



-under-reporting rate for the 2015 underreporting survey (605 DSPs)

-sub-national under-reporting rate

-linkage with other data sources



Thank you!

Prof. Maigeng Zhou: <u>maigengzhou@126.com</u> Dr. Peng Yin: <u>yinpengcdc@163.com</u>