

## **Annex**

### **Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review:

**(a) Implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action:**

- (i) The extent to which countries, the Collaborative Partnership on Forests and other actors have made progress in implementing the relevant IPF/IFF proposals for action**

Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples:<sup>a</sup>

- Based on scrutiny of publicly available national reports, laws and policies, and information from indigenous peoples and civil society, governments in many countries have only made limited progress in the implementation of the IPF/IFF PfAs at the national level.
- Though a limited number of countries have compiled national forest programmes or are in the process of formulating such national policies, elements from the IPF/IFF PfAs are lacking or only given a passing mention and are not integrated into the actionable components of the policy.
- In a number of countries, participation of key rightsholders has been seriously deficient or late in the policy making cycle, and proposals from indigenous and civil society groups have not been addressed. E.g. Peruvian National Forest Policy; proposed new forest law in Colombia. The failure to ensure proper informed public participation in national forest policy making itself contravenes at least 9 IPF/IFF PfAs.
- There remains a clear disconnect between international forest principals and proposals and national policies and laws, mainly because national level government agencies are unaware of international standards and intergovernmental commitments.
- The IAF has not been effective in closing the gap between international and national forest policies. E.g. at the time of submission of this questionnaire, official translations into Spanish and French of the IPF/IFF PfA's are still not available, despite repeated calls for such translation by civil society, governments, at international forest meetings and the UNFF's own international expert meetings since 2000. Profor has translated a SUMMARY of the Proposals for Action in French and Spanish in 2003. This is only a summary and there is no link on the UNFF website to these documents. These document are not known
- Lack of national level awareness of international forest principles is partly due to very weak linkage between national and international processes which depend, almost entirely on the institution of national focal points, which are typically under-resourced and are not coordinated with relevant ministries and government departments.
- According to available information, only a few countries have undertaken participatory and systematic assessments of the IPF/IFF PfAs and other international forest commitments for the purposes of (a) prioritising them for implementation, and (b) determining the gaps between the proposals and existing national policies and programmes.
- Lack of effective implementation is demonstrated by the failure of international agencies and countries to coordinate forest policies with other sectoral policies. In many cases, mining, energy, transport and infrastructure policies continue to cause forest loss and degradation. The absence of an effective cross-sectoral approach to forests is in direct contravention of at least 16 IPF/IFF PfAs which promote a holistic approach that address the direct and underlying causes of deforestation and forest degradation.
- Evidence of the continued failure to implement the proposals for action and address the forest crisis is contained in a series of draft country and regional case studies prepared for the UNFF International Expert Meeting on Traditional Forest Related Knowledge to be held in December 2004 in Costa Rica. E.g. Panama, Peru, DR Congo, Papua New Guinea, Gabon, Cameroon, India, etc.

Role of the international arrangement on forests:<sup>b</sup>

Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
--	----------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.

Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)

- Though we have not compiled quantifiable data, dialogue with governments in a number of countries show that the vast majority of staff in many forest departments and environment ministries are largely unaware of IPF/IFF PfAs and their significance for forest issues.
- We recommend that one key indicator for progress in implementation would be the number of governments that have instituted capacity building and awareness programmes for their forest department staff regarding the IPF/IFF PfAs and related international principles and standards on forests.
- Assessment of indirect implementation of IPF/IFF PfAs is very difficult due to a lack of recent policy information and information on government and international initiatives in any particular country. In many countries, national contact points and UNFF focal points are largely unable to furnish relevant information to civil society regarding implementation efforts at the national level. E.g. Central African Countries.
- Given the very low number of voluntary national reports submitted to the UNFF over the period 2000-04, it is very difficult to make a global or even regional assessment of the extent of implementation (See below)

Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(a) Implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action:</b> <b>(ii) The extent to which countries have developed and started to implement national forest programmes or equivalent processes</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - Many countries have started to develop NFPs - these however often do not include elements referring to the social aspects of IPF/IFF PfAs or to the impacts of trade and consumption and production patterns on forests from the country developing the NFP- but as yet, few have implemented or made their NFPs available to the public. the NFPs are hard to find on the internet, even if they have been made public. There is a lack of transparency in the whole process - See related comments in section (a) (i) above					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Number of countries that are preparing NFPs in a fully participatory, transparent and cross-sectoral manner. - Number of countries that have adopted NFPs with policy priorities and components consistent with the IPF/IFF PfAs and other international forest related commitments and obligations. - Number of countries who have undertaken FULLY PARTICIPATORY and systematic assessments of the IPF/IFF PfAs for the purposes of prioritising them in NFPs.					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable

UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(a) Implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action:</b> <b>(iii) The extent to which participation of stakeholders in those programmes and processes has been enhanced</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples <sup>a</sup> - According to the draft case studies of the TFRK Expert Meeting mentioned above, in most countries reviewed, participation of rightsholders and other interested parties such as indigenous peoples, local communities and civil society organisations in IPF/IFF implementation processes or NFP processes has been seriously defective, perfunctory, late or minimal. E.g. Ecuador, Peru, Dem Rep of Congo and Canada					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Number of NFP and related processes that are endorsed as genuinely participatory by representative organisations of indigenous peoples and local communities, as well as civil society and NGOs. - Number of national draft NFPs made available for public comment on national and international websites in relevant languages. - Number of national public consultation processes that provide adequate time for informed public scrutiny and comments on draft policy documents that are provided in relevant languages, e.g. consultation on draft policy of a minimum of 6 months. - Number of consultation processes that have pro-active dissemination of hard copies of the relevant documents in the correct languages. - See also recommendations in previous NGO reports, e.g. Keeping the Promise (2000)					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable

UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review:					
<b>(a) Implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action:</b>					
<b>(iv) The extent to which the international arrangement on forests has facilitated and promoted countries' implementation of the IPF/IFF proposals for action, focusing on the means of implementation (finance, transfer of environmentally sound technologies and capacity-building) as well as the relevant common items</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
<p>- Since in most cases the implementation of the IPF/IFF PfAs is limited and information on these processes is minimal, it is unclear to what extent the IAF has facilitated or promoted their implementation.</p> <p>- At the UNFF4, most governments agreed that the main problem with the implementation of IPF/IFF PfAs is that there exists no mechanism for their implementation, or it is unclear what the mechanisms are.</p>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
- See answers to question (a) (i) above.					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.



**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(b) Forum for continued policy development and dialogue:</b> <b>(i) The extent to which the international arrangement on forests, including, inter alia, Forum sessions, intersessional work and the multi-stakeholder dialogue, and the related work of the Collaborative Partnership on Forests and its members, as well as country- and organization-led initiatives, have enhanced forest policy development and dialogue</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Dialogue at the UNFF has been largely focussed on process issues (e.g. terms of reference for expert groups, etc) and has not focussed on constructive dialogue on how to improve implementation of existing commitments on forests.</li> <li>- Forest policy dialogue at the UNFF has not dealt adequately with critical social and rights issues, and has actually shown a marked reluctance to deal with these topics, to the bitter disappointment and disillusionment of indigenous peoples and NGOs. E.g. failure to adopt progressive resolution on TFRK.</li> <li>- It is our strongly held view that the quality of forest policy dialogue at the UNFF falls far behind other forest-related policy dialogues at the UN and other fora, e.g. CBD, World Parks Congress, etc.</li> <li>- Our overall evaluation is that UNFF forest policy dialogue has not advanced forest policy making at the international level because crucial social justice and environmental issues are still not accepted by the vast majority of government delegations participating at the UNFF as being central to forest policy making. E.g. indigenous peoples' rights, land rights, plantations (are not forests), livelihoods, human rights, community based forest management, underlying causes, social issues in certification, GMOs, etc.</li> <li>- The CPF has also failed to promote constructive dialogue on forests as most indigenous peoples and NGOs were thoroughly disappointed with the outcomes of the World Banks Forest Policy Implementation Review and Strategy process which failed to result in adequate protections for indigenous peoples and other forest dependent communities.</li> <li>- Efforts to foster dialogue between CPF members and civil society through the CPF network have not resulted in any tangible policy outcomes, and the usefulness of the CPF network as currently constituted is highly questionable (see below).</li> </ul>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Number of policy outcomes fully endorsed and commended by indigenous peoples, community-based organisations, and environmental and social NGOs.					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable

UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(b) Forum for continued policy development and dialogue:</b> <b>(ii) The extent to which the international arrangement on forests has worked in a transparent and participatory manner, including through the involvement of major groups</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The effective participation of major groups in the UNFF sessions remains blocked by a number of institutional and attitudinal obstacles in the UNFF and among certain members of the CPF.</li> <li>- The Multistakeholder dialogue has not resulted in any major group issues being taken up by the governments at the UNFF sessions.</li> <li>- For example, during the week of text deliberations at the UNFF4, the major groups are not permitted to intervene, nor are they permitted to join deliberation groups that continue outside of the official UNFF schedule. This severely constrains major group participation in the process and is in marked contrast to the progressive practices in other UN fora.</li> <li>- Also, no civil society members are allowed to attend formal meetings of the CPF, even as simple observers</li> <li>- The CPF Network has not promoted transparency in the deliberations, and policy dialogue and national and regional level operations and activities of CPF members</li> </ul>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Number of amendments to UN rules and procedures and accepted informal modalities that ensure informed participation by major groups e.g., speaking to text in contact groups and negotiations generally</li> <li>- No of international meetings praised for level of informed participation by major groups</li> <li>- Extent to which intersessional and expert international meetings led by major groups are incorporated in the deliberations and outcomes of policy dialogue of the IAF</li> </ul>					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable

	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.



**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(b) Forum for continued policy development and dialogue:</b> <b>(iv) The extent to which progress has been made in reaching a common understanding of forest-related concepts, terminology and definitions</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - Progress has been made in reaching common understandings of the scientific concepts, terminology and definitions, but in many cases, the social aspects remain confused, as exemplified by the discussions concerning Traditional Forest Related Knowledge during the UNFF 4 <sup>th</sup> Session. Other key definitions such as plantations and forest cover remain unresolved.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate. Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
<b>Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities<sup>c</sup></b>	<b>None</b>	<b>Limited</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Not applicable</b>
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(c) Cooperation and policy and programme coordination:</b> <b>(i) The extent to which partnerships relevant to the implementation of the IPF/IFF proposals for action have been advanced</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - One of the few examples of constructive partnerships is recent UNFF support for an indigenous peoples led initiative on TFRK.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Number of joint initiatives between indigenous peoples and civil society and other actors which are commended by all parties involved.					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(c) Cooperation and policy and programme coordination:</b> <b>(ii) The extent to which the international arrangement on forests has facilitated and promoted coordination and cooperation among other forest-related organizations, instruments and processes</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
<p>- Through the CPF there has been an apparent advance in coordination among CPF members. However, due to lack of transparency, we are unable to properly evaluate the level of coordination, which is still often lacking at the national and regional level.</p> <p>- We are not aware of many tangible examples of coordination that may be attributed directly to the IAF, other than the recent International Expert Meeting on TFRK which involves coordination between the UNFF and the CBD secretariats. (There may be more examples, but due to lack of information, we cannot ascertain this.)</p> <p>- There is a reluctance at the UNFF sessions to comment critically on CPF member policies that are contradictory. Such policy contradictions raised by major groups at the Forum are disregarded or ignored. E.g. problems with GEF operational programmes, problems with World Bank Forest Policy and implementation.</p>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions: country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review:

**(d) International cooperation:**

**(i) The extent to which the international community, including bilateral and multilateral donors and organizations, Collaborative Partnership on Forests members and international and regional processes, have facilitated the implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action in developing countries and countries with economies in transition, inter alia, through the provision of financial, technical and scientific resources and capacity-building**

---

Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples:<sup>a</sup>

- Donors and other international/national processes are increasingly focusing on forest-related projects in developing/transition countries, for example, Germany, Finland and the Netherlands have been providing financial and technical support to some developing countries for preparation of their NFPs (results presented in a side-event at UNFF4). These initiatives cannot be strictly or reliably attributed to the deliberations and activities of the IAF.

---

Role of the international arrangement on forests:<sup>b</sup>

Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
--	----------------------------------	--	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--

---

Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.

Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)

Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review:					
<b>(d) International cooperation:</b>					
<b>(ii) The extent to which the international arrangement on forests has promoted efforts by the international community to facilitate the implementation of the IPF/IFF proposals for action through, in particular, North-South cooperation and public-private partnerships, as well as through South-South and North-North cooperation</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
- We have not assessed this element.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
<b>Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities<sup>c</sup></b>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(i) The extent to which countries, regions, organizations and processes respond to the call from Forum and Collaborative Partnership on Forests members for voluntary reports, with a focus on the implementation of the IPF/IFF proposals for action</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Many countries have not completed any voluntary reports.</li> <li>- Up until UNFF4, only 4% (8) countries have reported to all 4 sessions of the Forum.</li> <li>- Up until UNFF4, of the 191 of the member states of the United Nations, less than 30% (52) has reported to one of the UNFF meetings.</li> <li>- Voluntary government reports usually do not contain any systematic treatment of the obstacles to effective implementation (except for financial ones) and serious problems encountered in formulating and applying national forest policies. E.g. national forest law in Peru.</li> <li>- Many forested countries, such as Brazil, Chile, Cameroon, Congo Brazzaville, France, Ghana, Venezuela and Suriname have never reported to any of the UNFF meetings.</li> </ul>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
- Number of countries who have handed in a qualitative report					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>					
	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(ii) The extent to which trends, lessons learned, emerging issues and actions are identified and become apparent through those voluntary reports</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - Most Voluntary National Reports for the UNFF4 do not contain lessons learnt and it is therefore impossible to generate conclusions or trends. The reports generally discuss the general status of the national forests and their ongoing projects/programmes; they do not discuss trends, lessons learned and emerging issues. Therefore we feel the Voluntary National Reports do not contribute to identify trends and lessons learned.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate. Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Number of reports which describe the lessons learnt.					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(iii) The extent to which major groups have been encouraged to participate in developing voluntary reports</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup>					
<p>- Despite the recognition of the importance of civil society participation in the development of these reports as detailed in the IPF/IFF Proposals for Action , less than 50% of the reports submitted have been developed with consultation of different stakeholder groups.</p> <p>- Even where consultation has happened, participants of the consultation were often frustrated that the issues they raised were not reflected in the National Reports</p> <p>- Indigenous peoples have rarely if ever participated in this exercise (especially in developing countries). This shows serious shortcomings in encouraging and participation of major groups, notably indigenous peoples</p>					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
<p>- Number of national reports that have been developed based on meaningful consultation of major groups</p> <p>- Number of activities that have been carried out on basis of recommendations by major groups.</p>					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(iv) The extent to which Collaborative Partnership on Forests members have worked to strengthen countries. abilities to monitor, assess and report progress in the implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - Other than reproducing the UNFF website on reporting on the CPF website, it is unclear whether CPF members have worked to strengthen countries' abilities to monitor, assess and report on the implementation of IPF/IFF PfAs. It is certainly not made explicit by the members, nor by the CPF website.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate. Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions: country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(v) The extent to which Forum sessions provide opportunities to voluntarily report progress and lessons learned</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - The format of the UNFF currently provides opportunities for this both in plenary sessions during the first week and side events. However, the government statements in the plenary sessions rarely comment on progress or lessons learned concerning the implementation of IPF/IFF proposals for action. The statements are most often devoid of any real assessments.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Time spent on critically discussing the Voluntary National Reports and of progress made and lessons learnt during the UNFF sessions					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(e) Monitoring and assessing progress through reporting:</b> <b>(vi) The extent to which countries make progress in monitoring, assessment and reporting through, inter alia, applying criteria and indicator processes or similar tools in their efforts to achieve sustainable forest management</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> - Very few countries have reported to the UNFF. Only 8 countries have reported to all of the UNFF sessions. Without these reports it is not possible to see if countries have made progress in monitoring, applying criteria and indicator processes etc. We are aware that many countries are working within one of the 9 regional criteria and indicator processes. The UNFF reports, if available, do not provide enough information to assess progress in this area.					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate.					
Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators)					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership-initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

**Annex**

**Voluntary questionnaire to facilitate the review of the effectiveness of the international arrangement on forests at the fifth session of the United Nations Forum on Forests**

Specific criterion for review: <b>(f) Strengthening political commitment:</b> <b>(i) The extent to which high-level engagement furthers political commitment to the implementation of the IPF/IFF proposals for action by countries</b>					
Remark/synthesis report with illustrative examples: <sup>a</sup> -In principle high level engagement should contribute to political commitment. However, because of the limited progress made one has to conclude that either the past 4 years of experience with the UNFF indicate that high level engagement does NOT further political commitment to the implementation of IPF/IFF PfAs, or that there has not been sufficient high level engagement. Either way it has not worked					
Role of the international arrangement on forests: <sup>b</sup>					
Overall assessment of the international arrangement on forests	None <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Limited <input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate <input type="checkbox"/>	High <input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable <input type="checkbox"/>
Do you quantify this criterion? Answer if appropriate. Quantifiable benchmarks (e.g., goals, criteria and indicators) - Attendance of ministers, Director Generals at UNFF sessions - Number of speeches held by Ministers or Director Generals					
Assessment of the international arrangement on forests according to activities <sup>c</sup>	None	Limited	Moderate	High	Not applicable
UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNFF decisions/resolutions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Panel discussions at UNFF sessions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Country and organisation-led Initiatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reports of Ad Hoc Experts Groups	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CPF initiated activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contacts at UNFF sessions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Multi-Stakeholder dialogue	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Actions by the UNFF secretariat	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

<sup>a</sup> Additional information can be attached to this questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Place an X in the appropriate box.

<sup>c</sup> In accordance with paragraph 2 (b) above, please give an appraisal of the international arrangement on forests according to its different activities, as appropriate, such as: Forum sessions; Forum decisions/resolutions; panel discussions at Forum sessions; country- and organization-led initiatives; reports of ad hoc expert groups; Partnership -

initiated activities; contacts at Forum sessions; actions by the Forum secretariat; or multi-stakeholder dialogues.

