CITIES IN
A GLOBALIZING WORLD
FOREWORD

The world has entered the urban millennium. Nearly half the world’s people are now city dwellers, and the rapid increase in urban population is expected to continue, mainly in developing countries. This historic transition is being further propelled by the powerful forces of globalization. The central challenge for the international community is clear: to make both urbanization and globalization work for all people, instead of leaving billions behind or on the margins.

Although globalization certainly affects rural areas, the impact of global economic change is largely centred on cities. Globalization is changing the structure of employment; it is altering the demographic make-up of cities; and it is introducing a strong international context to local concerns. At the same time, cities and their surrounding regions are themselves shaping and promoting globalization by providing the infrastructure and labour upon which globalization depends, as well as the ideas and innovation that have always emerged from the intensity of urban life.

The benefits of globalization are being spread unevenly. Cities present some of the starkest of these contrasts: homeless people living in cardboard boxes, next to skyscrapers occupied by corporations whose budgets exceed those of many countries; growing gaps between the salaries offered by labour markets and the housing costs determined by urban land markets; enormous levels of consumption alongside great pyramids of waste that threaten the environment and human health; and hitherto unseen patterns of segregation, with pockets of wealth at the centre and vast enclaves of poverty on the periphery.

The combined processes of urbanization and globalization have thrust additional responsibilities on city governments. Public administration and economic development are linked more and more to global markets and investment, but this is also an opportunity: to entrench democracy at the local level and to build new partnerships with the private sector, citizens’ groups and other cities confronting similar challenges. National governments, for their part, will continue to play a key role in the governance of cities, not only in terms of finance, but also in overall strategic planning and in crucial matters such as justice, equity and social cohesion.

Cities in a Globalizing World: Global Report on Human Settlements 2001 is a comprehensive review of conditions in the world’s cities and the prospects for making them better, safer places to live in an age of globalization. I hope that it will provide all stakeholders – foremost among them the urban poor themselves – with reliable and timely information with which to set our policies right and get the machinery of urban life moving in a constructive direction. At the United Nations Millennium Summit in September 2000, world leaders pledged to achieve, by the year 2020, significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers. As we strive to meet this target and to implement the Habitat Agenda adopted at the Istanbul conference in 1996, the United Nations system, including the United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (Habitat), will continue to place its unique services at the disposal of all the world’s peoples.
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INTRODUCTION

The *Global Report on Human Settlements 2001* chronicles human settlement conditions and trends since the second United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat I) convened in Istanbul in June 1996, emphasizing both progress made in the past five years and the issues that continue to confront a changing world. In addressing these issues, the structure of the report follows the two main strategic themes of the Habitat Agenda adopted by Habitat II: adequate shelter for all and sustainable human settlements development in an urbanizing world.

The previous edition of the *Global Report on Human Settlements*, written prior to the Habitat II conference, contributed to worldwide understanding of the human settlements issues that became the focus of the Habitat Agenda. The 1996 report, entitled *An Urbanizing World*, characterized cities around the world as places of opportunity and presented a view of cities as engines of growth.

Today, the trend of urbanization continues, although intertwined with globalization. Like urbanization, globalization brings opportunities as well as problems, and its impacts are increasingly being observed worldwide, most clearly in the cities. The challenge is to develop solutions to problems associated with globalization, while at the same time strengthening its positive aspects. Human settlements can play a significant role in this process by fostering good governance and effective partnerships.

*Cities in a Globalizing World* acknowledges the positive consequences of globalization: facilitated diffusion of knowledge; facilitated spread of norms of democratic governance, environmental justice and human rights; increased city-to-city exchanges of knowledge, experiences, best practices and lessons learned; and increased awareness in both citizens and city managers of the potentials of peer-to-peer learning. The report also draws attention to many urgent and unresolved problems.

In Africa, only one-third of all urban households is connected to potable water. In Latin America, urban poverty stands at 30 per cent. In Asia Pacific, a mere 38 per cent of urban households are connected to a sewerage system. In Europe, processes of social exclusion marginalize many low-income and minority households, while urban crime and the decline of peripheral housing estates undermine the social cohesion of many communities. In North America, problems of residential segregation, discrimination in housing markets and affordability persist, particularly in the larger cities, despite recent economic growth. Worldwide, hundreds of millions of people live under conditions of abject poverty or experience highly unequal access to resources.

Studies presented in this report indicate that, while some population groups have improved their housing conditions, a disproportionate share of the world population has seen its situation deteriorate further. In many countries, real incomes have fallen, the costs of living have gone up and the number of poor households has grown, particularly in urban areas. Sixty countries have become steadily poorer since 1980. Many studies portray increasing economic disparities between nations, cities, neighbourhoods and households, revealing strong increases in polarization and growing global inequality.

The growing demand for public services in many countries is increasingly being met by local authorities and, in some cases, by the private sector, as these entities take on responsibility for functions previously ascribed to national governments. Furthermore, as civil society becomes more organized, effective and politically active, municipal institutions are becoming more democratic and adopting more participatory local structures.

Local political coalitions, together with representative groups from civil society, are attempting to shape their cities and towns in ways that help to maximize the opportunities as well as to minimize the social and economic disadvantages associated with globalization. Whether this involves campaigns against crime or plans to improve the local environment in order to attract tourists, or whether it involves strategies to reduce local taxes or develop more comprehensive educational or health systems, municipal officials and their partners are increasingly responsive to the potential benefits of competitive strategies.

As a result, and as pointed out in this report, many cities have experienced a shift in the policies of urban government from managerialism to entrepreneurialism. This entrepreneurial attitude views the city as a product that needs to be marketed. The emphasis on marketing underpins the restructuring of cities so that they appeal to global investors. By the same token, cities that do not always have the resources to attract outside interest and investment may find themselves even more bereft and impoverished. Local capacity building is essential to reducing the risk of global polarization. In this
connection, it is encouraging that international cooperation in the form of city-to-city exchanges is rapidly growing in popularity. Public–private partnerships are also increasingly being broadened to include civil society groups and there is increasing evidence of the potential of community-based networks based on direct people-to-people interactions. The challenge at national and international levels is to create an enabling legal framework in which the various forms of community-to-community cooperation can be intensified and strengthened.

Considering the trends that are reshaping the world’s urban structures, the report places emphasis on ‘metropolization of the world economy’. It describes the archipelagic spatial structure of emerging global urban networks. Megacities, comprising urban cores and associated hinterlands, are theoretically able to address all kinds of technical problems, including urban service provision and environmental management. However, they are facing difficult governance challenges, owing to obsolete municipal political structures and inhabitants who are more and more concerned with only their immediate individual and local neighbourhood interests than with their common future as citizens of the same city.

Urban planners are inescapably caught up in this dynamic of the new urban political economy. Urban planning today is less codified and technical, and more innovative and entrepreneurial. It is also more participatory and concerned with projects rather than whole urban systems. Planning expertise is increasingly sought not only by states, but also by the corporate sector and civil society which seek to forge agreements through negotiation and mediation among contesting parties. Urban planning is no longer the prerogative of national and local governments, who previously claimed to possess privileged knowledge about the ‘public interest’. As pointed out in the report, what is controversial is not urban planning per se, but how to reconcile its multiple goals of efficiency, equity and liveability.

Globalization not only increases competition but also fragmentation, with contradictory effects on cities. To compete effectively, cities must act as a collective unit. However, their growing social, political, economic and physical polarization hampers their capacity to build coalitions, mobilize resources and develop good governance structures. Given that metropolitan areas are the chief arenas for global competition, it is necessary to strengthen them by giving them greater authority and autonomy. However, the enabling and regulatory role of governments must be broader than just facilitating the functioning of markets. It must also include responsibility for social cohesion, equity and conflict resolution.

This report reflects the significance of human settlements for sustainable social and economic development in a globalizing world and focuses on key strategies to promote and facilitate the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, the main policy document and plan of action signed by 171 member states at Habitat II.
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KEY ISSUES AND MESSAGES

To portray human settlements conditions and development trends is a challenging task for the Global Report series. *Cities in a Globalizing World* looks at the liveability of human settlements and their development prospects in the context of globalization. To encourage understanding of the dynamic nature of liveability, the following episode is presented.

On 11 July 2000, the collapse of a rubbish dump in Payatas, Manila, killed 218 people living in shanties at the bottom of the site and left another 500 people missing under the rotting garbage. The tragedy of their burial underneath the trash of a world city, off its edge and in the darkness of night, symbolizes the invisible, daily plight of innumerable poor people in today’s globalizing world.

On 27 August 2000, the Housing Secretary of the Philippines and experts and slum dwellers from India, Indonesia and Sri Lanka joined 7000 residents from the Payatas dump site community for a week of meetings and activities, during which community leaders proposed plans for resettlement and showcased self-built model houses with details on construction costs and site plans. The successful gathering celebrated the competence and capabilities of the poor, evidenced the potential of international networks and demonstrated the enabling role of globalization-from-below.

The preceding episode captures in a microcosm several key findings of this report. First, and most obviously, the landslide, triggered by heavy rains, is an example of the death and devastation brought about by natural and human-made disasters. Those most affected are often the poor who live on steep hillsides, in low-lying riverbeds or other hazardous areas. Chapter 15 documents for the first time the enormous human impacts of such calamities across the world and reviews mitigating strategies and post-disaster reconstruction approaches.

At another level, the collapse of the Payatas garbage heap acutely illustrates what may happen when consumption patterns, made possible by globalization, produce waste that accumulates in unmanageable volumes to threaten environmental and human health. The scavenger families eked out a living from recycling the final discards of a global consumer culture. They dwelled daily amid fumes from synthetic decomposition whose toxicity prompted the cessation of emergency aid operations out of concern for the health of the rescue workers. This report stresses the importance of balancing the goals of globalization. It recognizes the importance of economic growth, but emphasizes that such growth must be guided by criteria of social justice and environmental sustainability.

Finally and perhaps most importantly, the Payatas experience illustrates the positive power of people living in poverty who adopt approaches that go beyond a confrontational face-off and who use astute initiatives to construct collaborative partnerships as a means to improve their living conditions. The disaster received much attention on television and in the printed media around the world. The initial response involved emergency aid and rescue actions. As bulldozers removed mangled corpses, shock and compassion for the survivors prevailed. However, soon after, official reaction declared the victims guilty. The Payatas residents countered this criminalization of their poverty with recriminations against the responsible authorities. Some survivors filed a US$22 million class-action suit against the local government and private waste contractors for gross negligence and flagrant violation of environmental laws, zoning and health regulations. More noteworthy and unusual, however, was the proactive response of other residents. Rather than getting trapped in a spiralling war of attrition, the families used insights about how poor communities can make choices. They strategically timed their invitation to the Housing Secretary to coincide with the ceremony for the prestigious Magsaysay award for International Understanding to Jockin Arputham, a founder and president of Slum/Shack Dwellers International. With the support of international networks, the slum dwellers created evidence of their own abilities, winning not only financial support but also earning official recognition as a legitimate partner in the joint development of long-term policy options.

This report highlights the vital contributions that people living in poverty can make to improve their situation. It acknowledges that lack of resources, insufficient institutional capacity and persistent corruption often greatly circumscribe the problem-solving abilities of governments. Parts V and VI underscore that, in light of these limitations, it is crucial that appropriate frameworks and strategies for cooperation are developed among governments, civil society and the private sector.

The Payatas episode illustrates the complexity of the message of this report. It is a message about poverty and prosperity – and the differences between them. It conveys despair about wasted and lost lives, but it also brings hope and raises expectations for the future.
When looking at human settlements around the world today, one can observe gains in wealth, made possible by globalization, in such forms as newly constructed luxury apartments, fashionable shopping malls, gleaming office towers, trendy restaurants, stylish department stores, modern airports and high-tech parks. On the other hand, various alarming trends must be of serious concern: in large regions, the number of poor people has increased and existing inequalities are getting worse. The negative effects of spatial segregation and social exclusion are becoming more and more evident. What are the implications of these contrasting developments for the planning, development and management of human settlements?

There is increasing evidence that present human settlements policies and programmes in many countries do not effectively address urgent problems of access to adequate housing, infrastructure and basic services, as documented in the chapters that follow. There is also greater recognition that many current developments are not only harmful to the poor but also detrimental to general economic growth and political health in the long run as well. The world cannot continue with ‘business as usual’ if it is to be successful in tackling the urban challenges of the new millennium. Support is growing for new approaches that hold more hope for the future. In particular, this report calls for better appreciation of policies that support the poor and help to develop their unrealized human capital potential, with benefits for the whole of society. The question then becomes, which strategies hold most promise?

This report examines this question within the context of globalization. It starts with the observation that globalization has brought valuable benefits, but that these benefits have been unevenly distributed. It stresses that this uneven distribution of benefits (and costs) is not coincidental but a function of the dominant logic that drives current globalization processes: the logic of market mechanisms, facilitated by advances in information and communication technologies and liberalization policies. Market mechanisms can be effective for some purposes and are often viewed as the best way to promote economic growth. However, market mechanisms do not perform well in several important respects. For example, markets do not respond well when household incomes are too low to translate need into effective demand or for providing universal access to public goods. Markets also tend to externalize costs to people living elsewhere or in future times, and they are ill suited to strengthen societal integration or to steer development according to a long-term vision.

This report develops the argument that globalization must serve other goals besides economic growth, particularly when this growth benefits some a great deal more than others. These other goals derive from the normative platforms that emerged from the plans of action formulated at the United Nations conferences of the 1990s, discussed in Chapter 3. They predicate provision of basic needs less on ability-to-pay and more on human rights. First and foremost, they accentuate social justice and strengthen support for sustainable development.

Human settlements are important in the realization of these goals in that they link economic globalization to human development. Cities can modulate the impacts of globalization and channel its associated processes to support development scenarios evolving from local democratic practices. They can play key roles in supporting a globalization-from-below to counterbalance present top-down processes. As constraints of geographical distance are becoming less important, the specific features of human settlements are becoming more important in the locational decision-making of businesses and households. This creates opportunities for local development choices. Rather than being at the mercy of global capital, cities can take advantage of their unique qualities as they seek to attract investment and develop employment markets. Therefore, far from exerting a deterministic, homogenizing effect, globalization can allow for local differentiation.

The capacity of cities to play a part in their own development, to exercise a degree of choice, makes them increasingly strategic sites for contesting alternative claims by stakeholders pursuing different and sometimes conflicting goals. In order to advance local urban agendas that give higher priority to social justice and environmental sustainability, urban policies should support the transition of cities’ function as ‘engines of growth’ to their new important role as ‘agents of change’. These changes require new political strategies for urban liveability and new forms of governance. Globalization has created new conditions for decision-making: interdependent, complex, loosely linked actors and institutions that may have shared purposes but no shared authority. Such governance requires that actors seeking mutual gains find ways to coordinate their efforts.

What is envisioned is not a precipitous transformation, but a slow, long-term process of incremental, cumulative changes that will increase the capabilities of citizens to address the problems they face. It is a process that involves a reconstituting of the relationships between the public and private sectors and civil society: the formation of broad-based cooperative partnerships. It is important that such partnerships are not restricted to ad hoc arrangements, set up just to realize a particular project, but are, instead, oriented to create lasting capacity for development.

It is also crucial that such partnerships include the poor as equal participants. This goal of inclusive capacity building can be assisted by the horizontal, community-based exchange of information, experience and support through transnational networks, as in the case of the Payatas community described above. The key roles played by women must be recognized, as women work to improve living conditions not just for themselves, but also for their families and communities. Finally, equitable ways to allocate funds that enable poor local communities to develop their own options have to be found.

From this background, several key points come to the fore, identified in the following summary and presented in greater detail in this report:
The Uneven Distribution of the Benefits and Costs of Globalization

Without question, globalization has stimulated overall economic growth. However, the benefits and costs of this growth have been spread unevenly. In many countries, real incomes have fallen, the costs of living have gone up and the number of poor households has grown, especially in cities. Inequalities are getting worse, and high inequality sustains poverty, as smaller shares of total income reach those at the bottom. Inequality weakens the impact of growth on fighting poverty. Indeed, research shows that decreasing inequality can have as much impact on reducing poverty as increasing economic growth. The challenge is to share the fruits of globalization more equally.

The Unbalanced Nature of Globalization

Advances in modern information and communication technologies (ICTs) have facilitated the opening of global markets. Market-led processes are geared to economic growth, and accumulation of wealth has dominated globalization. However, ICTs should also serve goals of social justice and environmental sustainability. This requires the strengthening of appropriate governance and planning mechanisms. The challenge is to balance the goals of globalization and to blend the roles of government, private sector and civil society in cooperative arrangements.

Human Settlements Link Economic Globalization to Human Development

Globalization increases competition between, as well as fragmentation within, cities, with contradictory effects. Growing fragmentation hampers the capacity to build coalitions, mobilize resources and develop sufficient governance structures. Urban government has shifted from a managerial approach to entrepreneurialism that treats the city as a product to be marketed. This marketing approach, and the emphasis on restructuring the city so that it appeals to global business, has led to the dominance of economic interests in urban planning. The challenge is to develop enabling strategies that are not narrowly restricted to the economic functioning of markets, but that also include support for the exercise of citizenship – of ‘the rights to the city’, including the realization of housing rights.

Decentralization and the Growing Role of Local Government

Decisions regarding development and management of infrastructure and services should rest with the level of government closest to the community that is able to deliver these services in a cost-effective and equitable way while minimizing the externalization of environmental costs. The extent of decentralization depends on the ability of central governments to devise appropriate regulatory frameworks for central–local relations and their willingness to provide local authorities with assets and intergovernmental transfers. Metropolitan areas are de facto pivotal arenas in today’s processes of global competition. This requires that they be strengthened by giving them more political legitimacy, responsibilities and resources.

Need for New Cooperative Frameworks

Governments have important roles, but limited abilities to address urgent challenges of shelter, infrastructure and services. They need to develop broad-based cooperative partnerships with the private sector and civil society. Integrated implementation of the Habitat Agenda adopted by the second United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) also requires effective, institutionalized coordination within the United Nations system. Further, it is necessary to strengthen the capacity of local governments and low-income communities to participate as equal partners in human settlements development. In addition, aside from the usual top-down decision-making, horizontal linkages through municipal international cooperation and community-based networks need to be reinforced. In these arrangements, people in poverty and women must be empowered to play key roles. In the end, the bottom line is a point that bears repeating: people living in poverty represent unrealized human capital potential, and the eradication of their poverty will bring benefits to the whole of society.

Strengthening the Policy Development Process

Effective policies require careful monitoring and evaluation. Information and communication technologies facilitate the dissemination of such information through urban observatories and best practices databases. However, no matter how good the practices are, they can never be more than a reflection of what is possible under the current circumstances. Therefore, assessments of best practices against criteria derived from normative goals with measurable benchmarks are needed. Such information must be collected at the individual and local level to capture differences by gender, locality and other relevant dimensions. The transferability of approaches that work requires policy makers to distinguish between technical description of successful prototypes diffused through simple replication, on the one hand, and more analytical lesson-drawing based on prospective evaluations of differences in political, economic and cultural contexts, on the other.
New Forms of Governance and Political Strategies for Urban Liveability

Governance strategies relying on market mechanisms to coordinate multiple, interdependent interests and shared resources and purposes ultimately fail to address critical governance tasks of steering and integration. The complementarity of civil society and government is at the core of good governance. Urban liveability depends on the state’s capacity to perform as a public institution and deliver the collective goods and services that cities and communities need, but it depends in equal measure on the extent to which communities and civil society groups can build ties with people and agencies within the state who share the same agenda. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) without a community base lack legitimacy, and communities that lack external ties are politically weak and parochial. Further, state agencies rely on political pressure from communities to enact legislation and implement policies. The challenge is to adopt approaches for working in interconnected, complementary ways in all aspects of human settlements development.
In 1996, the United Nations Centre for Human Settlements (Habitat) produced its second Global Report on Human Settlements, characterizing cities around the world as places of opportunity. Aptly titled An Urbanizing World, it presented a view of cities as engines of growth. The report identified problems associated with urbanization but it also revealed cities as holding the potential for solving these problems.

Today, the trend of urbanization continues but, more so than five years ago, it is intertwined with globalization, a process whose salience is reflected in recent international events and publications, including the Human Development Report 1999 of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the World Bank’s World Development Report 1999/2000. An inventory of research literature since 1990 reveals an exponential growth of publications dealing with globalization. Figure I.1 illustrates this sharp rise during the last decade, showing a manifold increase.

In addition to the overall strong upward trend, it is noteworthy that the growth rate of publications on legal aspects of globalization is lagging behind that of publications on the subject in other fields (Figure I.1). The reason may be that the tradition of law tends to be reactive – rather than proactive – based on precedents which take time to establish. At any rate, the striking disparity is suggestive of an asynchronous and imbalanced relationship between the ongoing globalization of commerce and the delayed development of normative frameworks to guide its direction, generating pressing challenges with which Cities in a Globalizing World concerns itself.

Like urbanization, globalization brings opportunities as well as problems, both most clearly seen in cities. The challenge is to develop solutions to the problems associated with globalization, while at the same time realizing its positive prospects. Human settlements can play a key role in this regard. Through good governance and effective partnerships, they can help eliminate poverty and reduce inequality. Their challenge is to function not only as engines of economic growth, but also as agents of social justice.

A Globalizing World

Globalization is not a new phenomenon. The Silk Road is but one example of an early economic and cultural linking of diverse societies across large distances.

However, global connections today differ in at least four important ways. First, they function at much greater speed than ever before. Improved technologies enable much faster transportation of people and goods and the instantaneous transmission of information. Second, globalization operates on a much larger scale, leaving few people unaffected and making its influence felt in even the most remote places. Third, the scope of global connections is much broader and has multiple dimensions – economic, technological, political, legal, social and cultural, among others – each of which has multiple facets. Linkages have proliferated to involve multiple, interdependent flows of a greater variety of goods, services, people, capital, information and diseases. Significant in this expanded scope is the growing globalization of human rights and the rule of law, which may conflict with established commercial routines and political practices. Fourth, the dynamic and often unmediated interactions among numerous global actors create a new level of complexity for the relationships between policy and practice.

It is important to acknowledge the positive consequences of globalization. Indeed, it would be short-sighted to ignore these benefits. Globalization has facilitated, for example, the diffusion of medical advances that have
It is also in accordance with the Istanbul Declaration on Human Settlements, which States announce that they will:

‘intensify (their) efforts to eradicate poverty and discrimination, to promote and protect all human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, and to provide for basic needs, such as education, nutrition and life-span health care services, and, especially, adequate shelter for all’

while committing themselves to the objectives, principles and recommendations contained in the Habitat Agenda and pledging to attain its goals of adequate shelter for all and sustainable human settlement development in a urbanizing world.

Globalization and Human Settlements

In recent years, several publications and public discourses have focused attention on various questions of globalization and development. These reviews and discussions have mainly dealt with aspects of macroeconomic development and human development. The development of human settlements has received much less attention. This is unfortunate because the outcomes of economic and human development are strongly linked to conditions and processes in human settlements.

The processes of globalization have a distinct spatial specificity. Their outcomes also show particular geographic patterns. Although globalization certainly affects rural areas, global forces are centred in cities. It is in cities where global operations are centralized and where one can see most clearly the phenomena associated with their activities: changes in the structure of employment, the formation of powerful partnerships, the development of monumental real estate, the emergence of new forms of local governance, the effects of organized crime, the expansion of corruption, the fragmentation of informal networks and the spatial isolation and social exclusion of certain population groups.

Human settlements form an important link in processes of globalization and their economic implications for human development.

The characteristics of cities and their surrounding regions, in turn, help shape globalization; for example, by providing a suitable labour force, making available the required physical and technological infrastructure, creating a stable and accommodating regulatory environment, offering the bundle of necessary support services, contributing financial incentives and possessing the institutional capacity without which globalization cannot occur.

Thus, urban settlements mediate the reciprocal relationships between globalization, on the one hand, and economic and human development, on the other.
Making Choices: 
Globalization as a Purposeful Process

‘We know that the global dilemma of squalor amid splendour is a creature of human agency, and that it can be reversed by human agency’ – Kofi Annan, Address to the World Bank Conference on ‘Global Knowledge ‘97’, Toronto, 22 June 1997

Transportation and communication technologies are often seen as the driving forces behind globalization. These technologies, however, are neutral tools that merely make globalization possible and that may be used to various ends. Purposeful actors produce globalization as they develop and exploit technologies to their advantage. Among these actors, transnational corporations (TNCs) have been dominant. Motives of private gain have propelled their actions. Their chief purpose has been to maximize profit. Policies favouring market expansion have supported this purpose. Usually referred to as the neo-liberal platform, these include Structural Adjustment Programmes (SAPs) of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank, international financial rules of the IMF, trade rules of the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs (GATT) and more recently the World Trade Organization (WTO), and investment rules under Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs).

To date, objectives of economic growth have dominated the policy agenda. However, for development to be successful, economic growth must be pursued in the context of social justice and environmental sustainability. This sets up a conflict between the economic and social components of globalization and a dialectic on what the normative goals of globalization ought to be. There is little that is neutral about the content on either side as each seeks advantage by diminishing the effective power of the other. The normative goals of globalization deserve and demand deliberate choices, informed by careful study of facts guided by agreed upon principles and standards of human living. The argument made in this report is that globalization strategies, which, up to now, have been dominated by economic interests, must give priority to the well-being and quality of life of the billions of people who are suffering increased hardship as a result of policies that have promoted, first and foremost, the global expansion of markets.

Need for New Institutional Arrangements

During the era of industrialization, the introduction of new manufacturing technologies affected the physical, economic and social characteristics of human settlements. The beneficiaries were first of all the capitalist investors and owners of the means of production, seeking the accumulation of wealth. Millions of workers and their families provided the labour that produced this wealth. They lived in rapidly growing cities under abominable conditions that have been well documented. Mobilization of various interest groups led to new roles for national and local governments, which assumed responsibilities for ensuring the public welfare; for example, by requiring a minimum living wage, proscribing the use of child labour, creating universal access to potable water, greatly improved provisions for sanitation (drains, sewers, garbage collection), basic health care and elementary education.

Similarly, during the present time of globalization, the widespread application of newly emerging transportation and communication technologies is reshaping the physical, economic and social fabric of cities everywhere. The benefits and costs of these changes are unevenly distributed. Homeless people are living in cardboard boxes next to gleaming skyscrapers occupied by corporations whose budgets exceed those of many developing countries. Just as in centuries past, industrialization brought in its wake advances and problems whose resolution demanded new institutional arrangements, so also does globalization at present.

The Role of Government

As global forces have increasingly asserted themselves, particularly in the form of TNCs, the sovereignty of national governments has declined. The gap in serving the public interest is being more and more taken up by local authorities and, paradoxically, by the private sector as these entities become responsible for functions previously ascribed to national governments. This ‘hollowing out of the state’ (upwards, sideways and downwards) can be observed, in various forms and to different degrees, in many countries around the world. However, this development does not render national governments impotent or irrelevant. In contrast, as shown in this report, important responsibilities remain and new roles are presenting themselves.

These new roles must be given form under difficult circumstances. Not only do national governments face critical domestic issues, they are also constrained by major international interests that favour solutions thought to result from the workings of market mechanisms. The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, among others, have argued that the task of national governments should be to remove barriers that prevent the smooth functioning of markets. From their perspective, competition between cities and regions is something positive, leading to economic growth, which, in turn, is seen as the solution to poverty. According to this viewpoint, governments should eliminate regulations that hamper market dynamics and play an active role in ‘levelling the playing field’. However, research reported in Chapter 1 shows that reducing inequality can have as much impact on reducing
poverty as does increasing economic growth. Moreover, evidence, presented in this report and elsewhere, indicates that the notion of completely free markets is a myth. In reality, governments always shape market dynamics and outcomes; for example, through tariffs on trade, quotas for immigration, licensing requirements, taxation of income and property, anti-trust legislation and regulation of the supply of credit. An especially conspicuous contradiction is the renewed drive for stricter border controls to keep out immigrants and refugees, while at the same time lifting restrictions to create border-free economic zones. Government intervention is often required to ensure that the strong centripetal tendencies of unregulated markets do not result in oligopoly or even monopoly that would adversely affect the leading indicators of market effectiveness: price and quality. These interventions reflect the influences of contending interest groups on policy, and they produce outcomes that benefit some a great deal more than others. Nor are ‘open’ markets a panacea. Indeed, there is growing recognition that opening new regions for expanding markets often creates or reinforces patterns of uneven development, as investors prefer some locations to others.

Acknowledging these concerns, the European Union, for example, created the European Regional Development Fund to promote infrastructure projects that enhance the productive capacity and strengthen the economy of disadvantaged regions. It also established the European Social Fund in support of vocational guidance and skill-improvement programmes to help young people and the long-term unemployed gain access to (better) jobs. Debate exists about the adequacy of these initiatives, but research shows that different public policies can produce different living conditions in countries with similar experiences of globalization and technological change. It is clear that there will be a continuing need for strong government involvement.

This government role is shifting from that of provider to that of enabler, with an emphasis on the ability to act as a regulator, catalyst and partner. Markets, moreover, are not inclusive. Households with low incomes often cannot translate their needs into an effective market demand. It is not evident how profit-seeking suppliers can guarantee access to entitlements and assistance programmes without which such households are left to the mercy of market forces, unable to meet their basic needs for shelter, health care and food.

Markets also fail to generate solutions to serious environmental degradation, especially when powerful producers and consumers exploit distant natural resources. Economic calculations do not usually include the disruptions of ecosystems whose implications are far into the future or whose costs are borne by others rather than the profit makers. Markets need to be regulated in ways that internalize such externalities and balance short-term private discount rates with long-term societal ones.

The connection between the logic of the market and the logic of liveability is anything but automatic. The markets that shape cities are first of all markets for land, and land is a finite commodity. More land cannot be produced in a particular place in response to increased demand. When demand for land exceeds supply, price increases are the likely result. Projection of a demand trend into a future without countervailing regulatory pressure results in the speculative valuation of land. A growing proportion of urban dwellers face an impossible disjunction between the wages generated by city labour markets and the housing costs generated by the market for urban land. At the same time, ‘marketable’ uses for land, like housing for affluent individuals and commercial space for corporations, drive out non-marketable uses, like parks and green space, making the city as a whole less liveable.

‘Glocalization’, the Rise of Civil Society and the Changing Nature of Urban Planning

A recent analysis of spatial development patterns in Pacific Asia concludes that a strategy towards more resilient economies calls for policies that localize the potential for development across national space rather than global regions. Just as national governments are not impotent onlookers on the global stage, but active participants with continuing responsibilities, so also can local governments play important roles. In fact, there is an inverse relationship between the significance of distance and the significance of place. As the constraints of geographical distance are becoming less important, the specific features of particular locales are becoming more important in the locational decision-making of businesses and households. Locational features impose certain restrictions but they also provide opportunities for local development choices that can be ‘marketed’. Globalization necessarily materializes in specific institutional arrangements in specific places, many of which are in cities. ‘Glocalization’ is a term used to describe the dialectic interdependence of the local and global dimensions of economic, political and cultural processes. Local development is tightly linked to global forces, but not determined by machinations of international capital. Therefore, far from exerting a deterministic, homogenizing effect, globalization processes allow for local differentiation. As will be argued later in this report, the outcomes of these processes reflect the claims that different interests make on urban places – more or less effectively. These interests include representatives of global capital that use cities as an organizational commodity to maximize profit, but they also include disadvantaged local population groups who need the city as a place to live. Cities are increasingly strategic sites in the realization of these claims. Against this background, the emergence of new forms of governance and the formation of civil society organizations in the interstices of existing arrangements reflect a ‘glocalization-from-below’ whose articulation happens in transnational networks across urban nodes.

The emergence of a new localism under globalization can be seen in three important ways. First, we can observe a growing significance of organized civil society,
particularly in countries of the developing world, but also in the north.56 Civil society organizations and social movements emerged as central actors in Latin America during the 1960s and 1970s, when more direct forms of institutional demand making were not available. They left in their wake a strong NGO network that is increasingly working with municipal governments to improve services and participatory structures for the relatively disadvantaged majority. In the north, new attention to the local arises, to a considerable extent, from growing concerns about environmental risk and the consequences of uncontrolled urban development.57 In Africa, where civil society and associational life has been slower to develop, with some exceptions, global forces have created an informal sector that fosters non-state initiatives at the local level in which women play prominent roles.

Second, as civil society becomes more organized and effective, municipal institutions have been democratizing. There is some connection between these trends in that more active civil society both requires, and responds to, more participatory local structures. Local elections with a choice among multiple parties have become increasingly common in Latin America, and in parts of Africa, South Asia and Southeast Asia. In many cases – such as South Africa, India and Brazil – this expansion of local democratic government has been reinforced by constitutional reforms. Although the full evidence is not yet in, there are encouraging signs that municipal performance is improving in response to democratization.57

Given an emerging civil society and democratic municipal institutions, a third element of ‘the growing importance of the local’ has to do with how communities make choices. Local political coalitions together with important groups from civil society are attempting to shape their cities and towns in ways that maximize what they consider the opportunities to be gained from globalization. Whether this involves campaigns against crime or plans to improve the local environment in order to attract tourists, or whether it involves strategies to reduce local taxes or develop a more comprehensive educational system in order to attract outside investment, in either case, municipal officials and their partners are increasingly alert to the potential benefits of competitive strategies.

As a result, in many cities there has been a shift in the policies of urban government from managerialism to entrepreneurialism. This entrepreneurial attitude views the city as a product that needs to be marketed. The emphasis on marketing underpins the restructuring of cities so that they appeal to global investors and favour the dominance of economic interests in urban planning. The particular historical character of a city tends to be subordinated in the quest for an international image, with local identity becoming a public relations artefact designed to aid marketing (see Chapter 2).

By the same token, cities that do not have the resources to attract outside interest and investment may find themselves even more bereft and impoverished. Local capacity building is essential to reduce the potential for such polarization. In this connection, it is encouraging that international cooperation in the form of city-to-city exchanges is growing in popularity. Public–private partnerships are also being broadened to include civil society groups and there is increasing evidence of the potential of community-based networks based on direct people-to-people interactions. Decentralized cooperation further supports local choices in urban development. These developments are reviewed in Chapter 14.

Globalization not only increases competition but also fragmentation, with contradictory effects on cities. To compete effectively, cities must act as collective units. However, their growing social, political, economic and physical fragmentation hampers their capacity to build coalitions, mobilize resources and develop sufficient governance structures. Given that metropolitan areas are the chief arenas for global competition, it is necessary to strengthen them by giving them greater authority and autonomy in resource allocation. However, the enabling role of governments must be broader than facilitating the functioning of markets and also includes responsibility for social cohesion, equity and conflict resolution.

The term ‘metropolization of the world economy’ has been used to describe the archipelagic spatial structure of emerging global urban networks.58 Megacities, comprising urban cores and associated hinterlands, are theoretically able to address all kinds of technical problems, including urban service provision and environmental management. However, they are facing difficult governance challenges, owing to obsolete systems tailored to traditional cities and inhabitants who are more concerned with their immediate individual and local neighbourhood interests than with their common future as citizens of the same city.59

Urban planners are inescapably caught up in this dynamic. The new planning is less codified and technical, more innovative and entrepreneurial. It is also more participatory and concerned with projects rather than whole urban systems. Planning expertise is increasingly sought not only by the state, but also by the corporate sector and civil society. Planners seek to forge agreements through negotiation and mediation among contesting parties. Planning is no longer lodged solely in urban government as a font of privileged knowledge about ‘the public interest’. What is controversial is not urban planning per se, but its goal: whether it should be directed chiefly at efficiency, reinforcing the current distribution of wealth and power, or whether it should play a distributive role to help create minimum standards of urban liveability.60

As planning becomes more difficult to define as a state-based process of intervention, it finds expression in a greater diversity of forms, including the advocacy for and mobilization of community-based groups that seek to assert their rights to the city.61 This development places marginality at centre stage. It stresses a notion of urban poverty that goes beyond monetary standards and consumption for basic needs. It offers insights from within households to show how poverty is a form of vulnerability and lack of power that is multidimensional and, further, how efforts at redress by households are not typically anti-systemic but oriented towards gaining benefits from more favourable
inclusion in ongoing urban development processes. These insights also provide a better understanding of the gendered nature of poverty and the important roles of women in attempts to eradicate it.

Figure I.2 is a visual summary of how societal sectors interface at different scales vis-à-vis a range of issues. It indicates how actors in the public and private sectors as well as civil society, at all levels, may play a role in relevant approaches to those human settlement concerns that urgently demand attention. Foremost among these problems is the rapid growth of urban poverty and polarization. The challenges presented by these trends exceed the capabilities of governments. They require the formation of partnerships with the private sector as well as civil society. If such partnerships are to be effective, people living in poverty, and women among them in particular, must be empowered to deploy their unrealized potential as equal participants in the development of solutions to the problems that they experience first hand. It is clear, then, that appropriate capacity building and cooperative governing are vital elements of strategies to improve urban liveability for all people.

Whatever its merits as a representation of the multiple facets of human settlements development policies, Figure I.2 cannot capture the complex dynamics of real-world interactions, nor the distribution of resources and the real costs and benefits experienced by people. It is precisely these aspects that this report takes up.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is to review human settlement trends in the context of globalization; to analyse their implications for poverty, inequity and polarization; and to develop recommendations for planning, development and management policies and practices in support of those most at risk.

Notes

1. See UNCHS (Habitat), 1996. For an earlier view of cities as engines of growth, see Klaassen et al, 1989.
2. Brockerhoff, 1999 reviews projected and actual urban growth rates in the developing countries since 1970. His analysis indicates a slow down of the anticipated urban transition. In the oft-cited case of Mexico City, based on simple extrapolation of a population increase from 3.4 million in 1960 to 13 million in 1980, the United Nations predicted a population of 31.3 million in 2000, whereas the actual number in that year was only about 18 million.
5. Data for Figure I.1 come from the following sources: ISI Web of Science, Social and Behavioral Sciences, Institute of Scientific Information (ISI) (www.isinet.com); WorldCat, over 42 million cataloging records created by libraries around the world in 400 languages (available through Online Computer Library Center (OCLC) (www.oclc.org/oclc/menu/home1.htm), used by over 36,000 libraries in 74 countries); ArtFirst: articles found in the table of contents of nearly 12,500 journals covering science, technology, medicine, social science, business, the humanities and popular culture (source: OCLC); and Legal: articles from legal journals, yearbooks, institutes, bar association organs, law reviews and government publications originating in the United States, Canada, Great Britain, Ireland, Australia and New Zealand (source: OCLC).
6. Commenting on 'The Urban Revolution', Klaus Töpfer has described cities as home to a wealth of cultural diversity, political dynamism, immensely productive, creative and innovative, while, at the same time, noting that cities are 'breeding grounds of poverty, violence, pollution, and congestion' which 'for many millions of people, have become a nightmare'.

Against this background, one aim of this report is to examine conditions and recent trends in human settlements around the world. In doing so, it concerns itself particularly with implications of globalization for poverty (the inability to maintain a minimum standard of living), inequity (poverty amid affluence and the unequal access to redress) and polarization (inequities becoming worse). It also makes recommendations from a perspective of advocacy on behalf of those at greatest risk: typically the poor, women, children, the elderly, the disabled, refugees, immigrants and minority groups. Although it is critical to give special consideration to these population groups, it is equally important not to restrict the focus to their particular characteristics which would have the effect of reifying them as a priori 'vulnerable categories'. Their vulnerability is not a given. It does not exist in a vacuum. This makes it essential to adopt contextual perspectives that direct attention to the factors that put these groups at risk. Without such perspectives, efforts will be misdirected at symptoms, rather than aimed at root causes.
thus creating a ‘tale of two cities’ (Topfer, 1999).

7 See, for example, Foltz, 2000; Laut, 1990; Liu, 1998. The Silk Road is an interesting historical precursor of modern globalization; it has recently been used as the name for a proposed money system with low-cost electronic communication protocols, enabling small transactions, without a central bank, in an open system that supports network resource management, routing, interconnection with the internet and other communication services, across trust boundaries with competing providers for all services (Hardy and Trumble, 1995). The Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade similarly referred to the Silk Road in its recent report on facilitation of international trade through effective use of the internet (Australia, 1999); cf Blower, 2000. See, for example, Kwant et al. (1999).

8 For example, economic globalization can include growth of international trade as well as increases in foreign investment. Likewise, political globalization can be seen in greater cross-border cooperation between national governments, but also in the ‘twining’ of municipal governments (see Chapter 14) and in the rise of international networking of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society groups.

9 Especially important have been the benefits of antibiotics and vaccination. The best-known example is perhaps the eradication of the often fatal smallpox disease. Unfortunately, these gains stand along with setbacks resulting from new diseases, notably HIV/AIDS, which are taking their toll while patent protections limit the accessibility of medication on the basis of ability-to-pay, recently announced price cuts notwithstanding. For a detailed examination of the link between globalization, urbanization and the spread of infectious disease, see Pang and Runcie, 2000. See also Lee and Dodgson, 2000 for a historical examination of cholera pandemics as a function of globalization. Aside from the spread of medication, noteworthy as well is the global work of organizations such as the 1999 Nobel Peace Prize-winning Médecins Sans Frontières (www.msf.org), an independent humanitarian medical aid agency, founded in 1971 with a commitment to providing medical aid wherever it is needed, regardless of race, religion, politics or sex and raising awareness of the plight of the people in need.

10 A recent example is the development of high-yield, mosaic-disease-resistant cassava, the food staple of large numbers of households in East Africa. Optimism about these advances is tempered by concerns about unpredictable consequences of genetically engineered food products for environmental and human health.

11 According to the Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (www..idea.se/index.htm), since the fall of the Berlin Wall, more than 50 countries around the world organized elections for the first time in their existence. See Karatnycky, 2000, for a historical review of Franc, 1992. The Press Freedom Survey 2000 (www.freedomhouse.org/pfs2000/1) offers an assessment of recent changes in restrictions placed on printed and electronic media.

12 Hulshandsi and Leckie (2000) provide a comprehensive chronology of United Nations activity concerning the human right to adequate housing. The Centre on Housing Rights and Evictions (COHRE) (www.cohre.org/), established in 1994 as a non-profit foundation, offers an informative web site with links to international organizations and data bases on economic, cultural and human rights; see also the web site for the Asian Coalition for Housing Rights (www.axhr.net/). Castells (1996) has identified the growth of a diversified, worldwide movement as one of the most important grassroots developments under globalization. In this regard, see also Moghadam, 2000. For an excellent internet gateway to human rights, see www.hri.ca/; Adeola (2000) provides a discussion of a specific recent example of international environmental justice and human rights issues, highlighted by the Movement for the Survival of the Ogové People (MOSOP) in Nigeria. See also Brooks, 2000, on the UVAs people of the Colombian Andes, who have been fighting oil-drilling on their land by Occidental Petroleum. UNCHS (Habitat), 1998.

14 See MacDonald and Simioni, 1999.

15 See note 3 supra.

16 European Commission, 2000 provides an in-depth study of social exclusion in ten urban neighbourhoods in eight countries. See also Lawless et al, 1998; Pudlanska et al, 1998. For social exclusion specifically in relation to housing, see, for example, Marsh and Mullins, 1998; McGregor and McConnell, 1995; Ratcliff, 1998; Somervell, 1998; Taylor, 1999.


18 For segregation, see, for example, Goering et al, 1997; Carter et al, 1998; Briggs et al, 1999; Schwemm (1990) and Yinger (1995) offer excellent coverage of housing and discrimination. See also the special issue of Cynecope; Journal of Policy Development and Research (1999) 4(3), commemorating the 30th anniversary of the Fair Housing Act. Treatment of affordability questions can be found in, for example, Joint Center for Housing Studies, 2000 and the journal of Affordable Housing and Community Development.

19 See UNDF, 1999.

20 Chapter 1 of this report provides fuller discussion of trends in inequality.


22 This was a reaffirmation of article 25(1) of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights adopted in 1948.


25 The Habitat Agenda is discussed in Chapter 3 of this report, which also examines related policy platforms that have resulted from other United Nations summits. Chapter 16 reviews the human right to housing.

26 See, for example, Axtmann, 1998; Cosgrove-Sack, 1999; Schuurman, 2000; Simmons, 1999; UNDP, 1999; World Bank, 1999a.


28 For example, see Burgers, 1996, for a study showing how national welfare state arrangements and specific historical legacies are important mediating variables in the particular local outcomes of international economic restructuring.

29 For example, Structural Adjustment Policies have led to the growing of exportable products to substitute for subsistence farming, as in Ghana where cocoa has taken the place of plantain on a large portion of available arable land. Consequently, food now accounts for one-third of its imports, which has not helped that country’s farmers (for a grassroots generated, sustainable alternative to help address problems related to imported cocoa cultivation, found widely in West Africa, see work by the Lend-a-Hand Foundation in Cameroon (www.lendetahand.org/index.htm). Globalization has also affected
per-urban development; see, for example, Briggs and Mwamifique, 2000, for a case study of an African city (Dar es Salaam). Losada et al (1998) review a Latin American city (Mexico City). Box 2.6 describes recent developments in the peri-urban zone of an Asian city, Manila. As another example of how globalization influences rural areas, modern information and communication technologies are increasingly harnessed in agricultural production and marketing (O’Meara, 2000). Farmers in Sri Lanka are using the Internet to get information about produce prices in Colombo to negotiate better terms for their workers, environmentalists and communities, producing a spate of citizen initiatives and local resolutions among companies, their workers, environmentalists and local communities in the face of declining governmental power and rising corporate power. Facilitated by Right-to-Know legislation and databases (see www.rti.net), dozens of GNAs have been proposed and signed. For an analysis of the establishment of an enforceable, legally binding agreement that holds a transnational corporation accountable to a local community, see Pellow, 2000. Urban sprawl has also become a divisive issue in many local communities, producing a spate of citizen initiatives and community actions by developers and builders. See, for example, the web site of the Sierra Club (www.sierraclub.org/sprawl) and the Initiative and Reference Fund Institute (www.ballotwatch.org).

For a good discussion of these points, see Hardoy, Molin and Satterthwaite (2001), especially Chapters 5 and 8. See also Haughton, 1999, for a discussion of principles and policy approaches in support of environmental justice and the sustainable development of cities, and Wirth, 2000.

For a fuller discussion of this point, see Evans et al, 2001, Chapter 1. See Douglass, forthcoming.

This, in turn, may force those seeking an affordable place to live and work to ‘sprawl’ into peripheral areas where land is generally cheaper. See Douglass, 1998.

See, for example, Cheru, 2000; Friedmann, 1998; Evans, 2000; Pile and Keith, 1997.

The following paragraphs draw on Urban Governance and Politics In A Global Context: The Growing Importance Of The Local, a paper by Richard Siren, University of Toronto, November 1998. This is particularly seen through the environmental, women and human rights movements.

For example, it is at the local level that states are able to oxidize the relationships between urban development and urban inequalities in Western Europe, McCarthy and Evans et al, 2001. In the US, Good Neighbor Agreements (GNAs) have emerged as a non-negotiable method of dispute resolution among companies, their workers, environmentalists and local communities in the face of declining governmental power and rising corporate power. Facilitated by Right-to-Know legislation and databases (see www.rti.net), dozens of GNAs have been proposed and signed. For an analysis of the establishment of an enforceable, legally binding agreement that holds a transnational corporation accountable to a local community, see Pellow, 2000. Urban sprawl has also become a divisive issue in many local communities, producing a spate of citizen initiatives and community actions by developers and builders. See, for example, the website of the Sierra Club (www.sierraclub.org/sprawl) and the Initiative and Reference Fund Institute (www.ballotwatch.org).

Chapter 4 reviews newly emerging approaches to metropolitan government and the
challenges of democratization. Chapter 13 focuses on decentralized provision and management of urban infrastructure and services.

58 Veltz, 1996.
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