




Water availability has changed, but our habits have not


- Water a basic human right / free good irrespective of use
- Water allocations based on riparian or prior appropriation systems with *limited opportunities for change*
- Water scarcity not factored into water pricing
- Water governed and managed on a sectoral basis
- Groundwater use anarchic in some countries
- Environmental water needs seldom heeded, to our peril
- Water and crop productivity stagnated since the green revolution, which generally by-passed Africa
- Gender issues ignored with respect to water governance



The Paradox and the Challenge

Feeding another 2.5 billion people with less water for agriculture than we have now










Solutions will depend on governance reform investment and science

If we are to tackle the worlds water and food problems we need to do this via:

- improving water availability to all via more effective and efficient governance including equitable allocation, institutional overhaul, valuing and pricing water appropriately etc
- increasing water productivity at basin to field scales
- reducing health and environmental risks from contaminated waters











Major pathways to meet future food demand in Asia

- Invest in irrigation
 - √ Improve productivity of existing systems (How?)
 - ✓ Expand irrigation (Where and of what type?)
- · Reform irrigation and unlock value
- · Invest in rainfed agriculture
- Promote trade from water rich highly productive areas
- Invest in and share data

To improve the management of land and water resources for food, livelihoods and the environment.



Water development in Africa

- There is huge potential to affect poverty in Africa through irrigation development.
- Water infrastructure in Sub-Saharan Africa needs to be developed as a range of agricultural water management interventions – from full, to supplemental, on-farm and basin-wide options.



Potential of interventions Sub-Saharan Africa

All Sub-Saharan Africa	Full irrigation (IP-1)	Supple- mental irrigation (IP-2)	Soil Moisture Mgmt (IP-3)	Watershed Mgmt (IP-4)	Total**
No. of rural beneficiaries (millions)	18.6	30	125.8	240.7	279.3
Of which considered poor (millions)	4.6	7.2	27.9	57.2	65.7
No. of hectares (millions ha)	9.4	15.2	63.6	422.8	458.8

 $[\]hbox{** Totals do not add up as watershed management cuts across and partly overlaps with other IPs.}$

To improve the management of land and water resources for food, livelihoods and the environment.



Costs summary table Sub-Saharan Africa

All Sub-Saharan Africa	Full irrigation (IP-1)	Supple- mental irrigation (IP-2)	Soil Moisture Mgmt (IP-3)	Watershed Mgmt (IP-4)	Totals
Investments per ha (US\$/ha)	4276	3815	175	156	252
'Soft' components (US\$/ha/yr)	428	382	18	16	25
Recurrent cost (US\$/ha/yr)	128	114	5.3	2.3	7.6
Total Investments (billions US\$)	40	58	11	66	115



Cost Benefit Analysis for SSA: conclusions

- DON'T FORGET RAINFED AGRICULTURE: Better soil moisture
 management has the greatest potential in terms of number of beneficiaries
 and across wide areas is highest Also investment costs per hectare and
 beneficiary is lowest.
- Under the current cropping pattern only interventions under better soil
 moisture management are economically feasible (even with high market
 access) because of the high initial investment costs of full and supplemental
 irrigation.
- BUT if cropping patterns shift to higher value crops and if these crops can be marketed (this assumes marketing infrastructure!) irrigation becomes the most interesting option: number of beneficiaries, the NPV and hectares are highest under IP 1
- Investments in poor countries in SSA may not be immediately profitable because of lack of infrastructure. The availability of developed markets is a factor in the profitability of investments. AWM strategies must always be combined with interventions focussed on market strategies.

To improve the management of land and water resources for food, livelihoods and the environment.



Investing in the blue revolution

- A range of small-scale AWM interventions exist across the purely rainfed ('green' water) to fully irrigated ('blue' water) continuum.
- To support poverty alleviation we need to be highly sensitive to biophysical, social, economic and institutional settings.
- Looking across scales AWM interventions require a basket of 'hard' (technologies) and 'soft' (community mobilization, knowledge transfer) components at both field and watershed scales.
- Watershed management with high community participation is associated with larger benefit-cost ratios and increased sustainability compared with purely technical interventions.
- We can't achieve much of this without appropriate governance frameworks and these are often rudimentary



What kind of difference can we make?

- Potentially benefit 65 million rural poor in SSA and 70 million rural poor in India (outside formal irrigation districts), with respective increases in agricultural production estimated at 30% and 50%.
- Total investment cost \$115 billion in SSA and \$156 billion in India.
- For SSA, Many full/supplementary irrigation interventions for the current crop portfolio only become profitable if at least 50% of initial investment costs are provided as subsidies by donors/governments.


To improve the management of land and water resources for food, livelihoods and the environment.



Next steps - The Reform Agenda

We will not be able to double food production over the next 40 years if we continue as we are. We need:

- science to increase awareness of severity of issues amongst politicians and policy makers
- options for water reform to be shared, presented and discussed openly
- water data, information and knowledge to be shared
- the true value of water to be recognized.
- to protect the rights of the poor while considering the economic value of water
- looking out for the security aspect; people need water; social aspect; resilience



International Water Management In stitute www.iwmi.org

Required Investment in Agriculture

- Annual investment in agricultural development need to increase by 50% (from \$142 -209 billion) to meet food demands (FAO)
- The CGIAR suggests a 3 fold increase in total R&D from (\$5 – 16 billion) is needed to underpin this investment. (The CG's current budget is about \$0.5 billion)
- \$270 billion dollars of investment in agricultural water can significantly help almost a billion people in Africa and South Asia.
- \$16 billion per year in agricultural R&D can turn around yield stagnation



Conclusions

- Scientific evidence and underpinning R&D are the basis for investment
- There is compelling evidence that the decline in ODA expenditure on the agriculture sector has lead to declining rates of productivity increase. If this continues we will not be able to feed the world in another 30-40 years.
- The costs of preventing a global food and water crisis and its social consequences are small compared to that of the financial crisis bail out (estimated at >\$10 trillion by the BBC).