

TEMPLATE FOR REPORTING ON RECENT INITIATIVES ON SPORT FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PEACE

integrity guidelines

Provide a summary of the initiative, including a brief overview, proposed/actual outcomes and an assessment of any lessons learned and the way forward.

Please provide more details on the initiative below:		
Objective(s):	<i>Please indicate which, if any, of the following fell among the main objectives of the initiative:</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensuring that no one is left behind 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eradicating poverty and promoting prosperity in a changing world
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transformation towards sustainable and resilient societies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Empowering people and ensuring inclusiveness and equality
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research development, data collection and/or data dissemination 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preventing and fighting corruption in sport
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conflict prevention/peace building 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthened global framework on sport for development and peace
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy development for mainstreaming and integrating sport for development and peace in development programmes and policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resource mobilization, programming and implementation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research, monitoring and evaluation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other (please specify)
Implementation mechanisms:	<p><i>What are the means/processes of implementation of the initiative?</i></p> <p>The Enlarged Partial Agreement on Sport proposed to set up an inclusive Working Group on Action 3, open to all UNESCO member states, sports organisations and other interested NGOs and academia. 110 experts from 30 countries, 12 international organisations and 15 sport organisations registered to take part in the activities of the Working Group. Three meetings took place in Paris (25 April 2018, 5 February 2019 and 28 October 2019), two of them in conjunction with CIGEPS and with the Conference of Parties to the International Convention against Doping in Sport, to endeavour international participation as well as synergies and exchanges with these bodies. The first meeting was opened by the Chair of CIGEPS, Deputy Minister Gert C. Oosthuizen (South Africa). The Working Group was co-Chaired by Marième Kane Diallo (Senegal), Hirokazu Kumekawa(Japan) and Paolo Bertaccini (Italy). Agreements were met by consensus in the Working Group and written consultations took place between the meetings. All members of CIGEPS were also consulted on the last draft of the Guidelines on Integrity produced. Close co-ordination was ensured with the Commonwealth Secretariat responsible for co-ordinating Action 2 of the KAP (Develop common indicators for measuring the contribution of physical education, physical activity and sport to prioritized SDGs and targets) and with the Secretariat of the International Convention against Doping in Sport. The process was supported by the EPAS Secretariat, in liaison with the UNESCO Secretariat and under the supervision of the Bureau of the Governing Board of EPAS. Consultants were involved in the preparation of the guidelines as well as for the final editing.</p> <p><i>What are the main deliverables/activities involved?</i></p>	

	<p>The Working Group produced guidelines which are expected to serve as a reference and to inspire countries interested in the development of a holistic policy on sport integrity (it is not a standard, but it refers to applicable standards in this field). The guidelines should also help Sports Ministries to develop a holistic integrity policy based on risk assessment and an analysis of the legal commitments.</p> <p><i>What is the time frame of implementation?</i> March 2018 – April 2020</p>
Target Audience(s):	<p><i>Who are the beneficiaries of the proposed/implemented initiative?</i> Sports Ministries</p>
Partners/Funding:	<p><i>Who are the main organizations/entities involved in the initiative and what are their roles in development and/or implementation?</i> UN member states e.g. Italy, Japan, Sénégal...), International organisations (e.g. Council of Europe, UNESCO, ILO, UNICEF...), sports organisations (e.g. IOC, FIFA...), other NGOs (e.g. ICSSPE, WADA, Center for Sport and Human Rights...)</p> <p><i>What are the main sources of funding of the initiative?</i> Budget of the Enlarged Partial Agreement of the Council of Europe – Budget of the participating entities (to support travel and accommodation for the meetings and time spent by members of the WG).</p>
SDG Alignment:	<p><i>To what SDG goal/target/indicator is this initiative targeted?</i> Goal 4. Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all Goal 16. Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels</p> <p><i>Please indicate any other national or internationally agreed goals/commitments to which this initiative is aligned.</i> International Charter of Physical Education, Physical Activity and Sport European Sports Charter</p>
Alignment with global frameworks:	<p><i>How does this initiative align with/contribute to the objectives of the Kazan Action Plan, WHO Global Action Plan on Physical Activity or other related internationally agreed frameworks on sport and/or physical activity?</i> This initiative implements Action 3 of the Kazan Action Plan defined in Annex 2 to the Kazan Action Plan, “Outlines of Actions of the Kazan Action Plan”, and as adopted by the UNESCO MINEPS VI Conference in July 2017 in Kazan (Russian Federation).</p>
Alignment with United Nations Action Plan on SDP:	<p><i>Which of the four thematic areas of the UN Action Plan on Sport for Development and Peace is this initiative designed to align?</i></p> <p><i>To which action area(s) of the Plan is this initiative designed to contribute?</i></p>

<p>Outcomes:</p>	<p><i>What are the expected/actual outcomes of the initiative?</i> Activation of governmental authorities in charge of sport to develop a comprehensive policy to defend sports integrity. Availability of the major sources, and examples of good practices. Consensus on an holistic approach of sports integrity encompass the five specific areas specified under the main policy area III of the MINEPS follow-up framework: (a) Safeguard athletes, spectators, workers and other groups involved; (b) Prevent and address harassment and abuse in sport; (c) Foster good governance of sport organizations; (d) Strengthen measures against the manipulation of sports competitions; (e) Ensure an adequate anti-doping policy framework, its implementation and effective compliance measures.</p>
<p>Mechanism for monitoring and evaluating implementation:</p>	<p><i>What are the mechanisms for monitoring and evaluating the implementation, outcomes and impact of the initiative?</i> Continuous collection of good practices in all areas covered by the guidelines. Discussion in CIGEPS and MINEPS</p> <p><i>What are the specific monitoring and evaluation tools involved?</i> Dedicated website (under construction)</p>
<p>Challenges/Lessons learned</p>	<p><i>What have been/were the main challenges to implementation?</i> Difficulty to work with experts from all continents and to create a momentum</p> <p><i>What lessons learned have been/can be utilized in the planning of future initiatives?</i> Dissemination, promotion and implementation of the guidelines will take place via regional initiatives Support for the implementation of the guidelines could be developed, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Regional training:</u> governmental experts from countries facing similar situations could meet to exchange on the challenges of developing sports integrity policies; members the Working Group could be involved to either deliver presentations or to facilitate working sessions on specific topics of common interest. • <u>Advisory visits:</u> a country involved in developing sports integrity policies could host an advisory visit to obtain guidance and advice on issues. Depending on the issues, specialists with relevant experience can be identified to take part. • <u>Networking:</u> the secretariat of the Working Group and the compendium of good practices could serve to identify experts on any topic covered by the guidelines. • <u>Tools:</u> as and when tools will be developed in areas relevant to the Sports Integrity guidelines, they could be promoted to the KAP community and their relevance to the implementation of the guidelines could be highlighted.