

8 July, 2016

Dear Representative of Indigenous Peoples,

I have the honour to transmit herewith a letter, dated 8 July, 2016, from the Advisers of the consultation process concerning the ways to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant UN bodies on issues affecting them, which contains the final compilation of the views expressed by Member States and Indigenous Peoples throughout the consultations that took place during the current session of General Assembly, and includes concrete recommendations on the way forward. The Advisers also provide an addendum with potential elements for discussion during the 71<sup>st</sup> Session of the General Assembly.

I take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to the Advisers from Member States – H.E. Mr. Kai Sauer, Permanent Representative of Finland and H.E. Mrs. Martha Ama Akyaa Pobee, Permanent Representative of Ghana, as well to the two Advisers from Indigenous Peoples – Dr. Claire Winfield Ngamihi Charters from the Pacific region and Dr. James Anaya from the North America region – for their leadership and extraordinary ability in conducting these complex consultations.

I also express my appreciation to the Member States, Indigenous Peoples and the three relevant mechanisms on the rights of Indigenous Peoples for their constructive engagement and support. I also would like to encourage you to keep the same level of commitment during the 71<sup>st</sup> session of the General Assembly.

Please accept the assurances of my highest consideration.

Mogens Lykketoft

To All Representative of Indigenous Peoples

Excellency,

With reference to your letters dated 18 and 25 February, 9 March, 27 April, 16 and 27 May and 27 June 2016 with respect to the consultation process associated with Indigenous peoples' participation in the United Nations, we are pleased to forward the final compilation on enabling the participation of Indigenous Peoples to the United Nations on Issues affecting them.

This compilation is to form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the General Assembly during its seventy-first session. To assist the process forward we have attached an addendum with elements for future discussion during the next session of the General Assembly.

The consultations during this session of the General Assembly have been well attended by both Indigenous peoples and Member States and the dialogue has brought all closer to agreeing on possible ways forward. We encourage the active participation of Member States and Indigenous peoples as the process continues during the next session of the General Assembly.

The resolution 70/232 adopted in the General Assembly in December 2015 states that the General Assembly is to finalize and adopt the text during the seventy-first session, so the process will continue after the finalization of the compilation during the current session.

Our recommendation would be not to lose the momentum that the consultation process has brought to the issue. For instance a launch briefing on the next steps could take place at the very beginning of the next session of the General Assembly, and then the process could continue with first discussions latest right after the Third Committee has finalized its work, in the beginning of December.

It is important to ensure that the Indigenous peoples are able to still contribute their views during the seventy-first session, both in New York and at national level.

We encourage Member States to consult at the national level with Indigenous peoples also before and during the seventy-first session of the General Assembly in order to be prepared for the discussions. The recommendation to only start the discussions after the third committee, instead of as soon as possible, is a response to requests to allow more time for national consultations as well as a consideration towards smaller delegations who may find it difficult to devote time for this issue during the third committee.

This compilation and addendum can be found from the consultation process website: <a href="https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/participation-of-indigenous-peoples-at-the-united-nations.html">https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/participation-of-indigenous-peoples-at-the-united-nations.html</a>

We wish to thank you for your continued support and trust during this important process. Without this it would not have been possible to move forward. A positive solution can only be found when discussing together with Member States and Indigenous peoples and we appreciate all your efforts in realizing these consultations.

4==

Ambassador Kai Sauer Permanent Representative of Finland to the United Nations Ambassador Martha Ama Akyaa Pobee Permanent Representative of Ghana to the United Nations

Dr. Claime Charters

Dr. Claire Charters

Prof. James Anaya

Compilation of views on possible measures necessary to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in relevant United Nations meetings on issues affecting them, and of good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, to form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the General Assembly at its seventy-first session

8 July 2016

#### I BACKGROUND

At the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples Member States committed to consider ways to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them (A/RES/69/2, paragraph 33) and requested the Secretary-General to report to the General Assembly and give specific proposals to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions, building on the report of the Secretary-General on ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on the issues affecting them (A/RES/69/2, paragraph 40).

In his report on Progress made in the implementation of the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples (A/70/84–E/2015/76), the Secretary-General made concrete proposals on enabling the participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions. He also reiterated a series of considerations for the participation of Indigenous peoples' representatives in the United Nations, namely:

- Procedures that will make the participation of Indigenous peoples' representatives meaningful and effective;
- Criteria for determining the eligibility of Indigenous peoples' representatives for accreditation as such;
- Nature and membership of the body to determine the eligibility of Indigenous peoples' representatives for accreditation;
- Details of the process, including the information required to be submitted to obtain accreditation as an Indigenous peoples' representative;

These considerations, together with the other background documents included on https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/participation-of-indigenous-peoples-at-the-united-nations.html, have guided the consultations in March-June 2016 conducted by the advisers to the President of the General Assembly (see below). This draft compilation is primarily based on the submissions to the electronic consultation and contributions during the consultations on 11 May 2016, 18 May 2016 and 30 June 2016 in New York.

#### II CONSULTATIONS

The General Assembly under Resolution 70/232 of 23 December 2015:

Requests the President of the General Assembly to conduct, within existing resources, timely, inclusive, representative and transparent consultations with Member States, indigenous peoples'

representatives and institutions from all regions of the world, and existing relevant mechanisms of the United Nations, on the possible measures necessary, including procedural and institutional steps and selection criteria, to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, and also requests the President to prepare a compilation of the views presented during the consultations, including good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, which will form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the Assembly during its seventy-first session.

The President of the General Assembly appointed in February 2016 four advisors to assist him in fulfilling his mandate with respect to consultation and the compilation of views with respect to Indigenous peoples' participation at the UN.

The President of the General Assembly launched the consultation process with an electronic consultation on 7 March 2016. The first face-to-face consultation occurred on 11 May 2016. The second face-to-face consultation was held on 18 May 2016. The third and final face-to-face consultation was held on 30 June 2016. The advisors also held meetings with the Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, the Chair of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues as well as interested delegations of Member States and Indigenous peoples. This compilation reflects the responses provided to the President of the General Assembly at the consultations and meetings. It also provides a preliminary outline of good practices within the UN regarding Indigenous peoples' participation.

#### III SUMMARY OF CONTRIBUTIONS

In the first and second drafts of the compilation the advisors attempted to summarise in a comprehensive way all of the views expressed. In the third and this final draft, to constructively present a balanced compilation, we attempt to summarise the various views that appear to have some support while also noting points of difference at this stage in the process. We also, where appropriate, offer some further thoughts on outstanding questions that all parties might like to continue to reflect uppn in more depth and on the legal and procedural parameters that regulate the process to enhance Indigenous peoples' participation at the UN.

Some delegations referred to the need for the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples to guide the proposals to enhance Indigenous peoples' participation at the UN including articles 3, 5, 18, 19, 20, 32, 33, 41 and 42

## A Suggested venues of participation:

While noting the status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the Charter of the United Nations as well as in article 46 of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, most responses have in principle indicated the need for enhanced forms of participation for Indigenous peoples in UN bodies affecting them although some responses have expressed concerns about moving in that direction.

Some noted the affirmation of Indigenous peoples' right to self-determination as reflected in the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples as well as other articles in the Declaration setting out Indigenous peoples' rights to participate in processes that impact on them.

There was considerable (but not uniform) support expressed for a separate category of participation in the UN, including in the General Assembly, for Indigenous peoples as the current procedures and practices such as those applicable to ECOSOC-accredited non-governmental organisation do not

naturally or sufficiently accommodate the participation of Indigenous peoples as Indigenous peoples in UN bodies.

There appears to be a convergence of views among those responding that, at a minimum, Indigenous peoples' participation at the UN should not fall below that of ESOSOC-accredited non-governmental organisations and, further, should not in anyway undermine existing unique procedures permitting the participation of Indigenous peoples' organisations in the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.

Participation in the General Assembly remains centred on Member States of the United Nations as well as, albeit to a lesser degrees, non-Member States and entities and organisations having received a standing invitation to participate as observers in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly. Not all organisations having received an invitation to participate as observers in the General Assembly are inter-governmental organisations. There is not one singular resolution setting out the forms of participation for observers in the General Assembly. The specific forms of participation by the individual observers are regulated by organization-specific General Assembly resolutions and decisions and the rules and practices of the General Assembly.

Some mentioned General Assembly decision 49/426 of 1994, which states that the "granting of observer status in the General Assembly should be confined to States and to those intergovernmental organisations whose activities cover matters of interest to the Assembly". Others noted that in practice a few entities that are not inter-governmental organisations have received, by resolution, a standing invitation to participate as observers in the General Assembly. Moreover, others again have stressed that the focus in this process is on the establishment of a new and unique category for Indigenous peoples' participation in the General Assembly. Advice received indicates that the General Assembly has the authority to do so.

Some states stressed the need for coherence and consistency in treatment of non-state actors and their rights to participate in the UN as well as how a new category might impact on the participation of Indigenous groups and/or NGOs in the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. Another view was expressed that it is potentially discriminatory to exclude Indigenous peoples from an invitation to observe the General Assembly when other non-state actors have an invitation to observe the General Assembly.

Another view was that Indigenous peoples are not states and thus should not be accorded a so-called "permanent observer status", which is similar to the view that the intergovernmental nature of the UN, and in particular participation in the General Assembly, should be maintained.

Some expressed concern about potential practical implications of enlarging the General Assembly to include Indigenous peoples' organisations' observers although others noted that this should not be an obstacle to enhancing Indigenous peoples' participation and can be addressed practically. Some suggested that these issues can be managed just as the participation of non-state-actors is managed in, for example, the ECOSOC and Human Rights Council and their respective subsidiary bodies. For example, spaces for Indigenous peoples' speaking in the General Assembly might be managed by procedures setting out specific times and a set number of speaking spots for accredited observer Indigenous peoples' organisations. These speaking slots might be, under one suggestion, allotted by regions (understood from an Indigenous-regions viewpoint). In this way observer Indigenous peoples' organisations would not be limited in number but, in the interests of the efficient organization of General Assembly meetings, speaking opportunities might be.

Some are of the view that mechanisms to enable unique forms of participation for Indigenous peoples should be first established in only some UN bodies such as the ECOSOC, the Human Rights Council and their subsidiary bodies.

Another view was that more research was required into how Indigenous peoples use existing opportunities to participate in UN and that more information should be provided about already existing opportunities. Moreover, the view was expressed that perhaps it might be appropriate to first consider how the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples might be strengthened.

Many mentioned the need for Indigenous peoples' guaranteed participation in all UN programmes, funds and specialized agencies as well as in conferences in the parties to UN treaties. Advice received suggests that the General Assembly does not have the authority to require Indigenous peoples' participation in all activities or entities associated with the UN.

Moreover, generally the General Assembly does not determine procedures for participation in the ECOSOC. For the Human Rights Council it has done so in resolution A/RES/60/251 establishing the Council; the rules for participation of observers of the Council were subsequently confirmed by the Human Rights Council itself in its rules of procedures. However, the General Assembly may recommend that the UN as a whole, including all associated entities and processes, enhance participation of Indigenous peoples and it is anticipated that such a recommendation would be influential. There was considerable support expressed for such a General Assembly recommendation.

It was also noted that there is a relationship between the rules and procedures regulating Indigenous peoples' participation and the appropriate venues for Indigenous participation and forms of participation. In other words, the view was expressed that the clearer and stricter the rules and procedures to accredit Indigenous peoples' institutions the more likely there would be agreement on enhanced levels of Indigenous institutions' participation in the higher-level UN bodies such as the General Assembly.

Some are of the view that almost all issues addressed in the UN affect Indigenous peoples. Many also maintain that Indigenous peoples' representative institutions and organisations should have the right to participate in all UN bodies which Indigenous peoples themselves judge to affect their interests.

Some expressed the need to ensure that Indigenous peoples' representative institutions from all regions have in practice and in principle the same rights and capacity to participate in the UN.

# B Participation modalities

Some are of the view that the specific forms of Indigenous participation in the General Assembly should be consistent with those of the vast majority of current holders of observer status in the General Assembly, namely inter-governmental and other organisations. This would include, for example, the right to speak, but not the right to reply, the right to take initiatives or the right to vote. Others referred to the need for adequate seating and access to documents for Indigenous peoples' representative institutions.

Specific times and a set number of speaking spots for accredited observer Indigenous peoples' institutions could be created.

Additionally, it was expressed that Indigenous peoples should not be included in formal meetings for drafting negotiations on resolutions in the General Assembly context. The contrary view was also

expressed; that Indigenous peoples should be including in resolution drafting and negotiation sessions in all relevant bodies of the UN.

At the same time, some are of the view that Indigenous peoples' participation in ECOSOC and its subsidiary bodies and the Human Rights Council and its subsidiary bodies should be improved and might be greater than in the General Assembly, for example, with respect to opportunities to speak and disseminate written material.

The view was expressed that Indigenous peoples' institutions should not take priority over ECOSOC-accredited non-governmental organisations in speaking order or seating arrangements in the ECOSOC or Human Rights Council. Others maintain the contrary, i.e., that Indigenous representative institutions should be afforded some priority as representatives of peoples when issues especially relevant to Indigenous peoples are addressed.

However, there appears to be a convergence of views among those responding that, at a minimum, Indigenous peoples' modalities of participation at the UN should not fall below that of ESOSOC-accredited non-governmental organisations.

# C Method of selection/recognition (accreditation)

Most proposals recommended the establishment of a new body to recognize and accredit Indigenous peoples' representative institutions as eligible for a new category of participation. Suggestions in this regard included that the new body would consist of both Indigenous peoples representatives and states or, alternatively, Indigenous and state appointed independent experts. Independent experts might be appointed using the method currently employed to select members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues.

Some suggested that the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Peoples experts might be mandated to oversee accreditation while others maintain that any new arrangement should be separate from any existing UN bodies or mechanisms, in part to allow the Permanent Forum to focus on its existing mandate. Another suggested that the accreditation process include both a domestic accreditation council and an international accreditation council with both being composed of both Indigenous and State appointees of representatives. There was a further suggestion that, to qualify as an Indigenous peoples' representative institution, state approval must first be obtained.

Conversely, some rejected the establishment of any body external to Indigenous peoples for accreditation. On the other hand, some suggested that the accreditation body be composed of mainly states and/or the use of a "non-objection" procedure. Conversely, there was also some opposition expressed to the use of a "non objection" procedure. Another suggestion was that there be a two-step process with recommendations from a new Indigenous accreditation body being reviewed by the General Assembly with the final decision resting with the General Assembly.

Another suggestion focused on enhancing existing accreditation procedures for bodies such as the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Peoples instead of establishing a new accreditation body.

A number of contributors recommended that membership on the new accrediting body be balanced by geographic area – including equality in membership of individuals from the global North and global South - and gender representation.

There was some discussion, albeit largely inconclusive at this point, on whether any accreditation body should be established under the ECOSOC or the General Assembly.

# D Additional relevant factors for Indigenous peoples' representative institutions to qualify for a new category of participation

There appears to be a convergence of views that qualification for a new category of participation should center on Indigenous peoples' representative institutions. Some are of the view that such institutions should be limited to Indigenous governance institutions while others express that such representative institutions should be understood broadly and flexibly to include different types of organizational structures.

Some propose that a definition of Indigenous peoples' representative institutions is required, with one state citing ILO Convention No 169 criteria, while others disagree with any attempt to define Indigenous peoples or their institutions in any way. Others suggest that, instead of a definition, there might be factors to be taken into account in a flexible manner.

There is also the suggestion that if the process and criteria for accreditation are clearer then there might be a greater willingness to enhance Indigenous peoples' participation in the UN including in the higher echelons. On the other hand, there is a suggestion that if states have a greater or final say in determining accreditation, there is less of a requirement for a definition.

Many Indigenous peoples have multiple representative institutions within and across states and regions. There are often layers of representation that include local, regional, state and international levels.

There appears to be considerable agreement that Indigenous peoples and their representative institutions need to be distinguished from non-governing organisations, organisations composed of Indigenous voluntary members or non-Indigenous peoples' organisations. The point was made that it would undermine Indigenous peoples' governing institutions if other types of Indigenous organisations were entitled to the same category of participation. The point was made that an institution should not be eligible to qualify as both an ECOSOC accredited NGO and an Indigenous peoples' representative institution.

On the other hand, questions remain as to whether, for example, Indigenous women's organisations or organisations representing Indigenous individuals who are not resident on Indigenous territories should qualify for a new category of participation as an Indigenous peoples' representative institution. Some maintain that global Indigenous peoples' institutions such as Indigenous women and youth organisations should be eligible for enhanced participation in the UN system.

There remains a need for clarity around the type of institution that should be eligible for accreditation. Some maintain that it should be confined to governance institutions, including governing councils, parliaments, and traditional authorities, while others claim that not all Indigenous peoples' representative institutions can be accurately described as governing, in some cases because of the impact of colonization and/or dispossession, and should not be denied eligibility as a result. Some maintain that eligibility should extend to organisations that represent more than one Indigenous people. Others are concerned to ensure that eligibility does not extend to Indigenous organisations that may be able to apply for ECOSOC accreditation as non-governing organisations but that do not actually represent Indigenous peoples. Another expressed the view that one Indigenous people might be represented by more than one Indigenous peoples' representative institution and that there should be flexibility in accommodating multiple forms of Indigenous peoples' organizational structures.

There is considerable support for the view that state recognition of an organization as representative of an Indigenous people, although a relevant factor, should not be a prerequisite for eligibility for

accreditation as an Indigenous peoples' representative institution. Many took the view that a necessary factor for qualifying as an Indigenous peoples' representative institution is that they genuinely represent one or more people/s that self-identifies as Indigenous. Other factors cited as relevant include that the institution represent a people with ancestral connections with their lands, territories and resources, who share history, language and culture, who exercise the collective rights of the people and who have the authority to practice self-government and, where relevant, who have entered into treaties, agreements or other constructive arrangements.

One submission recommended that the information required to obtain accreditation be flexible enough to take into account the wide variety of Indigenous peoples' governance institutions, including traditional forms of governance, regional representative councils and parliaments.

There appears to be considerable agreement that Indigenous peoples representative institutions should have the exclusive authority to designate their own individual representatives in accordance with their own procedures but the said representatives should have appropriate credentials from the institutions that they represent. Similarly, there is support for the view that Indigenous representatives should be recognized by their own constituents as such.

# E Comments on resources with respect to enhanced participation

A number of participants requested more information about the financial implications of the proposals to enhance Indigenous peoples' participation at the UN pointing out that the budgetary implications of enhanced participation need to be considered. Others considered that, while relevant, the financial implications should not be a stumbling block on the road to enhanced participation for Indigenous peoples at the UN.

IV EXISTING PRACTICES WITHIN THE UNITED NATIONS REGARDING
PARTICIPATION OF NON-MEMBER STATES, INTERNGOVERNMENTAL
ORGANIZATIONS, NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER
ENTITIES

#### A Observer status at the General Assembly

The General Assembly may determine its own rules with respect to the granting of observer status. In accordance with current practice of the General Assembly, in line with General Assembly decision 49/426 of 9 December 1994:

The granting of observer status in the General Assembly should in future be confined to States and to those intergovernmental organisations whose activities cover matters of interest to the Assembly.

and under General Assembly resolution 54/195 of 17 December 1999:

In future, any request by an organization for the granting of observer status in the General Assembly will be considered in plenary session after the consideration of the issue by the Sixth Committee of the General Assembly;

Requests the Secretary-General to take appropriate measures to bring to the attention of all the States Members of the General Committee of the General Assembly the criteria and procedures laid down by the General Assembly whenever a request is made by an organization seeking observer status in the General Assembly.

UN document A/INF/70/5 provides a list of non-Member States, entities and organizations having received a standing invitation to participate as observers in the sessions and the work of the General Assembly. The specific rights of each observer with respect to their participation e.g. rights to speak, cosponsor resolutions, to raise points of order etc., is determined either by the specific resolution conferring observer status to the observer or by current practice of the General Assembly. There are some intergovernmental organizations, such as specialized agencies and related organizations, that participate at the General Assembly as observers through an agreement between the UN and the organization.

## B ECOSOC and Human Rights Council accreditation

As is detailed in the Secretary-General report Ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24) (2012), there are specific rules regulating the participation of non-governmental organizations (Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/31) under the Economic and Social Council, which have been adopted by the Human Rights Council.

There are also unique participation rules and specific accreditation procedures for national human rights institutions in the Human Rights Council that are, notably, independent of states (including Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 and Commission on Human Rights resolution 2005/74 as well as General Assembly resolution 65/281 Review of the Human Rights Council and its annex).

# C Ad hoc participation in specific UN committees, meetings etc.

Additionally specific modalities to enable civil society participation are set out in specific resolutions relating to specific committees, meetings and conferences associated with the General Assembly, including, by way of example General Assembly resolution 66/296 on the Organization of the high-level plenary meeting of the sixty-ninth session of the General Assembly, to be known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples. Another example is the General Assembly resolution on the format and organizational aspects of the high-level forum on sustainable development (A/Res/67/290).

# V UNIQUE EXISTING PROCEDURES TO FACILITATE PARTICIPATION BY INDIGENOUS PEOPLES IN THE UN

- Procedures to enable Indigenous participation in the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues and the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (see Secretary-General report Ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24) (2012)). Member states and Indigenous peoples both attend as observers in meetings of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.
- Procedures that permit the appointment of Indigenous co-facilitators or advisers in processes that directly address Indigenous peoples such as in the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples and in this current process led by the President of the General Assembly.
- Practices that support Indigenous peoples' participation in various UN bodies as set out in the Inter-Agency Support Group on Indigenous Peoples' Issues The Participation of Indigenous Peoples in the United Nations (June 2014).

### Addendum to the final Compilation of 8 July

### Potential Elements for discussion during the 71st Session of the General Assembly

#### Element

#### Content

Background

The process will be guided by the Charter of the United Nations.

Commitment to respect, promote and advance and in no way diminish the rights of indigenous peoples and to uphold the principles of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (Declaration).

To be guided by the Declaration; articles 3, 5, 18, 19, 20, 32, 33, 39, 41 and 42 isolate some of the key principles, such as that Indigenous peoples have the right to participate in all decision making that impacts on Indigenous peoples;

In particular its article 18, which affirms that indigenous peoples have the right to participate in decision-making in matters which would affect their rights, through representatives chosen by them in accordance with their own procedures, as well as to maintain and develop their own indigenous decision-making institutions

and article 41, which establishes that the United Nations shall contribute to the full realization of the provisions of the Declaration, including through ways and means of ensuring participation of indigenous peoples on issues affecting them.

To recall the decision of the General Assembly, in the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples, by which it committed to consider ways to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, including any specific proposal made by the Secretary-General in this regard (PP 8 of GA resolution 70/232).

To further recall General Assembly Resolution 70/232 of 23 December 2015 (in particular OP 19), in which the General Assembly requested the President of the General Assembly to conduct, within existing resources, timely, inclusive, representative and transparent consultations with Member States, indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions from all regions of the world, and existing relevant mechanisms of the United Nations, on the possible measures necessary, including procedural and institutional steps and selection criteria, to enable the participation of indigenous peoples' representatives and institutions in meetings of relevant United Nations bodies on issues affecting them, and also requests the President to prepare a compilation of the views presented during the consultations, including good practices within the United Nations regarding indigenous peoples' participation, which will form the basis for a draft text to be finalized and adopted by the Assembly during its seventy-first session.

To recall Human Rights Council resolution 18/8 which requested the Secretary-General, in cooperation with the Office of the High Commissioner, the Office of Legal Affairs and other relevant parts of the Secretariat, to prepare a detailed document on the ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of recognized indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them, given that they are not always organized as non-governmental organizations, and on how such participation might be structured, drawing from, inter alia, the rules governing the participation in various United Nations bodies by non-governmental organizations (including Economic and Social Council resolution 1996/31) and by national human rights institutions (including Human Rights Council resolution 5/1 of 18 June 2007 and Commission on Human Rights resolution 2005/74 of 20 April 2005), and to present it to the Council at its twenty-first session.

To further recall Human Rights Council resolution 21/24 by which it notes with appreciation the report of the Secretary-General on the ways and means of promoting participation at the United Nations of indigenous peoples' representatives on issues affecting them (A/HRC/21/24) and invited the General Assembly to consider this issue.

To take note of the outcome document of the Alta Conference (A/67/994, annex), in which indigenous peoples and nations representing the seven global geopolitical regions, including representatives of the women's caucus and the youth caucus, made collective recommendations to the United Nations high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples and called for, at a minimum, a permanent observer status for Indigenous Peoples within the United Nations system, as well as other contributions made by indigenous peoples to this consultation process.

To recall the report of the Secretary-General on progress made in the implementation of the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples, (Secretary-General report Progress made in the implementation of the outcome document of the high-level plenary meeting of the General Assembly known as the World Conference on Indigenous Peoples (A/70/84–E/2015/76).

To reaffirmthe status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the Charter of the United Nations as well as in article 46 of the Declaration; the consultation process does not aim to alter the exclusive right of Member States of the United Nations to membership in the General Assembly or other UN bodies or the status and territorial integrity of States as set out in the UN Charter as well as confirmed in the Declaration.

Venues of participation

Options include participation by Indigenous Peoples' representative institutions:

in the General Assembly and, as appropriate, its subsidiary bodies; and/or

in specific meetings of the General Assembly and its subsidiary bodies (for example the UN General Assembly Third Committee dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on Rights of Indigenous Peoples); and/or

in UN conferences convened by the General Assembly; and/or

the General Assembly:

invites the Human Rights Council to enhance the participation of Indigenous Peoples' representative institutions including in its meetings that are focused on rights of Indigenous peoples (in particular the dialogue with the Special Rapporteur on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the annual half-day discussion on the rights of indigenous peoples, which are held every September session of the Human Rights Council); and/or

invites the ECOSOC to enhance the participation of Indigenous peoples' representative institutions including in its sessions/and its subsidiary bodies that focus on rights of Indigenous peoples; and/or

invites UN programmes, funds and specialized agencies to enhance the participation of Indigenous representative institutions.

Participation modalities

Might be different according to venue and/or conference.

Set number of speaking spots for accredited observer Indigenous peoples' institutions could be created.

General Assembly separate observer status tailored specifically to Indigenous Peoples' representative institutions:

- speaking rights;
  - a set number of speaking spots for accredited Indigenous peoples' representative institutions could be created based on:
    - geographical/regional distribution; and/or
    - the usual procedures and practices of Indigenous peoples; and/or
- right to make written submissions

Recommendations with respect to other bodies, including the Human Rights Council and the ECOSOC and its subsidiary bodies, might include:

accommodation of contributions by national human rights institutions (NHRI's) in the sessions of the Human Rights Council could form an example for the participation of Indigenous Peoples' representative institutions: this would include the right to submit written submissions and make oral statements. The NHRI's are entitled to speak immediately after the State under review during the adoption of the outcome of a universal periodic review of that State by the Human Rights Council in the plenary meeting and immediately after the relevant State that is the subject of a country

report by a Special Procedures mandate holder during the Council's interactive dialogue with the latter.

- specific seating rights;
- relaxing limitations on speaking rights;
  - o speaking precedence over NGO's in issues concerning Indigenous Peoples

And/or:

The modalities for participation should not fall below that of ECOSOC-accredited NGOs.

#### Recognition/selection Mechanism

A new body of experts appointed by states and indigenous peoples to recognize indigenous peoples' representative institutions

- majority Indigenous?; or
- majority states?; or
- equal numbers of Indigenous and states?; and/or
- selection processes like selection for the members of the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues; and/or
- Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues

Placement either under the General Assembly or the ECOSOC but with authority to determine eligibility of entities for participation also in the General Assembly.

Regional representation would need to be considered and the possibility to use the seven Indigenous geo-cultural regions, rather than the five geographic regions usually represented at the UN could be explored.

The General Assembly may reserve the right to approve the selection of the Indigenous peoples' institutions.

Selection criteria

Institution should be *genuinely representative* of one or more Indigenous people, tribe, community or nation.

There needs to be criteria to determine whether an entity is

a) genuinely representative;

b) of one or more people, tribe, community or nation that is or are in fact Indigenous.

The recognized Indigenous peoples' representative institutions themselves determine on an independent basis the particular persons who are authorized to act on their behalf.

General criteria should be flexible for the recognition/selection body to develop through its practice.

Determination of whether or not a people, tribe, community or nation is in fact Indigenous should be made taking into account the diversity of circumstances around the world. Specific factors to be flexibly considered, in accordance with current practice within the UN system, include:

o self-identification – important/essential factor;

#### and:

- o state recognition (a significant but not a determinative factor);
- o relationship with lands, territories and resources;
- o cultural distinctiveness;
- exercise of collective rights;
- o practice of self-government;
- o have entered into treaties, agreements or other constructive arrangements.

Current practices

The distinct participatory rights of Indigenous representatives institutions would not affect the established practice by which other entities, such as NGO's with ECOSOC consultative status, participate in UN meetings or by which Indigenous peoples, groups and individuals participate in sessions of the Expert Mechanism on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (EMRIP) and the Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (PFII).