

2012 Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review of the General Assembly

The following points highlight ~~and~~ the views and expectations of Specialized Agencies (in particular FAO and ILO) for the QCPR 2012, the concept of critical mass and on the draft ECOSOC resolution for the 2011 Operational Activities Segment.

We have welcomed the three panel discussions and have contributed with an open exchange of views and experiences with ECOSOC members on the drive for results we pursue, on funding and including on the challenges we face as specialized agencies.

FAO, as ILO stated yesterday, is also committed to UN system wide coherence. There has been an Increase in FAO involvement in UN Coordination, by holding the Vice chairmanship of UNDG, by contributing or co/leading various UNDG working groups.

Recently within the UNDAF Programming Network, FAO led in close collaboration with ILO and WFP the development of the UNDG Guidance note on integrating Food and Nutrition Security into Country Analysis and UNDAF. *With regards to harmonization of procedures we are making important progress, with a common procurement approach of the ILO also associates itself with this statement. Rome based agencies; implementation of HACT and the development of NEX modalities*

With regards to the governance of operational activities for development

- o *The Inclusiveness of specialized agencies at all levels of governance is key*
 - i. We welcome ECOSOC continued attention to improve its relationship with specialized agencies, to ensure stronger linkage with the expertise and policy analysis and global products of specialized agencies, and invite further in particular to improve the information exchange on recommendations of their governing bodies on those policy areas of common interest,
 - ii. *We believe it is* Important to foster inclusiveness at UNCT level for non-resident specialized agencies organizations or specialized agencies organization with limited capacity at country level.
 - iii. *With regards to Coherent programming processes at the country level we see the* The UNDAF should *as* remain the main programming tool for UN Country Teams and *duplication of programming processes should be avoided.*
 - iv. Expectation for upcoming QCPR to improve the linkages between UNDAF and agency-specific programming approaches and documents - need to recognize the Specialized Agencies country programming frameworks as complementary to the UNDAF process.
- o "Delivering as One"
 - i. Look forward the DaO evaluation, and we call for particular attention on programmatic impact and on the modalities/capacity to deliver of specialized agencies.
 - ii. The provision of knowledge and technical expertise from Specialized Agencies needs to be recognized as co-financing within the UNCT & joint programming
 - iii. Look into options to support capacity at country level for non-resident specialized agencies or specialized agencies with limited capacity at country level.

FAO and ILO
 ii: bis > *We provided clear views about the RC system, and the discussion in the panel proved that there is no impediment to quality leadership and what it can achieve - without the need to create other bureaucratic layers.*

The funding of the RC system needs to be considered within the overall architecture of UN system from global to country levels