

## **High Seas Alliance PrepCom 2 Interventions**

Name of meeting: BBNJ PrepCom Date of delivery: 22 August 2025 Speaker: High Seas Alliance

## Intervention

## Rules of Procedure 22 August 2025 High Seas Alliance Room CR1

We are presenting this intervention on the Rules of Procedure in writing on the invitation of the Co Chair.

On Rule 6 (1bis) and observers:

Thank you Mr co-chair

We thank Costa Rica, the Philippines, Switzerland, EU and the UK for their strong support for observer participation yesterday.

We generally support rule 6 paragraph 1bis as stated in the revised Aids by the Co-Chairs as long as a <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> threshold is used, so that observers would be permitted to participate unless two-thirds of Parties object.

We would have very serious concerns if all applications had to be decided by the COP rather than admitted by default, since that would mean that a failure by the COP to reach a decision by consensus or majority would result in the exclusion of observers.

Likewise, a consensus or single objection process would be an obstacle to observer participation.

Rule 48 of the Agreement provides that and I quote, "the rules of procedure of the Conference of the Parties shall provide for modalities for such participation and shall not be unduly restrictive in this respect."

A rule requiring a vote on each observer would be unduly restrictive and inconsistent with the BBNJ's legacy of inclusivity and transparency.

To be clear, we read paragraph 1 of draft rule 6 as granting observer status for all meetings of the COP and subsidiary bodies following the approval of the observer status.

Thank you